UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE CO. Petitioner-Appellee
v.
PROGRESSIVE CASUALTY INSURANCE CO.  Patent Owner-Appellant
Case CBM2012-00004 Patent 6,064,970

Before JAMESON LEE, JONI Y. CHANG, MICHAEL R. ZECHER, *Administrate Patent Judges*.

PATENT OWNER PROGRESSIVE'S NOTICE OF APPEAL

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office c/o Office of the General Counsel Madison Building East, 10B20 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314-5793

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a), that Patent Owner, Progressive Casualty Insurance Co. ("Progressive") hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the Final Written Decision entered on January 23, 2014 (Paper 60) (the "Final Written Decision"), and from all underlying orders, decisions, rulings and opinions, including without limitation the Decision on Institution of Covered Business Method Review entered on January 25, 2013 (Paper 10) (the "Institution Decision") and the Decision on Progressive's Request for Rehearing entered on April 1, 2014 (Paper 64) (the "Rehearing Decision").

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(3)(ii), Patent Owner Progressive further indicates that the issues on appeal include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's ("PTAB") decision to institute a covered business method review under 37 C.F.R. § 42.208, including whether the PTAB's decision exceeded its statutory authority under 35 U.S.C. § 326 through rulemaking; (2) the PTAB's determination that it had jurisdiction or authority under Section 18 of the AIA to review all the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,064,970 (the "'970 patent") based on its determination that claim 4 is directed to a covered

business method; (3) the PTAB's determination that the '970 Patent is a covered business method patent under 37 C.F.R. § 42.301; (4) the PTAB's determination of unpatentability and cancellation of claims 1 and 3-18 of '970 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 103; (5) the PTAB's claim constructions; (6) the PTAB's failure to exclude new evidence and arguments submitted by Petitioner for the first time in its Reply brief; (7) the PTAB's denial of Patent Owner Progressive's motion to exclude evidence; (8) the PTAB's denial of Patent Owner Progressive's Request for Rehearing, including its determination that it was not barred and estopped from maintaining the proceeding or entering a final written decision with respect to patentability under 35 U.S.C. § 325(e)(1), as well as its determination that the Final Written Decision was not an advisory opinion; and (9) any finding or determination supporting or related to those issues, as well as all other issues decided adversely to Patent Owner Progressive in any orders, decisions, rulings and opinions.

In addition to this submission, a copy of this Notice of Appeal is being filed with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board and the Clerk's Office for the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

# Respectfully submitted,

### **JONES DAY**

June 2, 2014 By <u>/s/ Calvin P. Griffith</u>

Calvin P. Griffith Reg. No. 34,831 JONES DAY North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 (216) 586-3939 (216) 579-0212 (Fax) Attorney for Patent Owner

#### CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I hereby certify that, in addition to being filed electronically through the Board's PRPS System, the original version of the foregoing, PATENT OWNER PROGRESSIVE'S NOTICE OF APPEAL, was filed by hand on this 2nd day of June, 2014, with the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, at the following address:

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office c/o Office of the General Counsel Madison Building East, 10B20 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314-5793

I further certify that three (3) true and correct copies of the foregoing,

PATENT OWNER PROGRESSIVE'S NOTICE OF APPEAL, were filed by hand
on this 2nd day of June, 2014, with the Clerk's Office of the United States Court of
Appeals for the Federal Circuit, at the following address:

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit 717 Madison Place, N.W., Suite 401 Washington, DC 20005

I further certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing, PATENT OWNER PROGRESSIVE'S NOTICE OF APPEAL, was served on June 2, 2014 by causing it to be sent by email to counsel for the Petitioner Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. at the following email addresses:

Steven.Baughman@ropesgray.com Nicole.Jantzi@ropesgray.com James.Myers@ropesgray.com LibertyMutualPTABService@ropesgray.com

# Respectfully submitted,

### **JONES DAY**

June 2, 2014 By <u>/s/ John V. Biernacki</u>

John V. Biernacki Reg. No. 40,511 JONES DAY North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 (216) 586-3939 (216) 579-0212 (Fax) Attorney for Patent Owner