

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

WYNCOMM LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

ZTE CORPORATION AND ZTE (USA)
INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. _____

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Wyncomm LLC (“Wyncomm” or “Plaintiff”), for its Complaint against Defendant ZTE Corporation and Defendant ZTE (USA) Inc., collectively referred to as (“ZTE” or “Defendant”), alleges the following:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*

THE PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Wyncomm is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with a place of business at 113 Barksdale Professional Center, Newark, Delaware 19711.

3. Upon information and belief, ZTE Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of China, with a place of business at ZTE Industrial Zone, Liuxian Road, Shenzhen, 518055, China and a registered agent for service of process at ZTE Plaza, Keji Road South, Hi-Tech Industrial Park, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province, P.R. China 518057 Upon information and belief, ZTE Corporation sells and offers to sell products and

services throughout the United States, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services that perform infringing processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they would be sold in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States.

4. Upon information and belief, ZTE (USA) Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of New Jersey, with a place of business at 2425 N. Central Expressway, Suite 323, Richardson, Texas 75080-2791 and a registered agent for service of process at Delaware Secretary of State, Division of Corporations, John G. Townsend Building, 401 Federal Street, Suite 4, Dover, Delaware 19901. Upon information and belief, ZTE (USA) Inc. sells and offers to sell products and services throughout the United States, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services that perform infringing processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they would be sold in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

7. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b).

8. Upon information and belief, Defendants conduct substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Delaware.

COUNT I – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,506,866

9. The allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 8 are incorporated into this First Claim for Relief.

10. On April 9, 1996, U.S. Patent No. 5,506,866 Patent (“the ’866 Patent”), entitled “Side-Channel Communications in Simultaneous Voice and Data Transmission,” was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the ’866 Patent is attached as **Exhibit A**.

11. Wyncomm is the assignee and owner of the right, title and interest in and to the ’866 Patent, including the right to assert all causes of action arising under said patent and the right to any remedies for infringement of it.

12. Upon information and belief, the Defendants have and continue to directly infringe one or more claims of the ’866 Patent by making, using, selling, importing and/or providing and causing to be used products that transmit information utilizing WiFi, including information representative of analog data such as voice or sound and including control data, in which the information representative of analog data is converted to voice or sound, which by way of example include handsets such as the ZTE Grand S (the “Accused Instrumentalities”).

13. Defendants were made aware of the ’866 Patent and its infringement thereof at least as early as its receipt of correspondence from Wyncomm providing notice of the ’866 patent and Defendant’s infringement thereof sent on January 29, 2013. These letters were sent by certified mail with return receipt requested. Wyncomm has since received the return receipt for at least ZTE (USA) Inc., indicating that Defendants, as related companies, each have notice of the ’866 Patent and their infringement.

14. Upon information and belief, since at least the time they received notice, Defendants have induced and continue to induce others to infringe at least one claim of the ’866 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by, among other things, and with specific intent or willful blindness, actively aiding and abetting others to infringe, including but not limited to

Defendants' partners and customers, whose use of the Accused Instrumentalities constitutes direct infringement of at least one claim of the '866 Patent.

15. In particular, Defendants' actions that aid and abet others such as their partners and customers to infringe include advertising and distributing the Accused Instrumentalities and providing instruction materials, training, and services regarding the Accused Instrumentalities. On information and belief, Defendants have engaged in such actions with specific intent to cause infringement or with willful blindness to the resulting infringement because Defendants have had actual knowledge of the '866 Patent and that their acts were inducing their customers to infringe the '866 Patent since at least the date Defendants received notice that such activities infringed the '866 Patent.

16. Despite Wyncomm's notice regarding the '866 Patent, Defendants have continued to infringe the '866 Patent. On information and belief, Defendants' infringement has been and continues to be willful.

17. Wyncomm has been harmed by Defendants' infringing activities.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Wyncomm demands a trial by jury on all issues triable as such.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Wyncomm demands judgment for itself and against Defendants as follows:

- A. An adjudication that Defendants have infringed the '866 Patent;
- B. An award of damages to be paid by Defendants adequate to compensate Wyncomm for their past infringement of the '866 Patent, and any continuing or future

infringement through the date such judgment is entered, including interest, costs, expenses and an accounting of all infringing acts including, but not limited to, those acts not presented at trial;

C. A declaration that this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and an award of Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees; and

D. An award to Wyncomm of such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: April 12, 2013

STAMOULIS & WEINBLATT LLC

/s/ Stamatios Stamoulis

Stamatios Stamoulis #4606

stamoulis@swdelaw.com

Richard C. Weinblatt #5080

weinblatt@swdelaw.com

Two Fox Point Centre

6 Denny Road, Suite 307

Wilmington, DE 19809

Telephone: (302) 999-1540

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Wyncomm LLC