

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

Eclipse IP LLC,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b>Case No.</b> _____
	)	
v.	)	
	)	<b>Jury Trial Demanded</b>
Ralph Lauren Corporation,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Eclipse IP LLC ("Eclipse") complains of defendant Ralph Lauren Corporation ("Ralph Lauren") as follows:

**Nature of Lawsuit**

1. This is a suit for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code § 1 et seq. This Court has exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Complaint under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

**Parties and Patents**

2. Eclipse is a company organized and existing under the laws of Florida and having a principal place of business address at 115 NW 17th Street, Delray Beach, Florida 33444.

3. Eclipse owns all right, title, and interest in and has standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent No. 7,876,239 (“the ‘239 patent”), entitled “Secure Notification Messaging Systems and Methods Using Authentication Indicia” (Exhibit A); United States Patent No. 7,482,952 (“the ‘952 patent”), entitled “Response Systems and Methods for Notification Systems for Modifying Future Notifications” (Exhibit B); and United States Patent No. 7,119,716 (“the ‘716 patent”), entitled “Response Systems and Methods for Notification Systems for Modifying Future Notifications” (Exhibit C) (collectively, “the Patents-in-Suit”).

4. On information and belief, Ralph Lauren is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware.

5. On information and belief, Ralph Lauren does regular business in this judicial district and has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district.

### **Jurisdiction and Venue**

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Ralph Lauren because Ralph Lauren transacts continuous and systematic business within the State of Texas and this judicial district; is operating and/or supporting products or services that fall within one or more claims of Eclipse’s patents in this

judicial district; and has committed the tort of patent infringement in this judicial district.

7. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(d) and 1400(b).

**Defendant's Acts of Patent Infringement**

8. Ralph Lauren has infringed one or more claims of the '239 patent through, among other activities, providing and/or selecting, and enabling customers to provide and/or select, authentication information for use in connection with online orders, storing the authentication information, and providing the authentication information in notification communications to customers.

9. Ralph Lauren has infringed one or more claims of the '952 patent through, among other activities, providing electronic notification communications to customers in connection with online orders, which inform them of a change in the order status, and allow the customers to make a change or cancellation regarding the order.

10. Ralph Lauren has infringed one or more claims of the '716 patent through, among other activities, storing customers' contact data in memory and providing notification communications to the customers which enable them to change the contact data.

11. Prior to filing this complaint, Eclipse, by letter, informed Ralph Lauren of its infringement of the Patents-in-Suit, and offered to enter into a licensing arrangement that would allow Ralph Lauren to continue practicing the inventions claimed in the Patents-in-Suit.

12. Ralph Lauren, however, chose not to enter into a licensing agreement with Eclipse.

13. Rather, with knowledge of the Patents-in-Suit and in disregard of Eclipse's patent rights, Ralph Lauren chose to continue its infringement.

14. Accordingly, in infringing the Patents-in-suit, Ralph Lauren has acted knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to induce others to infringe the Patents-in-Suit.

15. Ralph Lauren has actively induced and/or contributed to the infringement by others of one more claims of each of the Patents-in-Suit.

### **Claims for Relief**

#### **Count I**

#### **(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,876,239 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et seq.*)**

16. Eclipse incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 above.

17. On January 25, 2011, United States Patent No. 7,876,239, entitled, "Secure Notification Messaging System and Methods Using

Authentication Indicia” was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Eclipse IP is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the ‘239 patent. A true and correct copy of the ‘239 patent is attached as Exhibit B to this complaint.

18. Eclipse is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Ralph Lauren: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the ‘239 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the ‘239 patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the ‘239 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

**Count II**  
**(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,482,952**  
**Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et seq.*)**

19. Eclipse incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 18 above.

20. On January 27, 2009, United States Patent No. 7,482,952, entitled, “Response Systems and Methods for Notification Systems for Modifying Future Notifications” was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Eclipse IP is the owner of the entire

right, title and interest in and to the '952 patent. A true and correct copy of the '952 patent is attached as Exhibit B to this complaint.

21. Eclipse is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Ralph Lauren: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the '952 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '952 patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '952 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

**Count III**  
**(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,119,716**  
**Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et seq.*)**

22. Eclipse incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 21 above.

23. On October 10, 2006, United States Patent No. 7,119,716, entitled, "Response Systems and Methods for Notification Systems for Modifying Future Notifications" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Eclipse IP is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '716 patent. A true and correct copy of the '716 patent is attached as Exhibit C to this complaint.

24. Eclipse is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Ralph Lauren: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the '716 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '716 patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '716 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

**Prayer for Relief**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Eclipse asks this Court to enter judgment against Ralph Lauren and against each of its respective subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with it, granting the following relief:

(a) An award of damages adequate to compensate Eclipse for the infringement that has occurred, together with prejudgment interest from the date infringement of the Eclipse Patents began;

(b) An award to Eclipse of all remedies available under 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285, including enhanced damages up to and including trebling of Eclipse's damages for Ralph Lauren's willful infringement, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and

(c) Such other and further relief as this Court or a jury may deem proper and just.

**Jury Demand**

Eclipse demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38.

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of April, 2013

*/s/ Melissa R. Smith*  
Melissa Richards Smith  
Texas Bar No. 24001351  
**GILLAM AND SMITH,LLP**  
303 S. Washington Ave.  
Marshall, Texas 75670  
Tel: 903.934.8450  
Fax: 903.934.9257  
[melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com](mailto:melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com)

And

HARMAN LAW LLC  
4279 Roswell Road  
Suite 102-273  
Atlanta, Georgia 30342  
Telephone: (404) 869-1119  
Facsimile: (404) 424-9370

Matthew S. Harman  
Georgia Bar No. 327169  
[mharman@harmanlaw.com](mailto:mharman@harmanlaw.com)

Eric S. Fredrickson  
Georgia Bar No. 489783  
[efredrickson@harmanlaw.com](mailto:efredrickson@harmanlaw.com)



*Attorneys for Plaintiff*