| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4 | FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. Ray K. Harris (No. 007408) 2394 East Camelback Road Suite 600 Phoenix, AZ 85016-3429 Telephone: (602) 916-5000 Email: rharris@fclaw.com |                                     |  |
|------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| 5                | Attorneys for Plaintiff Automated Transactions LLC  |                                     |  |
| 6                |   |                                     |  |
| 7                |   |                                     |  |
| 8                | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |                                     |  |
| 9                | DISTRICT OF ARIZONA   |                                     |  |
| 10               | Automated Transactions LLC,   | No                                  |  |
| 11               | Plaintiff,  | COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL |  |
| 12               | v.  | JURY IRIAL                          |  |
| 13               | Zippos Sports Bar Advanced ATM,   |                                     |  |
| 14               | Defendant.  |                                     |  |
| 15               |   |                                     |  |
| 16               | Plaintiff Automated Transactions LLC ("Automated Transactions") alleges as  |                                     |  |
| 17               | follows:  |                                     |  |
| 18               | <u>PARTIES</u>  |                                     |  |
| 19               | 1. Automated Transactions is a limited liability company organized and  |                                     |  |
| 20               | existing under the laws of the state of Delaware, having a principal place of business at   |                                     |  |
| 21               | 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, DE 19808.   |                                     |  |
| 22               | 2. Upon information and belief, Zippos Sports Bar Advanced ATM ("Zippos")   |                                     |  |
| 23               | has a principal place of business located at 3102 N. Scottsdale Road, Scottsdale, Arizona   |                                     |  |
| 24               | 85251.  |                                     |  |
| 25               | NATURE OF ACTION  |                                     |  |
| 26               | This is an action for patent infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §101, et. seq.  |                                     |  |
|                  | a   |                                     |  |

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 8111827.1/099030.0080

PHOENIX

# 2 3

### 4

### 5

# 6 7

# 8

# 9

# 10

#### 11

# 12

# 13

# 14

# 15 16

### 17

# 18

# 19 20

# 21

# 22

# 23

### 24

# 25

### 26

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 4. 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338.
  - 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§1391 and 1400(b).

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- On August 18, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,575,158 (the "158 patent") was duly 6. and legally issued to David M. Barcelou. A true and correct copy of the '158 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- By license, Automated Transactions is the exclusive licensee of the '158 7. patent with the right to sue for past and future infringement and collect damages therefore in its own name.
- The '158 patent discloses and claims, among other things, integrated 8. banking and transaction machines. Claims 1-3, 11-13 and 15 state as follows:
  - An integrated banking and transaction machine for use by a 1. consumer to purchase access to retail ATM services, comprising:

an automated teller machine;

a user interface to the automated teller machine;

means for identifying the user to the automated teller machine, further comprising a smart card/magnetic stripe reader/encoder and a sensor;

an Internet interface to an Internet connection to the automated teller machine that uses encryption services and security services to provide the user access to the user interface and retail ATM service; and

access to the automated teller machine user interface whereupon the consumer may selectively dispense currency using the integrated banking and transaction machine providing the retail ATM service;

wherein the consumer can purchase access to the retail ATM service through use of the user interface and Internet services connections.

- 2. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with cash.
- 3. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with bills.
- 11. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a credit card.
- 12. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a debit card.
- 13. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a stored value card.
- 15. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with an identification card
- 9. Zippos is using ATMs within this judicial district which incorporate every element of the above claims or substantial equivalents thereof. Therefore, Zippos is directly or indirectly infringing at least the above claims of the '158 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, and is liable for infringement of the '158 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- 10. Zippos also provides the above ATMs for use by customers and others within this judicial district, and provides those customers and others with detailed explanations, instructions and information as to arrangements, applications and uses of these ATMs that promote and demonstrate how to use these ATMs in an infringing manner. These acts constitute inducement to infringe the above claims of the '158 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, whenever a customer uses one of the above ATMs to purchase access to retail ATM services through the use of the user interface and Internet service connections. Zippos is therefore liable for inducing

Zippos's infringement of the '158 patent has caused and continues to cause irreparable harm to Automated Transactions in an amount to be proven at trial. The infringement of the '158 patent by Zippos will continue unless enjoined by this Court. On October 6, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,597,248 (the "248 patent") was duly and legally issued to David M. Barcelou. A true and correct copy of the '248 patent is By license, Automated Transactions is the exclusive licensee of the '248 patent with the right to sue for past and future infringement and collect damages therefore The '248 patent discloses and claims, among other things, integrated banking and transaction machines. Claims 1-3, 5, 11-13 and 15 state as follows: 1. An integrated banking and transaction machine for use by a consumer to purchase access to retail ATM services, means for identifying the user to the automated teller machine. further comprising a smart card/magnetic stripe reader/encoder network services to financial network connections to the automated teller machine that uses encryption services and security services to provide the user access to the user

whereupon the consumer may selectively dispense cash using the integrated banking and transaction machine providing the retail ATM service;

wherein the consumer can purchase access to the retail ATM service through use of the user interface and financial network connections.

- 2. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with cash.
- 3. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with bills.
- 5. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with currency.
- 11. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a credit card.
- 12. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a debit card.
- 13. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a stored value card.
- 15. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with an identification card.
- Zippos is using ATMs within this judicial district which incorporate every 16. element of the above claims or substantial equivalents thereof. Therefore, Zippos is directly or indirectly infringing at least the above claims of the '248 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, and is liable for infringement of the '248 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.

22

23

24

25

17. Zippos also provides the above ATMs for use by customers and others within this judicial district, and provides those customers and others with detailed explanations, instructions and information as to arrangements, applications and uses of these ATMs that promote and demonstrate how to use these ATMs in an infringing manner. These acts constitute inducement to infringe the above claims of the '248 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, whenever a customer uses one of the above ATMs to purchase access to retail ATM services through the use of the user interface and financial network connections. Zippos is therefore liable for inducing infringement of the '248 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.

- 18. Zippos's actions in infringing the '248 patent have been, and continue to be, willful, deliberate and/or in conscious disregard to the rights of Automated Transactions, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285.
- 19. Zippos's infringement of the '248 patent has caused and continues to cause irreparable harm to Automated Transactions in an amount to be proven at trial. The infringement of the '248 patent by Zippos will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 20. On October 13, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,600,677 (the "677 patent") was duly and legally issued to David M. Barcelou. A true and correct copy of the '677 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 21. By license, Automated Transactions is the exclusive licensee of the '677 patent with the right to sue for past and future infringement and collect damages therefore in its own name.
- 22. The '677 patent discloses and claims, among other things, integrated banking and transaction machines. Claims 1-3, 5, 11-13 and 15 state as follows:
  - 1. An integrated banking and transaction machine for use by a consumer to purchase access to retail ATM services,

| 1  |    | cor          |
|----|----|--------------|
| 2  |    | an           |
| 3  |    | a u          |
| 4  |    | me           |
| 5  |    | fur<br>and   |
| 6  |    | an           |
| 7  |    | tell<br>ser  |
| 8  |    | ret          |
| 9  |    | acc          |
| 10 |    | usi<br>pro   |
| 11 |    | wh           |
| 12 |    | ser<br>cor   |
| 13 | 2. | Th           |
| 14 |    | cla          |
| 15 |    | pui          |
| 16 | 3. | Th cla       |
| 17 |    | pu           |
| 18 | 5. | Th           |
| 19 |    | cla<br>pui   |
| 20 | 11 | . Th         |
| 21 | 11 | . 111<br>cla |
| 22 |    | pu           |
| 23 | 12 | . Th         |
| 24 |    | cla<br>pu    |
| 25 | 13 | .Th          |
| 26 |    | <br>1 .      |

### comprising:

an automated teller machine;

a user interface to the automated teller machine;

means for identifying the user to the automated teller machine, further comprising a smart card/magnetic stripe reader/encoder and a sensor;

an Internet interface to the World Wide Web to the automated teller machine that uses encryption services and security services to provide the user access to the user interface and retail ATM service; and

access to the automated teller machine user interface whereupon the consumer may selectively dispense currency using the integrated banking and transaction machine providing the retail ATM service;

wherein the consumer can purchase access to the retail ATM service through use of the user interface and World Wide Web connections.

- 2. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with cash.
- 3. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with bills.
- 5. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with currency.
- 11. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a credit card.
- 12. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a debit card.
- 13. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the

purchase with a stored value card.

- 15. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with an identification card.
- 23. Zippos is using ATMs within this judicial district which incorporate every element of the above claims or substantial equivalents thereof. Therefore, Zippos is directly or indirectly infringing at least the above claims of the '677 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, and is liable for infringement of the '677 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- 24. Zippos also provides the above ATMs for use by customers and others within this judicial district, and provides those customers and others with detailed explanations, instructions and information as to arrangements, applications and uses of these ATMs that promote and demonstrate how to use these ATMs in an infringing manner. These acts constitute inducement to infringe the above claims of the '677 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, whenever a customer uses one of the above ATMs to purchase access to retail ATM services through the use of the user interface and World Wide Web connections. Zippos is therefore liable for inducing infringement of the '677 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- 25. Zippos's actions in infringing the '677 patent have been, and continue to be, willful, deliberate and/or in conscious disregard to the rights of Automated Transactions, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285.
- 26. Zippos's infringement of the '677 patent has caused and continues to cause irreparable harm to Automated Transactions in an amount to be proven at trial. The infringement of the '677 patent by Zippos will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

### FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

27. On April 20, 2010, U.S. Patent No. 7,699,220 (the "220 patent") was duly

and legally issued to David M. Barcelou. A true and correct copy of the '220 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

- 28. By license, Automated Transactions is the exclusive licensee of the '220 patent with the right to sue for past and future infringement and collect damages therefore in its own name.
- 29. The '220 patent discloses and claims, among other things, integrated banking and transaction machines. Claims 1-3, 41-43, 48, 50 and 88 state as follows:
  - 1. An automated retail terminal for use by a consumer to purchase from a banking or commercial ATM provider, cash access services, comprising:

an automated teller machine;

an Internet interface to the automated teller machine for providing the user with services for immediately realizing access to dispensable currency;

a user interface to the automated teller machine;

means for identifying the user to the automated teller machine;

network services to the automated teller machine that include encryption services, security services and at least one ATM network connection;

and means for selectively dispensing at least one form of dispensable currency using the automated teller machine video command or control touchscreen;

where the consumer may purchase cash access services through the use of the user interface and the ATM network connection, in combination with Intranet services, Internet services or the World Wide Web on the Internet.

- 2. The automated retail terminal according to claim 1, further comprising means for accepting cash, checks or removable media deposits.
- 3. The automated retail terminal according to claim 1, further comprising means for check cashing by use of coins, cash or encoding devices.

24

25

|   | 2 |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | 3 |  |
|   | 4 |  |
|   | 5 |  |
|   | 6 |  |
|   | 7 |  |
|   | 8 |  |
|   | 9 |  |
| 1 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 2 |  |
| 1 | 3 |  |
| 1 | 4 |  |
| 1 | 5 |  |
| 1 | 6 |  |
| 1 | 7 |  |
| 1 | 8 |  |
| 1 | 9 |  |
| 2 | 0 |  |
| 2 | 1 |  |
|   |   |  |

41. The automated retail terminal for use by a consumer to purchase from a banking or commercial ATM provider cash access services according to claim 1, where, the consumer may consummate the purchase by means of the use of a credit card.

- 42. The automated retail terminal for use by a consumer to purchase from a banking or commercial ATM provider cash access services according to claim 1, where, the consumer may consummate the purchase by means of the use of a debit card.
- 43. The automated retail terminal for use by a consumer to purchase from a banking or commercial ATM provider cash access services according to claim 1, where, the consumer may consummate the purchase by means of the use of a stored value card.
- 48. The automated retail terminal for use by a consumer to purchase from a banking or commercial ATM provider cash access services according to claim 1, where, the consumer may consummate the purchase by means of the use of an identification card.
- 50. The automated retail terminal for use by a consumer to purchase from a banking or commercial ATM provider cash access services according to claim 1, where, the consumer may consummate the purchase by means of the use of a check cashing service.
- 88. Integrated banking and transaction apparatus for use by a consumer, comprising:

an automated teller machine; and

means for providing a retail transaction to the consumer through an Internet interface and an Intranet service to the automated teller machine.

Zippos is using ATMs within this judicial district which incorporate every 30. element of the above claims or substantial equivalents thereof. Therefore, Zippos is directly or indirectly infringing at least the above claims of the '220 patent, either literally

22.

23

24

25

10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17 18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25

26

or under the doctrine of equivalents, and is liable for infringement of the '220 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.

- Zippos also provides the above ATMs for use by customers and others 31. within this judicial district, and provides those customers and others with detailed explanations, instructions and information as to arrangements, applications and uses of these ATMs that promote and demonstrate how to use these ATMs in an infringing manner. These acts constitute inducement to infringe the above claims of the '220 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, whenever a customer uses one of the above ATMs to purchase access to retail ATM services through the use of the user interface and Internet service connections. Zippos is therefore liable for inducing infringement of the '220 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- Zippos's actions in infringing the '220 patent have been, and continue to be, 32. willful, deliberate and/or in conscious disregard to the rights of Automated Transactions, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285.
- Zippos's infringement of the '220 patent has caused and continues to cause 33. irreparable harm to Automated Transactions in an amount to be proven at trial. The infringement of the '220 patent by Zippos will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

# FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- On August 11, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,571,850 (the "850 patent") was duly 34. and legally issued to David M. Barcelou. A true and correct copy of the '850 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- By license, Automated Transactions is the exclusive licensee of the '850 35. patent with the right to sue for past and future infringement and collect damages therefore in its own name.
- The '850 patent discloses and claims, among other things, integrated 36. banking and transaction machines. Claims 1-3, 11-13 and 15 state as follows:

| 2  |  |
|----|--|
| 3  |  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |

1. An integrated banking and transaction machine for use by a consumer to purchase access to retail ATM services, comprising: an automated teller machine;

a user interface to the automated teller machine; means for identifying the user to the automated teller machine, further comprising a smart card/magnetic stripe reader/encoder and a sensor;

an Internet interface to an Intranet connection to the automated teller machine that uses encryption services and security services to provide the user access to the user interface and retail ATM service;

and access to the automated teller machine user interface whereupon the consumer may selectively dispense encodable credit using the integrated banking and transaction machine providing the retail ATM service;

wherein the consumer can purchase access to the retail ATM service through use of the user interface, Intranet and Internet connections.

- 2. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with cash.
- 3. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with bills.
- 11. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a credit card.
- 12. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a debit card.
- 13. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a stored value card.
- 15. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with an identification card.
- 37. Zippos is using ATMs within this judicial district which incorporate every element of the above claims or substantial equivalents thereof. Therefore, Zippos is directly or indirectly infringing at least the above claims of the '850 patent, either literally

25

or under the doctrine of equivalents, and is liable for infringement of the '850 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.

- 38. Zippos also provides the above ATMs for use by customers and others within this judicial district, and provides those customers and others with detailed explanations, instructions and information as to arrangements, applications and uses of these ATMs that promote and demonstrate how to use these ATMs in an infringing manner. These acts constitute inducement to infringe the above claims of the '850 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, whenever a customer uses one of the above ATMs to purchase access to retail ATM services through the use of the user interface, Intranet and Internet service connections. Zippos is therefore liable for inducing infringement of the '850 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- 39. Zippos's actions in infringing the '850 patent have been, and continue to be, willful, deliberate and /or in conscious disregard to the rights of Automated Transactions, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285.
- 40. Zippos's infringement of the '850 patent has caused and continues to cause irreparable harm to Automated Transactions in an amount to be proven at trial. The infringement of the '850 patent by Zippos will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

# SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 41. On September 22, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,591,420 (the "420 patent") was duly and legally issued to David M. Barcelou. A true and correct copy of the '420 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit F.
- 42. By license, Automated Transactions is the exclusive licensee of the '420 patent with the right to sue for past and future infringement and collect damages therefore in its own name.
- 43. The '420 patent discloses and claims, among other things, integrated banking and transaction machines. Claims 1-3, 11-13 and 15 state as follows:

| 1        |     |
|----------|-----|
| 2        |     |
| 2        |     |
| 4        |     |
| 5        |     |
| 6        |     |
| 7        |     |
| 8        |     |
| 9        |     |
| 10       |     |
| 11       |     |
| 12       |     |
| 13       |     |
| 14       |     |
| 15       |     |
| 16       |     |
| 17       |     |
| 18<br>19 |     |
| 19       |     |
| 20       |     |
| 21       |     |
| 22       |     |
| 23       |     |
| 24       |     |
|          | - 1 |

1. An integrated banking and transaction machine for use by a consumer to purchase access to retail ATM services, comprising: an automated teller machine;

a user interface to the automated teller machine;

means for identifying the user to the automated teller machine, further comprising a smart card/magnetic stripe reader/encoder and a sensor;

an Internet interface to an Intranet connection to the automated teller machine that uses encryption services and security services to provide the user access to the user interface and retail ATM service;

and access to the automated teller machine user interface whereupon the consumer may selectively dispense encodable currency using the integrated banking and transaction machine providing the retail ATM service;

wherein the consumer can purchase access to the retail ATM service through use of the user interface, Intranet and Internet connections.

- 2. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with cash.
- 3. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with bills.
- 11. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a credit card.
- 12. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a debit card.
- 13. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a stored value card.
- 15. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with an identification card.
- 44. Zippos is using ATMs within this judicial district which incorporate every

25

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. Q1119

element of the above claims or substantial equivalents thereof. Therefore, Zippos is directly or indirectly infringing at least the above claims of the '420 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, and is liable for infringement of the '420 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.

- 45. Zippos also provides the above ATMs for use by customers and others within this judicial district, and provides those customers and others with detailed explanations, instructions and information as to arrangements, applications and uses of these ATMs that promote and demonstrate how to use these ATMs in an infringing manner. These acts constitute inducement to infringe the above claims of the '420 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, whenever a customer uses one of the above ATMs to purchase access to retail ATM services through the use of the user interface, Intranet and Internet service connections. Zippos is therefore liable for inducing infringement of the '420 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- 46. Zippos's actions in infringing the '420 patent have been, and continue to be, willful, deliberate and/or in conscious disregard to the rights of Automated Transactions, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285.
- 47. Zippos's infringement of the '420 patent has caused and continues to cause irreparable harm to Automated Transactions in an amount to be proven at trial. The infringement of the '420 patent by Zippos will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

# SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 48. On October 6, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,597,251 (the "251 patent") was duly and legally issued to David M. Barcelou. A true and correct copy of the 251 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit G.
- 49. By license, Automated Transactions is the exclusive licensee of the '251 patent with the right to sue for past and future infringement and collect damages therefore in its own name.

50. The '251 patent discloses and claims, among other things, integrated banking and transaction machines. Claims 1-3, 11-13 and 15 state as follows:

1. An integrated banking and transaction machine for use by a consumer to purchase access to retail ATM services, comprising: an automated teller machine;

a user interface to the automated teller machine;

means for identifying the user to the automated teller machine, further comprising a smart card/magnetic stripe reader/encoder and a sensor;

network services to wireless network connections to the automated teller machine that use encryption services and security services to provide the user access to the user interface and retail ATM service;

and access to the automated teller machine user interface whereupon the consumer may selectively dispense cash using the integrated banking and transaction machine providing the retail ATM service;

wherein the consumer can purchase access to the retail ATM service through use of the user interface and wireless network connections.

- 2. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with cash.
- 3. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with bills.
- 11. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a credit card.
- 12. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a debit card.
- 13. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a stored value card.

8111827.1/099030.0080

- 15 The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with an identification card.
- 51. Zippos is using ATMs within this judicial district which incorporate every element of the above claims or substantial equivalents thereof. Therefore, Zippos is directly or indirectly infringing at least the above claims of the '251 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, and is liable for infringement of the '251 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- 52. Zippos also provides the above ATMs for use by customers and others within this judicial district, and provides those customers and others with detailed explanations, instructions and information as to arrangements, applications and uses of these ATMs that promote and demonstrate how to use these ATMs in an infringing manner. These acts constitute inducement to infringe the above claims of the '251 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, whenever a customer uses one of the above ATMs to purchase access to retail ATM services through the use of the user interface and wireless network connections. Zippos is therefore liable for inducing infringement of the '251 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- 53. Zippos's actions in infringing the '251 patent have been, and continue to be, willful, deliberate and/or in conscious disregard to the rights of Automated Transactions, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285.
- 54. Zippos's infringement of the '251 patent has caused and continues to cause irreparable harm to Automated Transactions in an amount to be proven at trial. The infringement of the '251 patent by Zippos will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

# EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

55. On November 17, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,617,973 (the "'973 patent") was duly and legally issued to David M. Barcelou. A true and correct copy of the '973 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit H.

56. By license, Automated Transactions is the exclusive licensee of the '973 patent with the right to sue for past and future infringement and collect damages therefore in its own name.

- 57. The '973 patent discloses and claims, among other things, integrated banking and transaction machines. Claims 1-3, 11-13 and 15 state as follows:
  - 1. An integrated banking and transaction machine for use by a consumer to purchase access to retail ATM services, comprising: an automated teller machine;

a user interface to the automated teller machine;

means for identifying the user to the automated teller machine, further comprising a smart card/magnetic stripe reader/encoder and a sensor;

network services to Intranet services connections to the automated teller machine that use encryption services and security services to provide the user access to the user interface and retail ATM service;

and access to the automated teller machine user interface whereupon the consumer may selectively dispense currency using the integrated banking and transaction machine providing the retail ATM service;

wherein the consumer can purchase access to the retail ATM service through use of the user interface and Intranet services connections.

- 2. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with cash.
- 3. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with bills.
- 11. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a credit card.
- 12. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a debit card.

25

- 13. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a stored value card.
- 15. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with an identification card.
- 58. Zippos is using ATMs within this judicial district which incorporate every element of the above claims or substantial equivalents thereof. Therefore, Zippos is directly or indirectly infringing at least the above claims of the '973 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, and is liable for infringement of the '973 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- 59. Zippos also provides the above ATMs for use by customers and others within this judicial district, and provides those customers and others with detailed explanations, instructions and information as to arrangements, applications and uses of these ATMs that promote and demonstrate how to use these ATMs in an infringing manner. These acts constitute inducement to infringe the above claims of the '973 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, whenever a customer uses one of the above ATMs to purchase access to retail ATM services through the use of network services. Zippos is therefore liable for inducing infringement of the '973 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- 60. Zippos's actions in infringing the '973 patent have been, and continue to be, willful, deliberate and/or in conscious disregard to the rights of Automated Transactions, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285.
- 61. Zippos's infringement of the '973 patent has caused and continues to cause irreparable harm to Automated Transactions in an amount to be proven at trial. The infringement of the '973 patent by Zippos will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

### NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 62. On November 24, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,621,444 (the "'444 patent") was duly and legally issued to David M. Barcelou. A true and correct copy of the '444 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit I.
- 63. By license, Automated Transactions is the exclusive licensee of the '444 patent with the right to sue for past and future infringement and collect damages therefore in its own name.
- 64. The '444 patent discloses and claims, among other things, integrated banking and transaction machines. Claims 1-3, 11-13 and 15 state as follows:
  - 1. An integrated banking and transaction machine for use by a consumer to purchase access to retail ATM services, comprising: an automated teller machine;

a user interface to the automated teller machine;

and means for identifying the user to the automated teller machine, further comprising a smart card/magnetic stripe reader/encoder and a sensor;

network services to banking network connections to the automated teller machine that use encryption services and security services to provide the user access to the user interface and retail ATM service;

and access to the automated teller machine user interface whereupon the consumer may selectively dispense cash using the integrated banking and transaction machine providing the retail ATM service;

wherein the consumer can purchase access to the retail ATM service through use of the user interface and banking network connections.

- 2. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with cash.
- 3. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with bills.
- 11. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to

claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a credit card.

- 12. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a debit card.
- 13. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a stored value card.
- 15. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with an identification card.
- 65. Zippos is using ATMs within this judicial district which incorporate every element of the above claims or substantial equivalents thereof. Therefore, Zippos is directly or indirectly infringing at least the above claims of the '444 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, and is liable for infringement of the '444 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- 66. Zippos also provides the above ATMs for use by customers and others within this judicial district, and provides those customers and others with detailed explanations, instructions and information as to arrangements, applications and uses of these ATMs that promote and demonstrate how to use these ATMs in an infringing manner. These acts constitute inducement to infringe the above claims of the '444 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, whenever a customer uses one of the above ATMs to purchase access to retail ATM services through the use of network services. Zippos is therefore liable for inducing infringement of the '444 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- 67. Zippos's actions in infringing the '444 patent have been, and continue to be, willful, deliberate and/or in conscious disregard to the rights of Automated Transactions, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285.
  - 68. Zippos's infringement of the '444 patent has caused and continues to cause

| 2  | iı     |
|----|--------|
| 3  |        |
| 4  |        |
| 5  | d      |
| 6  | a      |
| 7  |        |
| 8  | p      |
| 9  | i      |
| 10 |        |
| 11 | b      |
| 12 |        |
| 13 |        |
| 14 |        |
| 15 |        |
| 16 |        |
| 17 |        |
| 18 |        |
| 19 |        |
| 20 |        |
| 21 | -<br>- |
| 22 |        |
| 23 |        |
| 24 |        |
| 25 |        |
|    | 1      |

irreparable harm to Automated Transactions in an amount to be proven at trial. The nfringement of the '444 patent by Zippos will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

#### TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- On September 14, 2010, U.S. Patent No. 7,793,830 (the "830 patent") was 69. luly and legally issued to David M. Barcelou. A true and correct copy of the '830 patent is ttached hereto as Exhibit J.
- By license, Automated Transactions is the exclusive licensee of the '830 70. patent with the right to sue for past and future infringement and collect damages therefore n its own name.
- The '830 patent discloses and claims, among other things, integrated 71. panking and transaction machines. Claims 1-3, 11-13 and 15 state as follows:
  - 1. An integrated banking and transaction machine for use by a consumer to purchase access to retail ATM services, comprising: an automated teller machine;

a user interface to the automated teller machine;

means for identifying the user to the automated teller machine, further comprising a smart card/magnetic stripe reader/encoder and a sensor:

an Internet interface to the automated teller machine that uses encryption services and security services to provide the user access to the user interface and retail ATM service;

and access to the automated teller machine user interface whereupon the consumer may selectively dispense currency using the integrated banking and transaction machine providing the retail ATM service;

wherein the consumer can purchase access to the retail ATM service through use of the user interface and the Internet interface.

- 2 The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with cash.
- 3. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the

2 3

4 5

6

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C

purchase with bills.

- 11. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a credit card.
- 12. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a debit card.
- 13. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a stored value card.
- 15. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with an identification card.
- Zippos is using ATMs within this judicial district which incorporate every 72. element of the above claims or substantial equivalents thereof. Therefore, Zippos is directly or indirectly infringing at least the above claims of the '830 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, and is liable for infringement of the '830 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- Zippos also provides the above ATMs for use by customers and others 73. within this judicial district, and provides those customers and others with detailed explanations, instructions and information as to arrangements, applications and uses of these ATMs that promote and demonstrate how to use these ATMs in an infringing manner. These acts constitute inducement to infringe the above claims of the '830 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, whenever a customer uses one of the above ATMs to purchase access to retail ATM services through the use of the user interface and Internet service connections. Zippos is therefore liable for inducing infringement of the '830 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- Zippos's actions in infringing the '830 patent have been, and continue to be, 74. willful, deliberate and/or in conscious disregard to the rights of Automated Transactions, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285.

- 23 -

75. Zippos's infringement of the '830 patent has caused and continues to cause irreparable harm to Automated Transactions in an amount to be proven at trial. The infringement of the '830 patent by Zippos will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

### **ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

- 76. On September 28, 2010, U.S. Patent No. 7,802,718 (the "'718 patent") was duly and legally issued to David M. Barcelou. A true and correct copy of the '718 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit K.
- 77. By license, Automated Transactions is the exclusive licensee of the '718 patent with the right to sue for past and future infringement and collect damages therefore in its own name.
- 78. The '718 patent discloses and claims, among other things, integrated banking and transaction machines. Claims 1-3, 11-13 and 15 state as follows:
  - 1. An integrated banking and transaction machine for use by a consumer to purchase access to retail automated teller machine services, comprising: an automated teller machine;

a user interface to the automated teller machine;

an identification device for identifying the user to the automated teller machine, further comprising a smart card/magnetic stripe reader/encoder and a sensor;

an Internet interface to an Intranet connection to the automated teller machine that uses encryption services and security services to provide the user access to the user interface and retail automated teller machine service;

and access to the automated teller machine user interface whereupon the consumer may selectively dispense bills and/or coins using the integrated banking and transaction machine providing the retail automated teller machine service;

wherein the consumer can purchase access to the retail automated teller machine service through use of the user interface, Intranet and Internet connections.

2. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the

purchase with cash.

- 3. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with bills.
- 11. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a credit card.
- 12. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a debit card.
- 13. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a stored value card.
- 15. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with an identification card.
- 79. Zippos is using ATMs within this judicial district which incorporate every element of the above claims or substantial equivalents thereof. Therefore, Zippos is directly or indirectly infringing at least the above claims of the '718 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, and is liable for infringement of the '718 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- 80. Zippos also provides the above ATMs for use by customers and others within this judicial district, and provides those customers and others with detailed explanations, instructions and information as to arrangements, applications and uses of these ATMs that promote and demonstrate how to use these ATMs in an infringing manner. These acts constitute inducement to infringe the above claims of the '718 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, whenever a customer uses one of the above ATMs to purchase access to retail ATM services through the use of the user interface. Intranet and Internet service connections. Zippos is therefore liable for inducing

- 25 -

PHOENIX

infringement of the '718 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.

- 81. Zippos's actions in infringing the '718 patent have been, and continue to be, willful, deliberate and/or in conscious disregard to the rights of Automated Transactions, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285.
- 82. Zippos's infringement of the '718 patent has caused and continues to cause irreparable harm to Automated Transactions in an amount to be proven at trial. The infringement of the '718 patent by Zippos will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

### TWELFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 83. On November 23, 2010, U.S. Patent No. 7,837,101 (the "101 patent") was duly and legally issued to David M. Barcelou. A true and correct copy of the '101 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit L.
- 84. By license, Automated Transactions is the exclusive licensee of the '101 patent with the right to sue for past and future infringement and collect damages therefore in its own name.
- 85. The '101 patent discloses and claims, among other things, integrated banking and transaction machines. Claims 1-3, 11-13 and 15 state as follows:
  - 1. An integrated banking and transaction machine for use by a consumer to purchase access to retail automated teller machine services, comprising: an automated teller machine;

a user interface to the automated teller machine;

means for identifying the user to the automated teller machine, further comprising a smart card/magnetic stripe reader/encoder and a sensor;

network services to satellite network connections to the automated teller machine that use encryption services and security services to provide the user access to the user interface and retail ATM service;

and access to the automated teller machine user interface whereupon the consumer may selectively dispense cash using the integrated banking and transaction machine providing the retail ATM service; wherein the consumer can purchase access to the retail automated teller machine service through use of the user interface and satellite network connections.

- 2. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with cash.
- 3. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with bills.
- 11. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a credit card.
- 12. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a debit card.
- 13. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with a stored value card.
- 15. The integrated banking and transaction machine according to claim 1, further comprising means for consummating the purchase with an identification card.
- 86. Zippos is using ATMs within this judicial district which incorporate every element of the above claims or substantial equivalents thereof. Therefore, Zippos is directly or indirectly infringing at least the above claims of the '101 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, and is liable for infringement of the '101 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.
- 87. Zippos also provides the above ATMs for use by customers and others within this judicial district, and provides those customers and others with detailed explanations, instructions and information as to arrangements, applications and uses of these ATMs that promote and demonstrate how to use these ATMs in an infringing manner. These acts constitute inducement to infringe the above claims of the '101 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, whenever a customer uses one of the

21

22

23

24

2.5

above ATMs to purchase access to retail ATM services through the use of network services. Zippos is therefore liable for inducing infringement of the '101 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §271.

- 88. Zippos's actions in infringing the '101 patent have been, and continue to be, willful, deliberate and/or in conscious disregard to the rights of Automated Transactions, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285.
- 89. Zippos's infringement of the '101 patent has caused and continues to cause irreparable harm to Automated Transactions in an amount to be proven at trial. The infringement of the '101 patent by Zippos will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Automated Transactions prays for judgment against Zippos as follows:

- 1) Declaring Zippos has infringed United States Patent No. 7,575,158;
- 2) Permanently enjoining Zippos, its officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries and employees, and those in privity or in active concert with tem, from further activities that constitute infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement of United States Patent No. 7,575,158;
- Awarding lost profits or a reasonable royalty and other damages arising from Zippos's infringement of United States Patent No. 7,575,158 including treble damages, to Automated Transactions, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;
- 4) This case be declared an "exceptional case" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285 and awarding treble damages and reasonable attorneys' fees to Automated Transactions;
- 5) Declaring Zippos has infringed United States Patent No. 7,597,248;
- 6) Permanently enjoining Zippos, its officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries and

employees, and those in privity or in active concert with them, from further activities that constitute infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement of United States Patent No. 7,597,248.

- Awarding lost profits or a reasonable royalty and other damages arising from Zippos's infringement of United States Patent No. 7,597,248 including treble damages, to Automated Transactions, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;
- 8) This case be declared an "exceptional case" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285 and awarding treble damages and reasonable attorneys' fees to Automated Transactions;
- 9) Declaring Zippos has infringed United States Patent No. 7,600,677;
- 10) Permanently enjoining Zippos, its officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries and employees, and those in privity or in active concert with them, from further activities that constitute infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement of United States Patent No. 7,600,677.
- Awarding lost profits or a reasonable royalty and other damages arising from Zippos's infringement of United States Patent No. 7,600,677 including treble damages, to Automated Transactions, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;
- 12) This case be declared an "exceptional case" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285 and awarding treble damages and reasonable attorneys' fees to Automated Transactions;
- 13) Declaring Zippos has infringed United States Patent No. 7,699,220;
- 14) Permanently enjoining Zippos, its officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries and employees, and those in privity or in active concert with them, from further activities that constitute infringement, contributory infringement and/or

inducing infringement of United States Patent No. 7,699,220.

- Awarding lost profits or a reasonable royalty and other damages arising from Zippos's infringement of United States Patent No. 7,699,220 including treble damages, to Automated Transactions, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;
- This case be declared an "exceptional case" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 16) §285 and awarding treble damages and reasonable attorneys' fees to Automated Transactions.
- 17) Declaring Zippos has infringed United States Patent No. 7,571,850;
- 18) Permanently enjoining Zippos, its officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries and employees, and those in privity or in active concert with them, from further activities that constitute infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement of United States Patent No. 7,571,850.
- 19) Awarding lost profits or a reasonable royalty and other damages arising from Zippos's infringement of United States Patent No. 7,571,850 including treble damages, to Automated Transactions, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;
- This case be declared an "exceptional case" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 20) §285 and awarding treble damages and reasonable attorneys' fees to Automated Transactions.
- 21) Declaring Zippos has infringed United States Patent No. 7,591,420;
- Permanently enjoining Zippos, its officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries and employees, and those in privity or in active concert with them, from further activities that constitute infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement of United States Patent No. 7,591,420.
- 23) Awarding lost profits or a reasonable royalty and other damages arising from

23

24

25

Zippos's infringement of United States Patent No. 7,591,420 including treble damages, to Automated Transactions, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;

- 24) This case be declared an "exceptional case" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285 and awarding treble damages and reasonable attorneys' fees to Automated Transactions.
- 25) Declaring Zippos has infringed United States Patent No. 7,597,251;
- 26) Permanently enjoining Zippos, its officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries and employees, and those in privity or in active concert with them, from further activities that constitute infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement of United States Patent No. 7,597,251.
- Awarding lost profits or a reasonable royalty and other damages arising from Zippos's infringement of United States Patent No. 7,597,251 including treble damages, to Automated Transactions, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;
- 28) This case be declared an "exceptional case" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285 and awarding treble damages and reasonable attorneys' fees to Automated Transactions.
- 29) Declaring Zippos has infringed United States Patent No. 7,617,973;
- 30) Permanently enjoining Zippos, its officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries and employees, and those in privity or in active concert with them, from further activities that constitute infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement of United States Patent No. 7,617,973.
- 31) Awarding lost profits or a reasonable royalty and other damages arising from Zippos's infringement of United States Patent No. 7,617,973 including treble damages, to Automated Transactions, together with prejudgment and

- post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;
- 32) This case be declared an "exceptional case" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285 and awarding treble damages and reasonable attorneys' fees to Automated Transactions.
- 33) Declaring Zippos has infringed United States Patent No. 7,621,444;
- 34) Permanently enjoining Zippos, its officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries and employees, and those in privity or in active concert with them, from further activities that constitute infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement of United States Patent No. 7,621,444.
- Awarding lost profits or a reasonable royalty and other damages arising from Zippos's infringement of United States Patent No. 7,621,444 including treble damages, to Automated Transactions, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;
- 36) This case be declared an "exceptional case" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285 and awarding treble damages and reasonable attorneys' fees to Automated Transactions.
- 37) Declaring Zippos has infringed United States Patent No. 7,793,830;
- Permanently enjoining Zippos, its officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries and employees, and those in privity or in active concert with them, from further activities that constitute infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement of United States Patent No. 7,793,830.
- Awarding lost profits or a reasonable royalty and other damages arising from Zippos's infringement of United States Patent No. 7,793,830 including treble damages, to Automated Transactions, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;
- 40) This case be declared an "exceptional case" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C.

§285 and awarding treble damages and reasonable attorneys' fees to Automated Transactions.

- 41) Declaring Zippos has infringed United States Patent No. 7,802,718;
- 42) Permanently enjoining Zippos, its officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries and employees, and those in privity or in active concert with them, from further activities that constitute infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement of United States Patent No. 7,802,718.
- 43) Awarding lost profits or a reasonable royalty and other damages arising from Zippos's infringement of United States Patent No. 7,802,718 including treble damages, to Automated Transactions, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;
- This case be declared an "exceptional case" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §285 and awarding treble damages and reasonable attorneys' fees to Automated Transactions.
- 45) Declaring Zippos has infringed United States Patent No. 7,837,101;
- 46) Permanently enjoining Zippos, its officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries and employees, and those in privity or in active concert with them, from further activities that constitute infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement of United States Patent No. 7,837,101.
- Awarding lost profits or a reasonable royalty and other damages arising from Zippos's infringement of United States Patent No. 7,837,101 including treble damages, to Automated Transactions, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;
- This case be declared an "exceptional case" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 48) §285 and awarding treble damages and reasonable attorneys' fees to Automated Transactions.

25

49) Awarding Automated Transactions such other and further relief as the Court 1 deems just and proper. 2 3 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Plaintiff requests a trial by jury of all issues. 4 DATED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of April, 2013. 5 6 FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. By <u>/s/Ray K. Harris</u> Ray K. Harris 8 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff Automated Transactions LLC 10 Co-Counsel: 11 Albert L. Jacobs, Jr. 12 Gerard F. Diebner John Murray 13 Tannenbaum Helpern Syracuse & Hirschtritt LLP 14 900 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 15 (212) 508-6700 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.
PHOENIX

8111827.1/099030.0080