1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Jennifer A. Kash (Bar No. 203679) jenniferkash@quinnemanuel.com Eric E. Wall (Bar No. 248692) ericwall@quinnemanuel.com QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 David A. Nelson (pro hac vice) davenelson@quinnemanuel.com					
8	Stephen A. Swedlow (pro hac vice)					
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 500 West Madison Street, Suite 2450 Chicago, IL 60661 Telephone: (312) 705-7400 Facsimile: (312) 705-7401 Kate Cassidy (pro hac vice) katecassidy@quinnemanuel.com QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100 Attorneys for Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant Symantec Corporation. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
19 20	SYMANTEC CORPORATION,	Case No. 3:11-cv-05310 EMC (consolidated for all purposes with Case No. CV12-01062 PSG)				
21	Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant,	THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 7,565,517 AND 7,266,655 AND SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 7,093,086, 7,322,010, AND				
22	VS.					
23 24	ACRONIS, INC. ACRONIS INTERNATIONAL GMBH, and OOO Acronis					
25	Defendants-Counterclaimants.	6,615,365				
26		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
27	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS					
28						

Case No. 3:11-cv-05310 EMC
THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

This is a patent infringement action brought before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§

1331 and 1338(a). On March 2, 2012 Symantec filed an Amended Complaint for patent infringement regarding U.S. Patent Nos. 7,565,517 ("the '517 patent") and 7,266,655 ("the '655 patent") (Dkt 54) and a Complaint for patent infringement regarding U.S. Patent Nos. 7,093,086 ("the '086 patent"), 7,322,010 ("the '010 patent"), and 6,615,365 ("the '365 patent") in Case No. CV12-01062 PSG. Those cases are related and consolidated under the above captioned case. (*See* Dkt 58). In this amended complaint against Defendants, Acronis, Inc., Acronis International GmbH, and OOO Acronis (collectively "Acronis"), Plaintiff, Symantec Corporation ("Symantec"), alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action brought by Symantec against Acronis for Acronis' infringement of Symantec's patents. In particular, Symantec seeks remedies for Acronis' infringement of the '517 patent, the '655 patent, the '086 patent, the '010 patent, and the '365 patent (collectively, "the Asserted Patents").

PARTIES

- Symantec Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a principal place of business at 350 Ellis Street, Mountain View, California 94043.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Acronis, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a principal place of business at 300 Trade Center, Suite 6700, Elm Street, Woburn, Massachusetts 01801. Upon information and belief, Acronis sells backup, recovery and security software both directly and indirectly through partners, resellers and retailers.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Acronis International GmbH is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Switzerland, having a principal place of business at Rheinweg 9 Schaffhausen, CH-8200, Switzerland.

2.5

5. Upon information and belief, OOO Acronis is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Russia, having a principal place of business at Altufievskoye highway 44, Moscow, Russia. Upon information and belief, OOO Acronis researches, develops and offers to sell backup, recovery and security software

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This lawsuit is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.* Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Acronis, Inc. for at least the following reasons: (i) Acronis has designated an agent for service of process in the State of California; (ii) Acronis has committed acts of patent infringement and/or contributed to or induced acts of patent infringement by others in this District and elsewhere in California and the United States; (iii) Acronis regularly does business or solicits business, engages in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or derives substantial revenue from products and/or services provided to individuals in this District and in this State; and (iv) Acronis has purposefully established substantial, systematic and continuous contacts with this District and expects or should reasonably expect to be haled into court here. Thus, this Court's exercise of jurisdiction over Acronis will not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 8. Based on Acronis International GmbH's counsel's representations, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Acronis International GmbH for at least the following reasons: (i) Acronis International GmbH has committed acts of patent infringement and/or contributed to or induced acts of patent infringement by others in this District or elsewhere in California and the United States, (ii) Acronis International GmbH regularly does business or solicits business, engages in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or derives substantial revenue from products and/or services provided to individuals in this District and in this State and (iii) Acronis International GmbH has purposefully established substantial, systematic and continuous contacts with this District and expects or should reasonably expect to be haled into court here. Thus, this Court's exercise of jurisdiction over Acronis International GmbH will not offend traditional

notions of fair play and substantial justice. Further, Acronis International GmbH has asserted counterclaims against Symantec in the above captioned case and has thus consented to jurisdiction in this district.

- 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over OOO Acronis for at least the following reasons: (i) OOO Acronis has committed acts of patent infringement and/or contributed to or induced acts of patent infringement by others in this District or elsewhere in California and the United States, (ii) OOO Acronis regularly solicits business, engages in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or derives substantial revenue from products and/or services provided to individuals in this District and in this State and (iii) OOO Acronis has purposefully established substantial, systematic and continuous contacts with this District and expects or should reasonably expect to be haled into court here. Thus, this Court's exercise of jurisdiction over OOO Acronis will not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 10. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(c) and 1400(b) because Acronis, Inc. does business in the State of California, has committed acts of infringement in this State and in this District, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred in this District, and Acronis is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.
- Acronis International GmbH sells the accused Acronis products in the U.S., venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(c) and 1400(b) because Acronis International GmbH does business in the State of California, has committed acts of infringement in this State and in this District, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred in this District, and Acronis International GmbH is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.
- 12. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(c) and 1400(b) because OOO Acronis does business in the State of California, has committed acts of infringement in this State and in this District, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred in this District, and OOO Acronis is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

13. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c), this case is appropriate for assignment on a district-wide basis because this is an Intellectual Property Action.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Symantec's History

- 14. Since its inception, Symantec has been providing software products to enhance its customers' computing productivity, security and reliability. Symantec was founded in 1982 by computer scientist Gary Hendrix with a grant from the National Science Foundation. Originally focused on natural language processing and artificial intelligence-related products, Symantec grew throughout the 1980s through organic growth and strategic acquisitions in the computer software field. In 1990, Symantec merged with Peter Norton Computing, a developer of various consumer antivirus and data management utilities. At the time, Symantec was already a market leader for Macintosh antivirus and utilities software and had already begun development of a DOS-based antivirus program, making the merger with Norton strategically advantageous. "Norton Antivirus" was launched in 1991. By 1993, the Norton product group accounted for 82% of Symantec's total revenues.
- 15. Among other areas of expansion, Symantec sought to develop and acquire more products for corporate customers. Specifically, Symantec sought to offer products that would serve enterprise environments in which desktop computers were connected with local and other networks. Symantec was determined to achieve its goal of providing integrated, platform-independent and centralized network administration solutions. Symantec's investment and innovation led to the launching the Norton Enterprise Framework in 1996.
- 16. By the late 1990s, Symantec was marketing three major product lines. The first covered security and assistance products, consisting mainly of Norton AntiVirus and Norton Utilities products to keep personal computers protected and reliable. The second line included remote productivity solutions, which enabled telecommuters, mobile professionals and workers in remote offices to access information, applications and data on-demand from any location. The third line included internet tools, primarily for Java programmers. Symantec expended

tremendous resources in research and development to create the intellectual property upon which these products are based.

- 17. In 2005, Symantec merged with Veritas Software Corporation ("Veritas"), a pioneer and market leader specialized in storage management software. With the addition of Veritas, Symantec doubled its size to more than 15,000 employees. More importantly, the merger of Veritas and Symantec brought with it a vast amount of additional expertise and talent upon which the new joint venture could build. Indeed, with the merger, Symantec also acquired the know-how and intellectual property developed by Veritas through Veritas' own extensive research and development investments. As a result of the merger, Symantec established itself as a leader in the enterprise software market, enabling Symantec to address and solve an expansive spectrum of security and data management challenges.
- 18. Since 2005, Symantec has continued its innovation in the enterprise field helping customers from consumers and small businesses to the largest global organizations secure and manage their information. Today, Symantec offers state-of-the-art products such as Enterprise Vault 7.0 which provides companies a comprehensive system to store, manage, backup and archive critical business information. In addition, Symantec provides other leading end-to-end enterprise solutions such as Backup Exec, Symantec Endpoint Protection, NetBackup, and Storage Foundation.
- 19. One of the major keys to Symantec's success has been its substantial investment in research and development which has enabled Symantec to offer its users the most innovative technologies on the market. Symantec has invested more than \$5 billion in research and development since 2005. Indeed, Symantec's patent portfolio includes over 1,900 issued patents and more than 1,900 pending patent applications, including over 1,700 patent applications filed since 2005.
- 20. As a result of Symantec's investments, Symantec has become one of the largest and most successful computer software companies in the United States. This success, in turn, has helped thousands of Symantec customers increase their own productivity through more secure and reliable computer systems and networks.

Symantec's Patents-in-Suit

2.2.

- 21. The Patents-in-Suit are a reflection of Symantec's research and development achievements. To enable an enterprise to securely and reliably backup and recover its critical business data, certain functionality relating to the saving and recovery of data is essential. Among the features necessary to perform state-of-the art backups and recoveries include (i) imaging of physical and virtual machines, (ii) restoring imaged data to various computer hardware configurations, and (iii) use of an effective user interface to manage system backups. These features involve use of Symantec's innovations.
- 22. In many circumstances, a user will find it necessary or desirable to modify the backed-up data or software. For example, to fully recover from a disaster, often backed-up data must be restored to hardware different than its original source. This process presents unique challenges as sometimes the backed-up data and backed-up software require modifications to be usable in a different hardware configuration or environment. Symantec's '517 patent is directed to effective methods of enabling such data recovery.
- 23. Users of virtual machines need effective backups just as much as users of physical machines. Symantec innovators recognized the need to back up a virtual machine to a destination separate from a storage device used by the virtual machine itself. Symantec's '086 patent is directed to methods that address this need.
- 24. When backing up data from a computer system, a user must choose the location for storing the backup. In some instances, such as when a computer has only a single partition for storing data, a user may want to store their date to the same partition that they are backing up. the '365 patent is directed to methods and media storing computer instructions for creating a backup image on the same partition that is being backed-up and to restoring data from such an image.
- 25. It is important to catalogue backed-up data in order to locate the relevant data during a restore. As data is continually backed up, there is a need to keep track of which backups store which portions of the data. Symantec's '655 patent is directed to methods and a computer readable medium storing instructions for maintaining an accurate catalogue of backed-up data.

Symantec also invented a graphical user interface that enables a user to

simultaneously view the contents of a computer that had been backed up and the destination

computer on which the backed-up information would be restored. This interface facilitates

restoration operations. The '010 patent is directed to methods and media for providing this

Acronis' History

26.

interface.

- 27. Acronis, Inc. was not incorporated until 2000. It was incorporated in Delaware by Serguei Beloussov, Max Tsypliaev, and Ilya Zubarev with headquarters in Woburn, Massachusetts. Today, Acronis focuses mainly on software products for backup and disaster recovery. Upon information and belief, Acronis' software development primarily takes place in Moscow, Russia.
- 28. Acronis' core product line is its Backup and Recovery line, which provides diskimaging backup and disaster recovery software in variations for private users, branch offices and large server installations for enterprise clients. More recently, Acronis has been aiming its products at protecting data in messaging and database systems within corporate environments, seeking to expand into the large enterprise market, in many cases supporting virtualized environments.
- 29. Upon information and belief and based on Acronis International GmbH's counsel's representations, Acronis International GmbH has offered for sale and sold Acronis' Backup and Recovery and True Image product lines in the United States since at least 2009.
- 30. OOO Acronis is a Russian limited liability company. Its headquarters is located in Moscow, Russia. Upon information and belief, OOO Acronis has made, used, offered for sale and instructed customers to use Acronis' various product lines, including the Backup and Recovery and True Image product lines, in the United States.
- 31. Symantec is harmed by Acronis' use of Symantec's patented technologies in a way that cannot be compensated for by payment of a royalty alone. Acronis has received millions of dollars in revenue and increased its market share by selling products that incorporate Symantec's

technology without having to incur the costs of developing this technology. Symantec, on the other hand, has borne and continues to bear these costs.

32. Even if Acronis were to subsequently pay past due royalties, it would still enjoy a market share it has developed during its period of "free riding" on Symantec's intellectual property. Due to the difficulty in predicting whether, if at all, Symantec can recover this market share, Symantec's harm cannot be compensated by payment of past due royalties alone.

Acronis Infringes Symantec's Patents

- 33. Symantec's Asserted Patents claim methods and systems for creating backups and recovery for physical, virtual and cloud environments. Acronis has infringed and continues to infringe Symantec's Asserted patents through at least its Backup and Recovery and True Image product lines.
- 34. Upon information and belief and based on Acronis International GmbH's counsel's representations, Acronis International GmbH offers for sale and sells the Acronis Backup and Recovery and True Image product lines in the United States.
- 35. Also upon information and belief, OOO Acronis makes, uses, and offers to sell the Backup and Recovery and True Image product lines in the United States.
- 36. On November 2, 2011, Symantec served on Acronis, Inc its originally filed complaint with copies of the Asserted Patents affixed thereto. Thus, as of November 2, 2011, Acronis, Inc, and Acronis International GmbH have had knowledge of the Asserted Patents and, on information and belief, actively and knowingly induce their customers to infringe the patents in suit by providing instructions, including without limitation user guides, as to how to use the backup and recovery features of its Backup and Recovery and True Image product lines.
- 37. On information and belief, OOO Acronis became aware of these claims and patents on or about November 2, 2011 because executives of Acronis, Inc. and Acronis GmbH also have executive responsibility over OOO Acronis; the lawsuit was relevant to products that OOO Acronis developed for use and sale by Acronis, Inc. and Acronis GmbH; and OOO Acronis and/or employees of OOO Acronis communicated with and are represented by Acronis, Inc. and Acronis GmbH's counsel.

- 38. In addition, OOO Acronis makes publicly available marketing, sales, and customer support materials that describe and direct users to use infringing features of the Backup & Recovery and True Image product lines in an infringing manner. On information and belief, OOO Acronis also provides verbal and written instructions, including technical know-how, to their distributors and customers that intentionally aid, assist, and encourage infringement.
- 39. OOO Acronis also indirectly infringes the Asserted Patents by offering to sell the Backup & Recovery and True Image product lines, which are designed to be used (and are used by distributors, consumers, and end-users) in a manner that infringes Symantec's Asserted Patents.

 OOO Acronis knew that the Backup & Recovery and True Image product lines are especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of Symantec's Asserted Patents since at least November 2, 2011. The Backup & Recovery and True Image product lines, on information and belief, have no substantial non-infringing uses and are material to the claimed inventions.

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,565,517

- 40. Symantec incorporates by reference the preceding averments set forth in paragraphs 1-39.
- 41. The '517 patent, entitled "Retargeting a Captured Image to New Hardware While in a Pre-Boot Environment," was duly and lawfully issued on July 21, 2009. A true and correct copy of the '517 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 1.
- 42. Symantec is the owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '517 patent, including the right to bring this suit for injunctive relief and damages.

On information and belief, Acronis has infringed and continues to infringe, has contributed to and continues to contribute to acts of infringement, and/or has actively and knowingly induced and continues to actively and knowingly induce the infringement of the '517 patent by making, using, offering for sale and selling in the United States, and by importing into the United States without authority, and/or by causing others to make, use, offer for sale and sell in the United States, and import into the United States without authority, products and services, including but not limited to the Acronis Backup & Recovery line of products and related services, for example, The Acronis Backup & Recovery line of products and related services including, for example,

1	Backup & Recovery 11.5 Workstation, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Server for Windows, Backup &		
$\frac{1}{2}$	Recovery 11.5 Advanced Server SBS Edition, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Server for Linux, Backup		
3	& Recovery 11.5 for Microsoft Exchange Server Backup & Recovery 11.5 Online, Backup &		
4			
	Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for Hyper-V, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for RHEV,		
5	Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for Parallels, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition		
6	for Citrix XenServer, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for VMware vSphere, Backup &		
7	Recovery 11.5 Advanced Server for Windows, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Server for		
8	Linux, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Workstation, Backup & Recovery 11 Workstation,		
9	Backup & Recovery 11 Server for Windows, Backup & Recovery 11 Advanced Server SBS		
10	Edition, Backup & Recovery 11 Server for Linux, Backup & Recovery 11 Online, Backup &		
11	Recovery 11 Advanced Server, Backup & Recovery 11 Virtual Edition, and Backup & Recovery		
12	11 Advanced Workstation.		
13	43. On information and belief, Acronis' infringement, contributory infringement and/or		
14	inducement of infringement is literal infringement or, in the alternative, infringement under the		
15	doctrine of equivalents.		
16	44. Acronis' infringing activities have caused and will continue to cause Symantec		
17	irreparable harm, for which it has no adequate remedy at law, unless Acronis' infringing activities		
18	are enjoined by this Court in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 283.		
19	45. Symantec has been and continues to be damaged by Acronis' infringement of the		
20	'517 patent in an amount to be determined at trial.		
21	COUNT II: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,266,655		
22	46. Symantec incorporates by reference the preceding averments set forth in paragraphs		
23	1-45.		
24	47. The '655 patent, entitled "Synthesized Backup Set Catalog," was duly and lawfully		
25	issued on September 4, 2007. A true and correct copy of the '655 patent is attached to this		
26	Complaint as Exhibit 2.		
- 1			

48.

the right to bring this suit for injunctive relief and damages.

27

28

Symantec is the owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '655 patent, including

49. On information and belief, Acronis has infringed and continues to infringe, has				
contributed to and continues to contribute to acts of infringement, and/or has actively and				
knowingly induced and continues to actively and knowingly induce the infringement of the '655				
patent by making, using, offering for sale and selling in the United States, and by importing into				
the United States without authority, and/or by causing others to make, use, offer for sale and sell				
in the United States, and import into the United States without authority, products and services,				
including but not limited to the Acronis Backup & Recovery line of products and related services				
for example, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Workstation, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Server for				
Windows, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Server SBS Edition, Backup & Recovery 11.5				
Server for Linux, Backup & Recovery 11.5 for Microsoft Exchange Server Backup & Recovery				
11.5 Online, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for Hyper-V, Backup & Recovery 11.5				
Virtual Edition for RHEV, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for Parallels, Backup &				
Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for Citrix XenServer, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition fo				
VMware vSphere, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Server for Windows, Backup & Recovery				
11.5 Advanced Server for Linux, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Workstation, Backup &				
Recovery 11 Workstation, Backup & Recovery 11 Server for Windows, Backup & Recovery 11				
Advanced Server SBS Edition, Backup & Recovery 11 Server for Linux, Backup & Recovery 11				
Online, Backup & Recovery 11 Advanced Server, Backup & Recovery 11 Virtual Edition, and				
Backup & Recovery 11 Advanced Workstation				

- 50. On information and belief, Acronis' infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducement of infringement is literal infringement or, in the alternative, infringement under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 51. Acronis' infringing activities have caused and will continue to cause Symantec irreparable harm, for which it has no adequate remedy at law, unless Acronis' infringing activities are enjoined by this Court in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 283.
- 52. Symantec has been and continues to be damaged by Acronis' infringement of the '655 patent in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT III: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,093,086

- 53. Symantec incorporates by reference the preceding averments set forth in paragraphs 1-52.
- 54. The '086 patent, entitled "Disaster Recovery and Backup Using Virtual Machines," was duly and lawfully issued on August 15, 2006. A true and correct copy of the '086 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 3.
- 55. Symantec is the owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '086 patent, including the right to bring this suit for injunctive relief and damages.
- 56. On information and belief, Acronis has infringed and continues to infringe, has contributed to and continues to contribute to acts of infringement, and/or has actively and knowingly induced and continues to actively and knowingly induce the infringement of the '086 patent by making, using, offering for sale and selling in the United States, and by importing into the United States without authority, and/or by causing others to make, use, offer for sale and sell in the United States, and import into the United States without authority, products and services, including but not limited to the Acronis Backup & Recovery line of products and related services, for example, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Workstation, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Server for Windows, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Server SBS Edition, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Server for Linux, Backup & Recovery 11.5 for Microsoft Exchange Server Backup & Recovery 11.5 Online, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for Hyper-V, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for RHEV, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for Parallels, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for Citrix XenServer, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for VMware vSphere, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Server for Windows, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Server for Linux, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Workstation, Backup & Recovery 11 Workstation, Backup & Recovery 11 Server for Windows, Backup & Recovery 11 Advanced Server SBS Edition, Backup & Recovery 11 Server for Linux, Backup & Recovery 11 Online, Backup & Recovery 11 Advanced Server, Backup & Recovery 11 Virtual Edition, and Backup & Recovery 11 Advanced Workstation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

- 57. On information and belief, Acronis' infringement, contributory infringement and/or inducement of infringement is literal infringement or, in the alternative, infringement under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 58. Acronis' infringing activities have caused and will continue to cause Symantec irreparable harm, for which it has no adequate remedy at law, unless Acronis' infringing activities are enjoined by this Court in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 283.
- 59. Symantec has been and continues to be damaged by Acronis' infringement of the '086 patent in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT IV: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,322,010

- 60. Symantec incorporates by reference the preceding averments set forth in paragraphs 1-59.
- 61. The '010 patent, entitled "Graphical User Interface for Mapping Computer Resources," was duly and lawfully issued on January 22, 2008. A true and correct copy of the '086 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 4.
- 62. Symantec is the owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '010 patent, including the right to bring this suit for injunctive relief and damages.
- 63. On information and belief, Acronis has infringed and continues to infringe, has contributed to and continues to contribute to acts of infringement, and/or has actively and knowingly induced and continues to actively and knowingly induce the infringement of the '010 patent by making, using, offering for sale and selling in the United States, and by importing into the United States without authority, and/or by causing others to make, use, offer for sale and sell in the United States, and import into the United States without authority, products and services, including but not limited to the Acronis Backup & Recovery line of products and related services, for example, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Workstation, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Server for Windows, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Server SBS Edition, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Server for Linux, Backup & Recovery 11.5 for Microsoft Exchange Server Backup & Recovery 11.5 Online, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for Hyper-V, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for Parallels, Backup &

1	Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for Citrix XenServer, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for		
2	VMware vSphere, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Server for Windows, Backup & Recovery		
3	11.5 Advanced Server for Linux, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Workstation; Backup &		
4	Recovery 11 Workstation, Backup & Recovery 11 Server for Windows, Backup & Recovery 11		
5	Advanced Server SBS Edition, Backup & Recovery 11 Server for Linux, Backup & Recovery 11		
6	Online, Backup & Recovery 11 Advanced Server, Backup & Recovery 11 Virtual Edition, and		
7	Backup & Recovery 11 Advanced Workstation.		
8	64. On information and belief, Acronis' infringement, contributory infringement and/or		
9	inducement of infringement is literal infringement or, in the alternative, infringement under the		
10	doctrine of equivalents.		
11	65. Acronis' infringing activities have caused and will continue to cause Symantec		
12	irreparable harm, for which it has no adequate remedy at law, unless Acronis' infringing activities		
13	are enjoined by this Court in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 283.		
14	66. Symantec has been and continues to be damaged by Acronis' infringement of the		
15	'010 patent in an amount to be determined at trial.		
16	COUNT V: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,615,365		
17	67. Symantec incorporates by reference the preceding averments set forth in paragraphs		
18	1-66.		
19	68. The '365 patent, entitled "Storing a Computer Disk Image Within an Imaged		
20	Partition," duly and lawfully issued on September 2, 2003. A true and correct copy of the '365		
21	patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 5.		
22	69. Symantec is the owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '365 patent, including		
23	the right to bring this suit for injunctive relief and damages.		
24	70. On information and belief, Acronis has infringed and continues to infringe, has		
25	contributed to and continues to contribute to acts of infringement, and/or has actively and		
26	knowingly induced and continues to actively and knowingly induce the infringement of the '365		
27	patent by making, using, offering for sale and selling in the United States, and by importing into		
28	the United States without authority, and/or by causing others to make, use, offer for sale and sell		

1	in the United States, and import into the United States without authority, products and services,			
2	including but not limited to the Acronis Backup & Recovery line of products and related services,			
3	for example, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Workstation, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Server for			
4	Windows, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Server SBS Edition, Backup & Recovery 11.5			
5	Server for Linux, Backup & Recovery 11.5 for Microsoft Exchange Server Backup & Recovery			
6	11.5 Online, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for Hyper-V, Backup & Recovery 11.5			
7	Virtual Edition for RHEV, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for Parallels, Backup &			
8	Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for Citrix XenServer, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Virtual Edition for			
9	VMware vSphere, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Server for Windows, Backup & Recovery			
10	11.5 Advanced Server for Linux, Backup & Recovery 11.5 Advanced Workstation, Backup &			
11	Recovery 11 Workstation, Backup & Recovery 11 Server for Windows, Backup & Recovery 11			
12	Advanced Server SBS Edition, Backup & Recovery 11 Server for Linux, Backup & Recovery 11			
13	Online, Backup & Recovery 11 Advanced Server, Backup & Recovery 11 Virtual Edition, Backup			
14	& Recovery 11 Advanced Workstation, and the Acronis True Image line of products and related			
15	services, for example, True Image 2012 and True Image 2013.			
16	71. On information and belief, Acronis' infringement, contributory infringement and/or			
17	inducement of infringement is literal infringement or, in the alternative, infringement under the			
18	doctrine of equivalents.			
19	72. Acronis' infringing activities have caused and will continue to cause Symantec			
20	irreparable harm, for which it has no adequate remedy at law, unless Acronis' infringing activities			
21	are enjoined by this Court in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 283.			
22	73. Symantec has been and continues to be damaged by Acronis' infringement of the			
23	'365 patent in an amount to be determined at trial.			
24	REQUEST FOR RELIEF			
25	WHEREFORE, Symantec respectfully requests that:			
26	(a) Judgment be entered that Acronis has infringed one or more claims of each of the			

Asserted Patents;

1	(b)	Judgment be entered pe	rmanently enjoining Acronis, its directors, officers, agents,	
2	servants and	servants and employees, and those acting in privity or in concert with them, and their subsidiaries		
3	divisions, successors and assigns, from further acts of infringement, contributory infringement, or			
4	inducement of infringement of the Asserted Patents;			
5	(c)	Judgment be entered awarding Symantec all damages adequate to compensate it for		
6	Acronis' infri	ingement of the Asserted	Patents including all pre-judgment and post-judgment	
7	interest at the maximum rate permitted by law; and			
8	(d)	(d) Judgment be entered awarding Symantec such other and further relief as this Court		
9	may deem jus	st and proper.		
10	DATED: Apr	ril 26, 2013	Respectfully submitted,	
11			QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN	
12			By: <u>/s/</u>	
13			Jennifer A. Kash Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant	
14			Symantec Corporation	
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28			-16- Case No. 3:11-cy-05310 EMC	
	1		= 1 (1= Casc NO) 1 -CV-O 1 O C	