

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

Eclipse IP LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No. 2:13-CV-359
)	
v.)	
)	Jury Trial Demanded
eImprovements.com, LLC,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Eclipse IP LLC ("Eclipse") complains of defendant eImprovements.com, LLC ("eImprovements") as follows:

Nature of Lawsuit

1. This is a suit for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code § 1 et seq. This Court has exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Complaint under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

Parties and Patents

2. Eclipse is a company organized and existing under the laws of Florida and having a principal place of business address at 115 NW 17th Street, Delray Beach, Florida 33444.

3. Eclipse owns all right, title, and interest in and has standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent No. 7,479,899 (“the ‘899 patent”), entitled “Notification Systems and Methods Enabling a Response to Cause Connection Between a Notified PCD and a Delivery or Pickup” (Exhibit A); and United States Patent No. 7,319,414 (“the ‘414 patent”), entitled “Secure Notification Messaging Systems and Methods Using Authentication Indicia” (Exhibit B) (collectively, “the Patents-in-Suit”).

4. On information and belief, eImprovements is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Wisconsin.

5. On information and belief, eImprovements does regular business in this judicial district and has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district.

Jurisdiction and Venue

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over eImprovements because eImprovements transacts regular business within the State of Texas and this judicial district; is operating and/or supporting products or services that fall within one or more claims of Eclipse’s patents in this judicial district; and has committed the tort of patent infringement in this judicial district.

7. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(d) and 1400(b).

Defendant's Acts of Patent Infringement

8. eImprovements has infringed one or more claims of the '899 patent through, among other activities, providing automated notifications in relation to online orders that provide a means by which the recipient can select whether to communicate with eImprovements regarding the particulars of the order.

9. eImprovements has infringed one or more claims of the '414 patent through, among other activities, providing electronic notification communications to customers in connection with online orders, which contain authentication information in the form of links to eImprovements' website(s).

10. Prior to filing this complaint, Eclipse, by letter, informed eImprovements of its infringement of the Patents-in-Suit, and offered to enter into a licensing arrangement that would allow eImprovements to continue practicing the inventions claimed in the Patents-in-Suit.

11. eImprovements, however, chose not to enter into a licensing agreement with Eclipse.

12. Rather, with knowledge of the Patents-in-Suit and in disregard of Eclipse's patent rights, eImprovements chose to continue its infringement.

13. Accordingly, in infringing the Patents-in-suit, eImprovements has acted knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to induce others to infringe the Patents-in-Suit.

14. eImprovements has actively induced and/or contributed to the infringement by others of one more claims of each of the Patents-in-Suit.

Claims for Relief

Count I

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,479,899 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et seq.*)

15. Eclipse incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 above.

16. On January 20, 2009, United States Patent No. 7,479,899, entitled, "Notification Systems and Methods Enabling a Response to Cause Connection Between a Notified PCD and a Delivery or Pickup Representative" was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Eclipse IP is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '899 patent. A true and correct copy of the '899 patent is attached as Exhibit A to this complaint.

17. Eclipse is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that eImprovements: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the ‘899 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the ‘899 patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the ‘899 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

Count II
(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,319,414
Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et seq.)

18. Eclipse incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 17 above.

19. On January 15, 2008, United States Patent No. 7,319,414, entitled, “Secure Notification Messaging System and Methods Using Authentication Indicia” was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Eclipse IP is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the ‘414 patent. A true and correct copy of the ‘414 patent is attached as Exhibit B to this complaint.

20. Eclipse is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that eImprovements: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the

'414 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '414 patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '414 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Eclipse asks this Court to enter judgment against eImprovements and against each of the its respective subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with it, granting the following relief:

(a) An award of damages adequate to compensate Eclipse for the infringement that has occurred, together with prejudgment interest from the date infringement of the Eclipse Patents began;

(b) An award to Eclipse of all remedies available under 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285, including enhanced damages up to and including trebling of Eclipse's damages for eImprovements' willful infringement, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and

(c) Such other and further relief as this Court or a jury may deem proper and just.

Jury Demand

Eclipse demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38.

Respectfully submitted this 29th day of April, 2013.

Respectfully submitted,

GILLAM & SMITH, LLP

/s/ Melissa R. Smith

Melissa R. Smith
State Bar No. 24001351
GILLAM & SMITH, L.L.P.
303 S. Washington Ave.
Marshall, Texas 75670
Telephone: (903) 934-8450
Facsimile: (903) 934-9257
melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com

And

s/ Matthew S. Harman

Matthew S. Harman
Georgia Bar No. 327169
HARMAN LAW LLC
4279 Roswell Road
Suite 102-273
Atlanta, Georgia 30342
Telephone: (404) 869-1119
Facsimile: (404) 424-9370
Email:
mharman@harmanlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff