

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
NEWNAN DIVISION**

IVERA MEDICAL CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE BIMECO GROUP, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. _____

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Ivera Medical Corporation ("Ivera"), for its Complaint against Defendant The Bimeco Group, Inc. ("Bimeco Group"), avers as follows:

PARTIES

1.

Plaintiff Ivera is a California corporation that maintains its principal place of business in Carlsbad, California.

2.

Defendant Bimeco Group is a Georgia corporation with its principal place of business at 200 Kelly Drive, Suite A, Peachtree City, Georgia 30269. Defendant can be served via its Registered Agent, Richard P. Lindsey, at 400 Westpark Court, Suite 220, Peachtree City, GA 30269.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3.

This is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 1, *et. seq.*

4.

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 & 1338.

5.

This Court has personal jurisdiction over Bimeco Group because Bimeco Group's headquarters is located in Georgia, and the company has continuous, systematic, and substantial presence in the State of Georgia and within this judicial district.

6.

Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(3) and 1400.

BACKGROUND

7.

Ivera manufactures, markets, and sells the Curoso® Port Protector, a device that disinfects and protects the entry port on certain types of valves used with intravenous lines to help reduce bloodstream infections in hospital patients.

8.

On August 24, 2010, United States Patent No. 7,780,794 B2 (the '794 patent), on an invention entitled "Medical Implement Cleaning Device," was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A copy of the '794 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

9.

The '794 patent has been in force and effect since its issuance. Ivera has been at all times, and still is, the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '794 patent.

10.

On July 26, 2011, United States Patent No. 7,985,302 B2 (the '302 patent), on an invention entitled "Medical Implement Cleaning Device," was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A copy of the '302 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

11.

The '302 patent has been in force and effect since its issuance. Ivera has been at all times, and still is, the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '302 patent.

12.

On June 26, 2012, United States Patent No. 8,206,514 (the '514 patent), on an invention entitled "Medical Implement Cleaning Device," was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A copy of the '514 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

13.

The '514 patent has been in force and effect since its issuance. Ivera has been at all times, and still is, the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '514 patent.

14.

Bimeco Group uses, sells, and/or offers to sell throughout the United States a disinfecting cap product referred to as the DualCap Solo.

15.

The DualCap Solo product infringes one or more claims in the '794 patent in the United States within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

16.

The DualCap Solo product infringes one or more claims in the '302 patent in the United States within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

17.

The DualCap Solo product infringes one or more claims in the '514 patent in the United States within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
(INFRINGEMENT OF THE '794 PATENT)

18.

Ivera realleges and incorporates the previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

19.

Bimeco Group has used, offered for sale, sold, and/or imported into the United States products, including at least the DualCap Solo, which literally and under the doctrine of equivalents infringe one or more claims of the '794 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

20.

Ivera has been damaged and has suffered irreparable injury due to the acts of infringement by Bimeco Group and will continue to suffer irreparable injury unless Bimeco Group's activities are enjoined.

21.

Ivera has suffered and will continue to suffer substantial damages by reason of Bimeco Group's acts of patent infringement alleged above, and Ivera is entitled

to recover from Bimeco Group the damages sustained as a result of Bimeco Group's acts.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
(INFRINGEMENT OF THE '302 PATENT)

22.

Ivera realleges and incorporates the previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though set forth in full herein.

23.

Bimeco Group has used, offered for sale, sold, and/or imported into the United States products, including at least the DualCap Solo, which literally and under the doctrine of equivalents infringe one or more claims of the '302 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

24.

Ivera has been damaged and has suffered irreparable injury due to acts of infringement by Bimeco Group and will continue to suffer irreparable injury unless Bimeco Group's activities are enjoined.

25.

Ivera has suffered and will continue to suffer substantial damages by reason of Bimeco Group's acts of patent infringement alleged above, and Ivera is entitled

to recover from Bimeco Group the damages sustained as a result of Bimeco Group's acts.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
(INFRINGEMENT OF THE '514 PATENT)

26.

Ivera realleges and incorporates the previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though set forth in full herein.

27.

Bimeco Group has used, offered for sale, sold, and/or imported into the United States products, including at least the DualCap Solo, which literally and under the doctrine of equivalents infringe one or more claims of the '514 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

28.

Ivera has been damaged and has suffered irreparable injury due to acts of infringement by Bimeco Group and will continue to suffer irreparable injury unless Bimeco Group's activities are enjoined.

29.

Ivera has suffered and will continue to suffer substantial damages by reason of Bimeco Group's acts of patent infringement alleged above, and Ivera is entitled

to recover from Bimeco Group the damages sustained as a result of Bimeco Group's acts.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Ivera prays that judgment be entered by this Court in its favor and against Bimeco Group as follows:

- A. That Bimeco Group has infringed the '794, '302, and '514 patents;
- B. Permanently enjoining and restraining Bimeco Group, its agents, affiliates, subsidiaries, servants, employees, officers, directors, attorneys and those persons in active concert with or controlled by Bimeco Group from further infringing the '794, '302, and '514 patents;
- C. For an award of damages adequate to compensate Ivera for the damages it has suffered as a result of Bimeco Group's conduct, including pre-judgment interest;
- D. That Bimeco Group be directed to withdraw from distribution all infringing products, whether in the possession of Bimeco Group or its distributors or retailers, and that all infringing products or materials be impounded or destroyed;
- E. For monetary damages in an amount according to proof;

F. For interest on said damages at the legal rate from and after the date such damages were incurred;

G. That this is an exceptional case and for an award of Ivera's attorney fees and costs; and

H. For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Ivera hereby demands a jury trial as to all issues that are so triable.

Submitted this 23rd day of May, 2013.

MILLER & MARTIN PLLC

By: /s/ Eileen H. Rumfelt
Christopher E. Parker, Esq.
Georgia Bar No. 562152
cparker@millermartin.com
C. Celeste Creswell
Georgia Bar No. 196077
ccreswell@millermartin.com
Eileen H. Rumfelt
Georgia Bar No. 040608
erumfelt@millermartin.com

1170 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 800
Atlanta, GA 30309
(404) 962-6100/(404) 962-6300 Fax

Counsel for Plaintiff
Ivera Medical Corporation