

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

BENEDICT O'MAHONEY (Bar No.152447)  
TERRA LAW  
177 Park Avenue, Third Floor  
San Jose, California 95113  
Telephone: 408-299-1200  
Facsimile: 408-998-4895  
Email: bomahoney@terralaw.com

JONATHAN T. SUDER (*Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed*)  
CORBY R. VOWELL (*Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed*)  
TODD I. BLUMENFELD (*Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed*)  
FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE  
Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1  
604 East 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200  
Fort Worth, Texas 76102  
Telephone: (817) 334-0400  
Facsimile: (817) 334-0401  
Email: jts@fsclaw.com  
Email: vowell@fsclaw.com  
Email: blumenfeld@fsclaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,  
Defendant.

**CV 13-03087** CASE NO.

**COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT  
OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,249,868 AND  
6,594,765**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**Filed**

*paid*  
*Sl*

JUL - 2 2013

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE

(14)

**E-FILING**

**MEJ**

1 Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC. files its Complaint against Defendant CISCO  
2 SYSTEMS, INC., alleging as follows:

3 **THE PARTIES**

4 1. Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC. ("SoftVault") is a corporation organized  
5 and existing under the laws of the State of Washington with its principle place of business in the  
6 State of Washington.

7 2. Upon information and belief CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. ("CISCO") is a  
8 corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal  
9 place of business in San Jose, CA. CISCO may be served with process through its registered  
10 agent Corporation Service Company, 2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 150N, Sacramento, CA  
11 95833.

12 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

13 3. This is an action for infringement of United States patents. This Court has  
14 exclusive jurisdiction of such action under Title 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).

15 4. Upon information and belief, CISCO is subject to personal jurisdiction by this  
16 Court. CISCO has committed such purposeful acts and/or transactions in the State of California  
17 that it reasonably knew and/or expected that it could be hailed into a California court as a future  
18 consequence of such activity. CISCO makes, uses, and/or sells infringing products within the  
19 Northern District of California and has a continuing presence and the requisite minimum  
20 contacts with the Northern District of California, such that this venue is a fair and reasonable  
21 one. Upon information and belief, CISCO has transacted and, at the time of the filing of this  
22 Complaint, is continuing to transact business within the Northern District of California. For all  
23 of these reasons, personal jurisdiction exists and venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C.  
24 §§ 1391(b)(1), (2) and (c)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

25 **PATENTS-IN-SUIT**

26 5. On June 19, 2001, United States Patent No. 6,249,868 BI ("the '868 Patent") was  
27 duly and legally issued for "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EMBEDDED, AUTOMATED,  
28 COMPONENT-LEVEL CONTROL OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND OTHER COMPLEX

1 SYSTEMS.” A true and correct copy of the ‘868 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A and  
2 made a part hereof.

3 6. On July 15, 2003, United States Patent No. 6,594,765 B2 (“the ‘765 Patent”) was  
4 duly and legally issued for “METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EMBEDDED, AUTOMATED,  
5 COMPONENT-LEVEL CONTROL OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND OTHER COMPLEX  
6 SYSTEMS.” A true and correct copy of the ‘765 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B and  
7 made a part hereof.

8 7. The ‘868 Patent and the ‘765 Patent are sometimes referred to herein collectively  
9 as “the Patents-in-Suit.”

10 8. As it pertains to this lawsuit, the Patents-in-Suit, very generally speaking, relate to  
11 a method and system of protecting electronic, mechanical, and electromechanical devices and  
12 systems, such as for example a computer system, and their components and software from  
13 unauthorized use. Specifically, certain claims of the ‘868 and ‘765 Patents disclose the  
14 utilization of embedded agents within system components to allow for the enablement or  
15 disablement of the system component in which the agent is embedded. The invention disclosed  
16 in the Patents-in-Suit discloses a server that communicates with the embedded agent through the  
17 use of one or more handshake operations to authorize the embedded agent. When the embedded  
18 agent is authorized by the server, it enables the device or component, and when not authorized  
19 the embedded agent disables the device or component.

20 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

21 **(Patent Infringement)**

22 9. SoftVault repeats and realleges every allegation set forth above.

23 10. SoftVault is the owner of the Patents-in-Suit with the exclusive right to enforce  
24 the Patents-in-Suit against infringers, and collect damages for all relevant times, including the  
25 right to prosecute this action.

26 11. Upon information and belief, CISCO is liable under 35 U.S.C. §271(a) for direct  
27 infringement of the Patents-in-Suit because it manufactures, makes, has made, uses, practices,  
28

1 imports, provides, supplies, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale products and/or systems that  
2 practice one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit.

3 12. More specifically, CISCO infringes the Patents-in-Suit because it makes, uses,  
4 sells, and offers for sale products and systems which prevent unauthorized use of a computer  
5 system through the ability to enable or disable the operation of a device's components through an  
6 authorization process performed by an embedded agent in the component device and a server.  
7 By way of example only, CISCO's Meraki Systems Manager product, at a minimum, in the past  
8 directly infringed and continues to directly infringe at least claims 1 and 44 of the '868 Patent, as  
9 well as at least claim 9 of the '765 Patent.

10 13. CISCO's Meraki Systems Manager product includes the capability to enable or  
11 disable a mobile device, such as a laptop or smart phone, to prevent misuse of the system by  
12 rogue devices and/or rogue servers. The Meraki Systems Manager product includes an agent  
13 (the "Meraki Systems Manager Agent") that is installed on a mobile device and communicates  
14 with the Systems Manager server. This communication includes a series of message exchanges,  
15 constituting a handshake operation between the between Systems Manager server and the  
16 Systems Manager Agent. Through these exchanges – using the Challenge-Handshake  
17 Authentication Protocol – the Systems Manager server and the Systems Manager Agent mutually  
18 authenticate one another resulting in the agent being authorized to enable to device in which it is  
19 embedded. When the agent is authorized by the Systems Manager server, the mobile device  
20 operates normally and when the agent is not authorized, the mobile device is remotely locked  
21 and disabled.

22 14. CISCO has actual notice of the Patents-in-Suit at least as early as the filing of this  
23 Complaint.

24 15. SoftVault has been damaged as a result of CISCO's infringing conduct. CISCO  
25 is, thus, liable to SoftVault in an amount that adequately compensates SoftVault for CISCO's  
26 infringement, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and  
27 costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

28

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

SoftVault requests that the Court find in its favor and against CISCO, and that the Court grant SoftVault the following relief:

- a. Judgment that one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit have been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by CISCO;
- b. Judgment that CISCO account for and pay to SoftVault all damages to and costs incurred by SoftVault because of CISCO's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- c. That CISCO, its officers, agents, servants and employees, and those persons in active concert and participation with any of them, be permanently enjoined from infringement of the Patents-in-Suit. In the alternative, if the Court finds that an injunction is not warranted, SoftVault requests an award of post judgment royalty to compensate for future infringement;
- d. That SoftVault be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to it by reason of CISCO's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- e. That this Court declare this an exceptional case and award SoftVault its reasonable attorney's fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- f. That SoftVault be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

DATED: July 2, 2013.

/s/ Benedict O'Mahoney

Benedict O'Mahoney  
(Bar No.152447)  
TERRA LAW  
177 Park Avenue, Third Floor  
San Jose, California 95113  
Telephone: 408-299-1200  
Facsimile: 408-998-4895

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Email: bomahoney@terralaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff  
SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.

Of Counsel:

Jonathan T. Suder  
Corby R. Vowell  
Todd Blumenfeld  
FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE  
Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1  
604 East 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200  
Fort Worth, Texas 76102  
Telephone: (817) 334-0400  
Facsimile: (817) 334-0401  
Email: jts@fsclaw.com  
Email: blumenfeld@fsclaw.com  
Email: vowell@fsclaw.com