IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC, Plaintiff,	
V.	Case No. 6:12-cv-791-LED
SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION, SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P., SPRINT SPECTRUM, L.P., SPRINT SOLUTIONS, INC.,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC states its First Amended Complaint

("Complaint") against Defendants Sprint Nextel Corporation, Sprint Communications Company L.P., Sprint Spectrum L.P., and Sprint Solutions, Inc., and alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in San Francisco, California.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sprint Nextel Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 6200 Sprint Pkwy, Overland Park, KS 66251.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sprint Communications L.P. is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 6200 Sprint Pkwy, Overland Park, KS 66251.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sprint Spectrum, L.P. is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 6500 Sprint Pkwy, Overland Park, KS 66251.

Case5:13-cv-04513-RMW Document14 Filed12/27/12 Page2 of 6

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sprint Solutions Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 6500 Sprint Pkwy, Overland Park, KS 66251.

6. For purposes of this complaint, Sprint Nextel Corporation, Sprint Communications Company L.P., Sprint Spectrum L.P., and Sprint Solutions Inc. are collectively referred to as "Sprint" or "Defendants."

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

8. This action is for patent infringement pursuant to the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq.* This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

9. Personal jurisdiction exists generally over Defendants because Defendants have sufficient minimum contacts with the forum as a result of business conducted within the State of Texas and within the Eastern District of Texas. Personal jurisdiction also exists specifically over the Defendants because they, directly or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, make, use, offer for sale, sell, import, advertise, make available and/or market one or more products and/or services within the State of Texas, and more particularly, within the Eastern District of Texas, that infringe the patent-in-suit, as described more particularly below.

10. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Texas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b), because Defendants have committed acts of infringement in the Eastern District of Texas and have transacted business in the Eastern District of Texas.

COUNT ONE INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,010,536

11. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

2

Case5:13-cv-04513-RMW Document14 Filed12/27/12 Page3 of 6

12. Plaintiff is the owner of all right, title, and interest in United States Patent No. 7,010,536, entitled "System and Method for Creating and Manipulating Information Containers with Dynamic Registers," duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on March 7, 2006 (the "536 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '536 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

13. Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '536 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the patented invention within the United States. Specifically, Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '536 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Sprint CDMA and 4G networks, as well as the Sprint Services Framework—a common development framework that Sprint utilizes to enable developers to access core services of the Sprint networks and mobile devices, including location-based services ("LBS") and/or GeoFencing services. By way of example, and without limitation, these services are generally described at http://developer.sprint.com/dynamicContent/sprintservices/overview/2.

14. As a result of Defendants' infringing activities with respect to the '536 patent, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount not yet ascertained. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for Defendants' infringing activities in an amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than reasonable royalties, together with interest and costs. Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the '536 patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

COUNT TWO INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,702,682

15. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

3

Case5:13-cv-04513-RMW Document14 Filed12/27/12 Page4 of 6

16. Plaintiff is the owner of all right, title, and interest in United States Patent No. 7,702,682, entitled "System and Method for Creating and Manipulating Information Containers with Dynamic Registers," duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 20, 2010 (the "'682 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '682 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

17. Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '682 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the patented invention within the United States. Specifically, Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '682 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Sprint CDMA and 4G networks, as well as the Sprint Services Framework—a common development framework that Sprint utilizes to enable developers to access core services of the Sprint networks and mobile devices, including location-based services ("LBS") and/or GeoFencing services. By way of example, and without limitation, these services are generally described at http://developer.sprint.com/dynamicContent/sprintservices/overview/2.

18. As a result of Defendants' infringing activities with respect to the '682 patent, Plaintiff has suffered damages in an amount not yet ascertained. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate it for Defendants' infringing activities in an amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than reasonable royalties, together with interest and costs. Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the '682 patent will continue to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff requests entry of judgment in its favor against Defendants as follows:

a) For a declaration that each Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the
'536 patent and '682 patent;

4

Case5:13-cv-04513-RMW Document14 Filed12/27/12 Page5 of 6

b) For an award of damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for Defendants' infringement of the '536 patent and '682 patent, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest and costs, in an amount according to proof;

c) For an entry of a permanent injunction enjoining each Defendant, and its respective officers, agents, employees, and those acting in privity with them, from further infringement of the '536 patent and '682 patent, or in the alternative, awarding a royalty for post-judgment infringement; and

d) For an award to Plaintiff of such other costs and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully

requests a trial by jury.

Dated: December 27, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Anthony J. Patek/ Adam J. Gutride, Esq. Seth A. Safier, Esq. Todd Kennedy, Esq. Anthony J. Patek, Esq. 835 Douglass Street San Francisco, California 94114 Telephone: (415) 789-6390 Facsimile: (415) 449-6469 adam@gutridesafier.com seth@gutridesafier.com todd@gutridesafier.com

Charles Ainsworth Parker Bunt & Ainsworth 100 E. Ferguson, Suite 1114 Tyler, Texas 75702 Telephone: (903) 531-3535 Facsimile: (903) 533-9687 charley@pbatyler.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC