1	Andrew D. Skale (SBN 211096) askale@mintz.com Ben L. Wagner (SBN 243594) bwagner@mintz.com MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC 3580 Carmel Mountain Road, Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92130 Telephone: (858) 314-1500 Facsimile: (858) 314-1501	
2		
3		
4		
5	Telephone: (858) 314-1500 Facsimile: (858) 314-1501	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	YOWIE NORTH AMERICA, INC., and HENRY M. WHETSTONE, JR.,	Case No. 3:13-cv-01906-BEN-JMA
12		AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
13	Plaintiffs,	PATENT INFRINGENIENT
14	V.	JURY DEMANDED
15	CANDY TREASURE, LLC; and KEVIN GASS,	
16	Defendants.	
17		I
18	Plaintiffs YOWIE NORTH AMERICA, INC. and HENRY M. WHETSTONE	
19	JR., for their Complaint against Defendants CANDY TREASURE, LLC and KEVIN	
20	GASS alleges and states as follows:	
21	THE PARTIES	
22	1. Plaintiff YOWIE NORTH AMERICA, INC. is a corporation organized	
23	and existing under the laws of the State of Florida with its principal place of business	
24	located at 431 Roberts Road, Subiaco, Tempo Offices, Unit B9, Perth, Western	
25	Australia, 6008 AU.	
26	2. Plaintiff HENRY M. WHETSTONE, JR. ("Whetstone") is an individual	
27	residing in Saint Augustine, Florida.	
28		
J		1

Case No. 3:13-cv-01906-BEN-JMA

- 3. YOWIE NORTH AMERICA, INC. is the exclusive licensee of the United States Patent Numbers 5,925,391 and 6,099,872, by way of an exclusive license with Whetstone. YOWIE NORTH AMERICA, INC. and Whetstone are referred to herein collectively as "Yowie."
- 4. Defendant CANDY TREASURE, LLC ("Candy Treasure") is a limited liability company, on information and belief existing under the law of the State of New Jersey, where all of its members reside, with its principal place of business, on information and belief, in Lebanon, New Jersey.
- 5. Defendant KEVIN GASS ("Gass") is an individual residing in, on information and belief, Lebanon, New Jersey.
- 6. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Gass has been, on information and belief, the co-founder and President of Candy Treasure and took all actions on behalf of Candy Treasure alleged herein. Gass and Candy Treasure are referred to collectively herein as "Defendants."

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 7. This is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. sections 1 et seq. Subject matter jurisdiction is therefore proper under 28 U.S.C. sections 1331 and 1338(a). Because the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 and the parties have complete diversity, jurisdiction is also proper under 28 U.S.C. Section 1332.
- 8. Personal jurisdiction is proper in California because the accused products were offered for sale and sold directly in California, and on information and belief, Defendants attended trade shows and events in California where the accused products were demonstrated and/or sold. Defendants also intentionally established distribution channels for the accused products through Target, Food4Less and other national retailers, and has regularly shipped the accused product through those channels. For example, Food4Less had over 50 California stores with Choco

Treasure Floorstands (for SKU/UPC 852923002506). Defendants cultivated these relationships with multiple national retailers for the express purpose of creating distribution channels for the distribution and sale of their Choco Treasure chocolate surprises throughout the United States, including California as the state with the largest GDP in the nation. Defendants knew that in doing so, they were deriving significant revenues from sale of their accused goods in California as the terminal end point of their goods.

- 9. Defendants' minimum contacts with the forum are such that the exercise of jurisdiction with respect to the claims herein comports with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 10. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. sections 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in the district and the defendants reside in this district by virtue of being subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district by, among others, their repeatedly availment and direction of their activity toward this district, and engaging in acts of infringement in this judicial district.

## <u>FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,925,391

- 11. Yowie realleges all allegations in this Complaint as if stated herein.
- 12. On January 14, 1997, United States Patent Number 5,925,391 ('391 Patent) entitled "Edible and Nonedible Product," was duly and legally issued to Whetstone. Whetstone is the owner and Yowie is the exclusive licensee to all rights and interests in the '391 Patent in the United States. A true and correct copy of this Patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** and incorporated herein by reference.
- 13. Defendants have directly and indirectly infringed and continue to infringe (literally and under the doctrine of equivalents) the '391 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, importing, and/or actively inducing others to use (for

- example, end users of their products by providing instructions and/or manuals) chocolate surprises with toys inside covered by one or more claims of the '391 Patent, and are thus liable for patent infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. This includes the practice by Defendants via the Candy Treasure's Choco Treasure of claim 1 of the '391 Patent.
- 14. Defendants' infringement of the '391 Patent has caused and continues to cause damage to Yowie in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 15. Defendants' infringement of the '391 Patent has caused and will continue to cause immediate and irreparable harm to Yowie for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless this Court enjoins and restrains such activities.
- 16. On Defendants' website, Defendants describe Yowie's product by saying: "We love these products! That's why we set out to make a similar version for America."
- 17. Yowie is informed and believes and, on that bases alleges, that
  Defendants knew of the '391 Patent and that Defendants' infringement of the '391
  Patent was willful and deliberate, entitling Yowie to enhanced damages pursuant to
  35 U.S.C. § 284, and costs incurred prosecuting this action. For example,
  Defendants' website identifies Yowie as another supplier of candy products including chocolate surprises and purports to engage in a comparison and Defendants had prior discussion with the inventor of the '391 Patent concerning this patent.

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,099,872

- 18. Yowie realleges all allegations in this Complaint as if stated herein.
- 19. On March 24, 1998, United States Patent Number 6,099,872 ('872 Patent) entitled "Edible and Non-Edible Product," was duly and legally issued to Whetstone. Whetstone is the owner and Yowie is the exclusive licensee to all rights and interests in the '872 Patent in the United States. A true and correct copy of this

///

Patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** and incorporated herein by reference.

- 20. Defendants have directly and indirectly infringed and continue to infringe (literally and under the doctrine of equivalents) the '872 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, importing, and/or actively inducing others to use (for example, end users of their products by providing instructions and/or manuals) chocolate surprises with toys inside covered by one or more claims of the '872 Patent, and are thus liable for patent infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. This includes the practice by Defendants via the Candy Treasure's Choco Treasure of claim 1 of the '872 Patent.
- 21. Defendants' infringement of the '872 Patent has caused and continues to cause damage to Yowie in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 22. Defendants' infringement of the '872 Patent has caused and will continue to cause immediate and irreparable harm to Yowie for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless this Court enjoins and restrains such activities.
- 23. On Defendants' website, Defendants describe Plaintiff's product by saying: "We love these products! That's why we set out to make a similar version for America."
- 24. Yowie is informed and believes and, on that bases alleges, that Defendants knew of the '872 Patent and that their infringement of the '872 Patent is willful and deliberate, entitling Yowie to enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, and costs incurred prosecuting this action. For example, Defendants' website identifies Yowie as another supplier of candy products including chocolate surprises and purports to engage in a comparison and Defendants had prior discussion with the inventor of the '872 Patent concerning this Patent. Defendants also cited the '872 Patent in its prosecution of its own patent application, which was cited multiple times by the Examining Attorney in rejecting such application.

# 

# 

# 

# 

### 

# 

### 

### 

## 

### 

### 

## 

# 

# 

#### 

## 

## 

#### 

#### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### FALSE ADVERTISING IN VIOLATION OF THE LANHAM ACT

- 25. Yowie realleges all allegations in this Complaint as if stated herein.
- 26. Defendants have made false statements or representations in connection with the Choco Treasure.
  - 27. For example, Defendants advertise the following false claims:
    - a. "Choco Treasure is the only surprise chocolate in the world recognized as safe for children of all ages." Yowie's candy products are also safe for children of all ages, making this claim literally false.
    - b. "Our patented capsule design ensures we are in compliance with the FDA 1938 Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act." This statement is likely to be read as stating that Defendants have obtained a patent on the capsules. They have not, and indeed their patent application has been rejected by the USPTO numerous times based on the '872 Patent.
    - c. "Choco Treasure is the <u>only surprise chocolate that is legal for sale in the USA</u>, as it meets or exceeds both The USA Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) rules for child safety." Yowie's candy products are also legal for sale in the USA, making this claim literally false.
    - d. "Choco Treasure is the <u>only</u> surprise egg <u>approved by</u> the FDA and the CPSC." At the least, the CPSC does not "approve" products and on information and belief did not "approve" the Choco Treasure, and thus this statement is literally false. On information and belief, Defendants have made other similar and/or additional false statements.
- 28. These statements are false and/or imply falsity to a consumer, and are material to consumers. These statements are likely to deceive consumers, and on information and belief are actually confusing consumers. Consumers who read these

///

- claims are likely to believe that Choco Treasure is the only legal such candy product that they may buy in the United States, that it has been expressly approved by the CPSC and thus they can feel particularly comfortable about the purchase, and that there are no other in the world that are both safe for all ages and/or legal in the United States.
- 29. These statements are likely to cause injury to Yowie. Yowie's candy products are not illegal or unfit for children of certain ages. Yet Defendants' advertising has the effect of convincing consumers that they are, and that consumers can only purchase such candy products that are legal in the United States and/or safe for children of all ages from Defendants (not Yowie). Those who believe Defendants' statements are likely to conclude that Yowie's candy products are illegal and unsafe for certain children, thereby tarnishing and damaging Yowie's goodwill and reputation, and interfering with Yowie's ability to market and sell its candy products. Additionally, by suggesting certain U.S. agencies "approve" products when they in fact do not, this impinges upon Yowie's ability to effectively compete in the marketplace since it cannot make a similar claim (to do so would be false).
- 30. As a result, Yowie is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial. Yowie is also entitled to an award of Defendants' profits attributable to the false statements.
- 31. Yowie is further entitled to temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctive relief barring further false statements by Defendants. Yowie's remedy at law is inadequate to compensate for the damage caused by these false assertions.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Yowie prays for relief as follows:

1. For judgment entered in favor of Yowie that one or more claims of the '391 Patent and/or the '872 Patent are infringed by Defendants;

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I am employed in the County of San Diego, my business address is Mintz 3 Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky and Popeo PC, 3580 Carmel Mountain Road, Suite 300, 4 San Diego, CA 92130. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the foregoing 5 action. 6 I am readily familiar with the business practice at my place of business for 7 collection and processing of correspondence for personal delivery, for mailing with 8 United States Postal Service, for facsimile, and for overnight delivery by Federal 9 Express, Express Mail, or other overnight service. 10 On October 4, 2013, I caused a copy of the following document: 11 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 12 to be served on the interested parties in this action by placing a true and correct copy 13 thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, and addressed as follows: 14 Robert P. Greenspoon, Esq. Flachsbart & Greenspoon, LLC 333 N. Michigan Ave., 27th Floor Attorneys for Defendants 15 Phone: (312) 551-9500 Chicago, IL 60601 Email: rpg@fg-law.com 16 Such correspondence was deposited on the same day in the ordinary course of business with a facility regularly **FEDERAL** XX17 maintained by Federal Express. 18 XX EMAIL: I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the 19 email address listed above. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic 20 message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful. 21 I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. Executed 22 on October 4, 2013, at San Diego, California. I further declare that I am employed in 23 the office of a member of the bar of this Court, at whose direction the service was 24 made. 25 26 Kelly E. Jenckes 23226573v.1 27 28 Case No. 3:13-cv-01906-BEN-JMA