

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

**CHARLES C. FREENY III, BRYAN E.
FREENY, and JAMES P. FREENY,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**KOHL'S CORPORATION and
KOHL'S DEPARTMENT STORES,
INC.**

Defendants.

Case No. 2:13-cv-00790-JRG

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs Charles C. Freeny III, Bryan E. Freeny, and James P. Freeny (collectively "Plaintiffs"), for their First Amended Complaint against Defendants Kohl's Corporation and Kohl's Department Stores, Inc., hereby allege as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Charles C. Freeny III is an individual residing in Flower Mound, Texas.
2. Plaintiff Bryan E. Freeny is an individual residing in Ft. Worth, Texas.
3. Plaintiff James P. Freeny is an individual residing in Spring, Texas.
4. On information and belief, Defendant Kohl's Corporation is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Wisconsin, having its principal place of business at N56 W17000 Ridgewood Drive, Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin, 53051.
5. On information and belief, Defendant Kohl's Department Stores, Inc. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its principal place of business at N56 W17000 Ridgewood Drive, Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin,

53051. On information and belief, Kohl's Department Stores, Inc. is a subsidiary of Defendant Kohl's Corporation.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. §§101 et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal law claims under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).

7. This Court has specific and/or general personal jurisdiction over Defendants Kohl's Corporation and Kohl's Department Stores, Inc. (collectively "Kohl's") because they have committed acts giving rise to this action within this judicial district and/or have established minimum contacts within Texas and within this judicial district such that the exercise of jurisdiction over each would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

8. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b)-(c) and 1400(b) because Kohl's has committed acts within this judicial district giving rise to this action, and continues to conduct business in this district, and/or has committed acts of patent infringement within this District giving rise to this action.

COUNT I

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,076,071)

9. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the Paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.

10. On June 13, 2000, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued United States Patent Number 6,076,071 ("the '071 patent"), entitled "Automated Synchronous Product Pricing and Advertising System." A true and correct copy of the '071 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

11. The named inventor of the '071 patent is Charles C. Freeny, Jr., who is now deceased.

12. Plaintiffs are the sons of Charles C. Freeny, Jr., and Plaintiffs are the owners and assignees of all right, title and interest in and to the '071 patent, including the right to assert all causes of action arising under said patent and the right to any remedies for infringement of it.

13. On information and belief, Kohl's has been and now is infringing the '071 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, making and using in its retail stores located in this judicial district and throughout the United States electronic pricing systems for displaying, tracking, and updating the prices of products offered for sale in those stores. Such systems directly infringe one or more claims of the '071 patent.

14. On information and belief, Kohl's will continue to infringe the '071 patent unless enjoined by this Court.

15. Kohl's acts of infringement have damaged Plaintiffs in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty. Kohl's infringement of Plaintiffs' rights under the '071 patent will continue to damage Plaintiffs, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

COUNT II

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,513,016)

16. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the Paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.

17. On January 28, 2003, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued United States Patent Number 6,513,016 ("the '016 patent"), entitled "Automated

Synchronous Product Pricing and Advertising System.” A true and correct copy of the ’016 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

18. The named inventor of the ’016 patent is Charles C. Freeny, Jr., who is now deceased.

19. Plaintiffs are the sons of Charles C. Freeny, Jr., and Plaintiffs are the owners and assignees of all right, title and interest in and to the ’016 patent, including the right to assert all causes of action arising under said patent and the right to any remedies for infringement of it.

20. On information and belief, Kohl’s has been and now is infringing the ’016 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, making and using in its retail stores located in this judicial district and throughout the United States electronic pricing systems for displaying, tracking, and updating the prices of products offered for sale in those stores. Such systems directly infringe one or more claims of the ’016 patent.

21. On information and belief, Kohl’s will continue to infringe the ’016 patent unless enjoined by this Court.

22. Kohl’s acts of infringement have damaged Plaintiffs in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty. Kohl’s infringement of Plaintiffs’ rights under the ’016 patent will continue to damage Plaintiffs, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter judgment against Kohl’s as follows:

- a. For judgment that Kohl's has infringed and continues to infringe the claims of the '071 and '016 patents;
- b. For a permanent injunction against Kohl's and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringement of the '071 and '016 patents;
- c. For an accounting of all damages caused by Kohl's acts of infringement;
- d. For a judgment and order requiring Kohl's to pay Plaintiffs' damages, costs, expenses, and pre- and post-judgment interest for its infringement of the '071 and '016 patents as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284; and
- e. For such other relief at law and in equity as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: October 4, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher D. Banys
Christopher D. Banys - *Lead Attorney*

BANYS, P.C.
Christopher D. Banys SBN: 230038 (California)
Richard C. Lin SBN: 209233 (California)
Jennifer C. Lu SBN: 255820 (California)
1032 Elwell Court, Suite 100
Palo Alto, CA 94303
Tel: (650) 308-8505
Fax: (650) 353-2202
cdb@banyspc.com
rcl@banyspc.com
jcl@banyspc.com

LOCAL COUNSEL:

WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM

Wesley Hill SBN: 24032294

P.O. Box 1231

1127 Judson Rd., Ste. 220

Longview, TX 75601

Tel: (903) 757-6400

Fax: (903) 757-2323

wh@wsfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

**CHARLES C. FREENY III, BRYAN E. FREENY,
AND JAMES P. FREENY**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). Therefore, this document was served on all counsel who are deemed to have consented to electronic service.

/s/ Georgia Golfinopoulos
Georgia Golfinopoulos