1 Tyler J. Woods (State Bar No. 232464) twoods@trialnewport.com Tu-Quyen Pham (State Bar No. 260864) tpham@trialnewport.com 3 NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP A Professional Corporation 4100 Newport Place, Suite 800 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Tel: (949) 706-6464 Fax: (949) 706-6469 5 6 HIDEN, ROTT & OERTLE, LLP A Limited Liability Partnership 7 **Including Professional Corporations** ERIC M. OVERHOLT, ESO. (C.S.B. 248762) eoverholt@hrollp.com 2635 Camino del Rio South, Suite 306 San Diego, California 92108 Telephone: (619) 296-5884 10 Facsimile: (619) 296-5171 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 15 16 THERMOLIFE INTERNATIONAL, LLC Case No. '13CV2435 H NLS 17 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR PATENT 18 **INFRINGEMENT** VS. 19 SIZE BIONICS RESEARCH, LLC, 20 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED Defendant. 21 22 23 III24 /// 25 /// 26 | /// 27 /// 28 ///

Plaintiff Thermolife International, LLC ("Plaintiff") hereby alleges for its Complaint against Size Bionics Research, LLC ("Defendant"), on personal knowledge as to its own activities and on information and belief as to the activities of others, as follows:

## I. THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Arizona, with a place of business at 1811 Ocean Front Walk in Venice, California, 90291.
- 2. Plaintiff is and was at all relevant times the exclusive licensee of United States Patent No. 6,646,006, titled "Enhancement of Vascular Function By Modulation of Endogenous Nitric Oxide Production or Activity," referred to herein as the "patent in suit."
- 3. The above patent is and was owned by The Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University ("Stanford University") and Plaintiff exclusively licenses and licensed the patent from Stanford University.
- 4. Plaintiff has been given the right by Stanford University to institute suit with respect to infringements of the patent in suit, including this suit against Defendant.
- 5. Defendant is an entity organized and existing under the laws of California with a principal place of business in Oakland, California, 94601.

# II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338, and 1367.
- 7. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400.
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant. By way of example and without limitation, Defendant, directly or through intermediaries

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

(including distributors, retailers, and others), makes, manufactures, ships, distributes, advertises, markets, offers for sale, and/or sells dietary supplement products that infringe on one or more claims of the patent in suit (hereinafter the "accused products"), which include without limitation products sold under the "Xantho 5x" brand name, in the United States, the State of California, and the Southern District of California.

By way of further example and without limitation, Defendant has 9. purposefully and voluntarily placed the accused products into the stream of commerce with the expectation that they will be purchased in the Southern District of California, and the products are actually purchased in the Southern District of California.

### THE DEFENDANT'S INFRINGEMENTS III.

Defendant has committed the tort of patent infringement within the 10. State of California, and more particularly, within the Southern District of California, by virtue of the fact that Defendant has formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and/or sold the accused products in this District, and continues to do so.

# A. DIRECT INFRINGEMENTS

- Defendant's employees, agents, representatives and other persons 11. sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities, have taken, used, and orally administered the accused products.
- The accused products are formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, 12. distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold by Defendant to include certain ingredients that, by virtue of their inclusion in the products, infringe one or more claims of one or more of the patent in suit.
- The accused products are formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, 13. distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold by Defendant to include specific

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

 $/\!/\!/$ 

ingredients for certain purposes that, by virtue of their inclusion in the products for such purposes, infringe one or more claims of the patent in suit, and as a result, when Defendant's employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities orally administer the accused products, they are practicing and they practiced the methods disclosed in those claims.

- 14. The purposes for which these ingredients are included in the accused products are and were, without limitation, to enhance nitric oxide production, to improve nitric oxide activity, to produce nitric oxide, to boost nitric oxide levels in the body, and to enhance physical performance.
- 15. Defendant encouraged and/or is aware of the fact that its employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by Defendant or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities orally administered and administer the accused products and practice and practiced the methods disclosed in one or more claims of the patent in suit, and these employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by Defendant or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities are and were acting under Defendant's direction and control when practicing those methods.
- 16. Therefore, Defendant is and was a direct infringer of one or more claims of the patent in suit, and Defendant practices and practiced the methods as set forth in one or more claims of the patent in suit.

# **B. INDIRECT INFRINGEMENTS**

- 17. End-users of Defendant's accused products were and are also direct infringers of one or more claims of the patent in suit.
- 18. End-users of Defendant's accused products have taken, used, and orally administered the accused products.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- The accused products are and were formulated, made, manufactured, 19. shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and/or sold by Defendant to include certain ingredients that, by virtue of their inclusion in the products, infringe and infringed one or more claims of the patent in suit.
- The accused products are and were formulated, made, manufactured, 20. shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and/or sold by Defendant to include specific ingredients for certain purposes that, because of their inclusion in the products for such purposes, infringe and infringed one or more claims of the patent in suit, and as a result, when end-users of Defendant's accused products orally administer and administered the accused products, they are and were practicing the methods disclosed in those claims.
- Defendant's labels and advertising for the accused products explain 21. and explained the elements and essential elements of one or more of the methods disclosed in the patent in suit, and those labels and advertising statements encourage, urge, and induce the accused products' end-users, and did so in the past, to purchase and orally ingest the products to practice those methods, and end-users do and did practice those methods.
- Defendant has therefore specifically intended to cause these end-users 22. to directly infringe the claimed methods of this patent, and in fact urged them to do so.
- The accused products are and were not suitable for non-infringing 23. uses, and none of Defendant's labels or advertisements for the accused products disclose or disclosed any uses for the products, nor for the compounds disclosed in the claimed methods of the patent in suit, that do not infringe upon such methods.
- 24. The inclusion of the specific infringing compounds in the products is and was material to practicing such methods.
- Defendant has and had knowledge that the accused products are and 25. were especially adapted by end-users of the products for the practicing of such

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

methods, and, indeed, Defendant encourages, urges, and induces the accused products' end-users to purchase and orally administer the accused products to practice such methods, and has done so in the past.

- 26. Defendant intentionally and knowingly induced, encouraged, and urged end-users of the accused products to purchase and orally administer the accused products for the purposes of practicing the claimed methods, by having them orally ingest the compounds disclosed in such claims.
- Defendant has and had knowledge of the fact that the accused 27. products, particularly as administered, infringe on one or more claims of the patent in suit.
- 28. Defendant has and had direct, firsthand knowledge of the patent in suit.
- For example and without limitation, Plaintiff believes Defendant has 29. had knowledge of the patent in suit since November 2006, when an ongoing settlement of a patent infringement case relating to the patent suit and other related patents against Herbalife, a well-known company in Defendant's industry, was announced in press releases issued in a highly publicized manner. Plaintiff believes Defendant's employees, agents, and representatives saw the press releases and were aware of the settlement and thus the patent in suit.
- 30. By way of further example and without limitation, Defendant sold its products through retailers, including online retailers, and those retailers have sold other companies' products whose labels and/or advertisements have been prominently marked with the patent in suit and/or related patents, by patent number, including without limitation, upon information and belief, the products manufactured and sold by Herbalife, Daily Wellness, and Vitality Research Labs. Defendant's employees, agents, and representatives have seen these labels and advertisements and, thus, Defendant has and had direct knowledge of the patent in suit.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

26

27

- By way of further example and without limitation, Defendant received 31. written notice of the patent in suit from Plaintiff in April 2013.
- Defendant brazenly and willfully decided to infringe the patent in suit 32. despite knowledge of the patent's existence and its knowledge of the accused products' infringements of the patent.
- At a minimum, and in the alternative, Plaintiff pleads that Defendant willfully blinded itself to the infringing nature of the accused products' sales.
- 34. Defendant did not cease its own direct infringement, nor its contributory infringement or inducement of infringement by end-users, despite its knowledge of the patent in suit and the end-users' infringing activities with respect to the patent in suit.

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION IV.

# Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,646,006

- Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations of the foregoing 35. paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- Defendant has in the past literally and directly infringed or directly 36. infringed under the doctrine of equivalents one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,646,006 by making, using, selling, and offering for sale the accused products, or any one of those products.
- 37. In addition to the fact that Defendant makes, uses, sells, and offers for sale the accused products, and did so in the past, further examples of Defendant's direct infringements include, without limitation, the fact that Defendant encouraged and/or is aware of the fact that its employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising and marketing activities orally administer the accused products and practice the methods disclosed in one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,646,006, and these employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendant and Defendant's products in advertising

and marketing activities acted under Defendant's direction and control when practicing those methods.

- 38. Defendant encouraged and was aware of these persons' oral administration of the accused products for these purposes, these persons are acting under Defendant's direction and control, and therefore Defendant directly practiced the methods disclosed in United States Patent No. 6,646,006.
- 39. End-users of Defendant's accused products were also direct infringers of one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,646,006.
- 40. End-users of Defendant's accused products have taken, used, and orally administered the accused products.
- 41. The accused products were formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold by Defendant to include certain ingredients that, by virtue of their inclusion in the products, infringed one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,646,006.
- 42. The accused products were formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold by Defendant to include specific ingredients for purposes that, by their inclusion in the products for such purposes, infringed one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,646,006, and as a result, when end-users of Defendant's accused products orally administered the accused products, they were practicing the methods disclosed in one or more claims of that patent.
- 43. Defendant's labels and advertising for the accused products explained the elements and essential elements of the methods disclosed in United States Patent No. 6,646,006, and those labels and advertising statements encouraged, urged, and induced the accused products' end-users to purchase and orally ingest the products to practice those methods, and end-users did practice those methods.

27 | ///

28 | ///

///

- 44. Defendant therefore specifically intended to cause these end-users to directly infringe the claimed methods of United States Patent No. 6,646,006, and had in fact urged them to do so.
- 45. The accused products were not suitable for non-infringing uses, and none of Defendant's labels or advertisements for the accused products disclosed any uses for the products, nor for the compounds disclosed in the claimed methods, that did not infringe upon such methods.
- 46. The inclusion of these specific infringing compounds in the products was material to practicing such methods.
- 47. Defendant had knowledge that the accused products were especially adapted by end-users of the products for the practicing of such methods, and, indeed, Defendant encouraged, urged, and induced the accused products' end-users to purchase and orally administer the accused products to practice such methods.
- 48. Defendant intentionally and knowingly induced, encouraged, and urged end-users of the accused products to purchase and orally administer the accused products for the purposes disclosed in one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,646,006, by having them orally ingest the compounds disclosed in such claims.
- 49. Defendant had knowledge of the fact that the accused products, particularly as administered, infringed on one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,646,006.
- 50. Defendant also had direct, firsthand knowledge of United States Patent No. 6,646,006 itself.
- 51. Defendant's activities were without express or implied license by Plaintiff.
- 52. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, Plaintiff suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proved at trial.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Defendant's past infringements and/or continuing infringements have 53. been deliberate and willful, and this case is therefore an exceptional case, which warrants an award of treble damages and attorneys' fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285.

### V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for entry of judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. A declaration that Defendant has infringed the patent in suit, under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 et seq.;
- That Defendant be required to provide to Plaintiff an accounting of all 2. gains, profits, and advantages derived by Defendant's infringement of the patent in suit, and that Plaintiff be awarded damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for the wrongful infringing acts by Defendant, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- That the damages awarded to Plaintiff with regard to the patent in suit 3. be increased up to three times, in view of Defendant's willful infringement, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 4. That this case be declared to be exceptional in favor of Plaintiff under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and other expenses incurred in connection with this action;
- 5. That Plaintiff be awarded its interest and costs of suit incurred in this action:
  - Compensatory damages; 6.
  - 7. Punitive damages; and
- 8. That Plaintiff be awarded such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

/// 26

 $/\!/\!/$ 27

/// 28

# HIDEN, ROTT & OERTLE, LLP

# **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial for all issues in this case that properly are subject to a jury trial.

DATED: October 9, 2013

HIDEN, ROTT & OERTLE, LLP

By:

Fric M. Overholt, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff

# CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE C	OF THIS FO	RM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
THERMOLIFE INTERNATIONAL, LLC				SIZE BIONICS RESEARCH, LLC					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Los Angeles County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)  SEE ATTACHMENT				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)  '13 CV2435 H NLS					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place on "X" in O	ne Box Only)	m. cr	L TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL	PARTIES (P	lace an "X" in t	One Box fo	r Plaintif
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	28 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PT on of This State	FF DEF		and One Box fo	r Defendan	
1 2 U.S. Government		ip of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State		corporated <i>and</i> Pri of Business In An oreign Nation		<ul><li>5</li><li>6</li></ul>	□ 5 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	<u> </u>			en or Subject of a  reign Country	3 10 3 10	reign tvation			
<ul> <li>□ 110 Insurance</li> <li>□ 120 Marine</li> <li>□ 130 Miller Act</li> <li>□ 140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>□ 151 Medicare Act</li> <li>□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)</li> <li>□ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>□ 160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>□ 190 Other Contract</li> <li>□ 195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>□ 196 Franchise</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEL 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage	RTY 0 71	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other  10 Cabor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical	□ 422 Appeal 24 □ 423 Withdraw 28 USC □ 820 Copyrigh ★ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademan  SOCIAL SE □ 861 HIA (139 □ 862 Black Lu □ 863 DIWC/D □ 864 SSID Titl □ 865 RSI (405)	val 157  RIGHTS  its  rk  GHRIFY 155ff) ng (923) IIWW (405(g)) te XVI (g))	☐ 375 False CI ☐ 400 State Re ☐ 410 Antitrus ☐ 430 Banks a ☐ 450 Comme ☐ 460 Deporta ☐ 470 Rackete Corrupt ☐ 480 Consum ☐ 490 Cable/S ☐ 850 Securiti Exchan ☐ 890 Other ☐ 891 Agricult ☐ 893 Environ ☐ 895 Freedom	eapportionn st md Banking ree dition eer Influence Organization er Credit at TV es/Commod age tatutory Ac tural Acts	g ced and ions dities/ ctions atters
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 210 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice GIV IT SELECTION □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	Product Liability  PRISONEEPETITO  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacat Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty  Other:  540 Mandamus & Otf  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee -  Conditions of	in 79  tie  1 46	Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U or Defen ☐ 871 IRS—Th 26 USC	IAX SETTS F I.S. Plaintiff edant) aird Party		strative Proview or App Decision Utionality of	peal of
	moved from 3 te Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court tute under which you a 1331, 1338, 1367	are filing (1		er District	l 6 Multidistric Litigation	et		
Patent Litigation  VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		N D	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES  JURY DEMA			only if demanded in complaint:			
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	лирде Hon. Jamis			DOCKET N	NUMBER 1 <u>3-</u> 0	CV-651		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT	TIGRNEY (	DE RECORD	wet	Married Co.			
RECEIPT# AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE		

# ATTACHMENT TO CIVIL COVER SHEET

# Attorneys:

Tyler J. Woods Tu-Quyen Pham Newport Trial Group, APC 4100 Newport Place, Ste. 800 CA 92660 (949) 706-6464

Eric M. Overholt Hiden, Rott & Oertle, LLP 2635 Camino del Rio South, Ste. 306 San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 296-5884