

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

ECLIPSE IP LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	<u>LEAD CASE NO: 2:13-cv-67</u>
v.)	
)	Case No. 2:13-cv-358
NORDSTROM, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
)	

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Eclipse IP LLC (“Eclipse”) complains of defendant Nordstrom, Inc. (“Nordstrom”) as follows:

Nature of Lawsuit

1. This is a suit for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code § 1 et seq. This Court has exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Complaint under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

Parties and Patents

2. Eclipse is a company organized and existing under the laws of Florida and having a principal place of business address at 115 NW 17th Street, Delray Beach, Florida 33444.

3. Eclipse owns all right, title, and interest in and has standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent No. 7,479,899 (“the ‘899 patent”), entitled “Notification Systems and Methods Enabling a Response to Cause Connection Between a Notified PCD and a Delivery or Pickup” (Exhibit A); United States Patent No. 7,876,239 (“the ‘239 patent”), entitled “Secure Notification Messaging Systems and Methods Using Authentication Indicia” (Exhibit

B); and United States Patent No. 7,119,716 (“the ‘716 patent”), entitled “Response Systems and Methods for Notification Systems for Modifying Future Notifications” (Exhibit C) (collectively, “the Patents-in-Suit”).

4. On information and belief, Nordstrom is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Washington.

5. On information and belief, Nordstrom does regular business in this judicial district and has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district.

Jurisdiction and Venue

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Nordstrom because Nordstrom transacts continuous and systematic business within the State of Texas and this judicial district; is operating and/or supporting products or services that fall within one or more claims of Eclipse’s patents in this judicial district; and has committed the tort of patent infringement in this judicial district.

7. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(d) and 1400(b).

Defendant’s Acts of Patent Infringement

8. Nordstrom has infringed one or more claims of the ‘899 patent through, among other activities, monitoring travel data associated with online orders shipped by the United States Postal Service, and providing automated notifications in relation to such orders that provide a means by which the recipient can select whether to communicate with Nordstrom regarding the particulars of the order.

9. Nordstrom has infringed one or more claims of the ‘239 patent through, among other activities, monitoring travel data associated with online orders shipped by the United States Postal Service, providing and/or selecting, and enabling customers to provide and/or select,

authentication information for use in connection with such orders, storing the authentication information, and providing the authentication information in notification communications to customers.

10. Nordstrom has infringed one or more claims of the '716 patent through, among other activities, storing customers' contact data in memory and providing notification communications to the customers which enable them to change the contact data, and changing the manner in which subsequent notifications are implemented.

11. Prior to filing this complaint, Eclipse, by letter, informed Nordstrom of its infringement of the Patents-in-Suit, and offered to enter into a licensing arrangement that would allow Nordstrom to continue practicing the inventions claimed in the Patents-in-Suit.

12. Nordstrom, however, chose not to enter into a licensing agreement with Eclipse.

13. Rather, with knowledge of the Patents-in-Suit and in disregard of Eclipse's patent rights, Nordstrom chose to continue its infringement.

14. Accordingly, in infringing the Patents-in-suit, Nordstrom has acted knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to induce others to infringe each of the Patents-in-Suit.

15. Nordstrom has actively induced and/or contributed to the infringement by others of one more claims of each of the Patents-in-Suit.

Claims for Relief

Count I

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,479,899 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et seq.*)

16. Eclipse incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 above.

17. On January 20, 2009, United States Patent No. 7,479,899, entitled, "Notification Systems and Methods Enabling a Response to Cause Connection Between a Notified PCD and a

Delivery or Pickup Representative” was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Eclipse IP is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the ‘899 patent. A true and correct copy of the ‘899 patent is attached as Exhibit A to this complaint.

18. Eclipse is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Nordstrom: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the ‘899 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the ‘899 patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the ‘899 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

Count II

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,876,239 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et seq.*)

19. Eclipse incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 18 above.

20. On January 25, 2011, United States Patent No. 7,876,239, entitled, “Secure Notification Messaging System and Methods Using Authentication Indicia” was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Eclipse IP is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the ‘239 patent. A true and correct copy of the ‘239 patent is attached as Exhibit B to this complaint.

21. Eclipse is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Nordstrom: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the ‘239 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the ‘239 patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the ‘239 patent,

literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

Count III
(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,119,716
Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et seq.)

22. Eclipse incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 21 above.

23. On October 10, 2006, United States Patent No. 7,119,716, entitled, “Response Systems and Methods for Notification Systems for Modifying Future Notifications” was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Eclipse IP is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the ‘716 patent. A true and correct copy of the ‘716 patent is attached as Exhibit C to this complaint.

24. Eclipse is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Nordstrom: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the ‘716 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the ‘716 patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the ‘716 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Eclipse asks this Court to enter judgment against Nordstrom and against each of the its respective subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with it, granting the following relief:

(a) An award of damages adequate to compensate Eclipse for the infringement that has occurred, together with prejudgment interest from the date infringement of the Eclipse Patents began;

(b) An award to Eclipse of all remedies available under 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285, including enhanced damages up to and including trebling of Eclipse's damages for Nordstrom's willful infringement, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and

(c) Such other and further relief as this Court or a jury may deem proper and just.

Jury Demand

Eclipse demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38.

Dated: October 17, 2013.

/s/ Matthew S. Harman

Matthew S. Harman
Georgia Bar No. 327169
Eric S. Fredrickson
Georgia Bar No. 489783

HARMAN LAW LLC
3414 Peachtree Road, NE
Suite 1250
Atlanta, GA 30326
Telephone: (404) 554-0777
Facsimile: (404) 424-9370
Email: efredrickson@harmanlaw.com
Email: mharman@harmanlaw.com

AND

Melissa R. Smith
State Bar No. 24001351
GILLAM & SMITH, L.L.P.
303 Washington Ave.
Marshall, Texas 75670
Telephone: (903) 934-8450

Facsimile: (903) 934-9257

Email: Melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of the document via the Court's CM/ECF system on October 17, 2013 per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3).

/s/ Matthew S. Harman

Matthew S. Harman