

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

**LONG CORNER SECURITY LLC,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY,**

Defendant.

Case No. 2:13-cv-820

**PATENT CASE**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

---

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Long Corner Security LLC files this Complaint against American Express Company, for infringement of United States Patent No. 5,963,642 (the “‘642 Patent”).

**PARTIES AND JURISDICTION**

1. This is an action for patent infringement under Title 35 of the United States Code. Plaintiff is seeking injunctive relief as well as damages.

2. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (Federal Question) and 1338(a) (Patents) because this is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the United States patent statutes.

3. Plaintiff Long Corner Security LLC (“Plaintiff” or “Long Corner”) is a Texas Limited Liability Company with its principal office located in the Eastern District of Texas, at 1002 Raintree Circle, Suite 100, Office #178, Allen, Texas 75013.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant American Express Company (“Defendant”) is a New York corporation with its principal office located at Three World Financial Center, New York, NY 10285. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in the state of

Texas, has conducted business in the state of Texas, and/or has engaged in continuous and systematic activities in the state of Texas.

5. On information and belief, Defendant's products that are alleged herein to infringe were and/or continue to be made, used, imported, offered for sale, and/or sold in the Eastern District of Texas.

### **VENUE**

6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Texas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b) because Defendant is deemed to reside in this district. In addition, and in the alternative, Defendant has committed acts of infringement in this district.

### **COUNT I** **(INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 5,963,642)**

7. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 6 herein by reference.

8. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

9. Plaintiff is the owner by assignment of the '642 Patent with sole rights to enforce the '642 Patent and sue infringers.

10. A copy of the '642 Patent, titled "Method and Apparatus for Secure Storage of Data," is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

11. The '642 Patent is valid and enforceable, and it was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

12. The '642 Patent is a prominent, pioneering patent in the field of secure storage of data. This is evidenced in part by the extent to which the '642 Patent has been forward-cited as prior art in connection with the examination of subsequently-issued U.S. patents. The '642 Patent has been forward-cited in approximately 90 subsequently-issued U.S. patents to date,

including patents originally assigned to such prominent companies as Seven Networks (26 times), IBM (21 times), SafeNet (5 times), UPS (3 times), Hitachi (3 times), Toshiba (2 times), Microsoft (2 times), LG, Casio, Sony, Oracle, SAP, Xerox and Intel.

**(Direct Infringement)**

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant has infringed and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '642 Patent, including at least claim 12, by making, using, importing, selling and/or offering for sale systems and methods for secure storage of data covered by one or more claims of the '642 Patent, including without limitation the American Express Tokenization feature along with American Express servers.

14. Defendant's actions complained of herein are causing irreparable harm and monetary damage to Plaintiff and will continue to do so unless and until Defendant is enjoined and restrained by this Court.

15. Plaintiff is in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of all issues so triable by right.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to:

- a) Enter judgment for Plaintiff on this Complaint on all causes of action asserted herein;
- b) Enjoin Defendant, its agents, officers, servants, employees, attorneys and all persons in active concert or participation with Defendant who receive notice of the order from further infringement of United States Patent No. 5,963,642;

- c) Award Plaintiff damages resulting from Defendant's infringement in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- d) Award Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs; and
- e) Award Plaintiff such further relief to which the Court finds Plaintiff entitled under law or equity.

Dated: October 22, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Craig Tadlock

Craig Tadlock

State Bar No. 00791766

Keith Smiley

State Bar No. 24067869

TADLOCK LAW FIRM PLLC

2701 Dallas Parkway, Suite 360

Plano, Texas 75093

903-730-6789

craig@tadlocklawfirm.com

keith@tadlocklawfirm.com

***Attorneys for Plaintiff Long Corner  
Security LLC***