

MASCHOFF BRENNAN

C. J. Veverka (# 07110)
1389 Center Drive, Suite 300
Park City, UT 84098
Telephone: (435) 252-1360
Facsimile: (435) 252-1361
E-mail: cveverka@mabr.com

ONE LLP

Christopher W. Arledge (CA Bar No. 200767)
Joseph K. Liu (CA Bar No. 216227)
Nate L. Dilger (CA Bar No. 196203)
4000 MacArthur Boulevard
West Tower, Suite 1100
Newport Beach, California 92660
Telephone: (949) 502-2870
Facsimile: (949) 258-5081
carledge@onellp.com
jliu@onellp.com
ndilger@onellp.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff SkipPrint, LLC

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION**

SKIPPRINT, LLC,
a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RASTAR, INC.,

Defendants.

**SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT,
PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND
DAMAGES**

Case No. 2:13-cv-00039-DB

Judge Dee Benson

For its Second Amended Complaint against Defendant Rastar, Inc. (“Defendant” or “Rastar”), Plaintiff SkipPrint, LLC (“SkipPrint”) alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff SkipPrint LLC (“SkipPrint”) is a Delaware corporation having a principal place of business at 1875 Century Park East, Suite 700, Los Angeles, CA 90067.

2. On information and belief, Defendant Rastar, Inc. (“Rastar”) is a Utah corporation with its principal place of business at 1152 West 2240 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84119.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Act of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq. This court has subject matter jurisdiction of such federal question claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

4. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c) and 1400(b) in that the acts and transactions complained of herein were conceived, carried out, made effective, and had effect within the State of Utah and within this district, among other places. Defendant resides in this judicial district by virtue of its business activities in this district, has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district, or has committed acts of contributory infringement and inducement of infringement within this judicial district.

BACKGROUND

5. On June 13, 2000, the United States Patent & Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Letters Patent No. 6,076,080 (“the ‘080 Patent”), entitled “FORMS

ORDER ENTRY SYSTEM.” A true and correct copy of this patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

6. The ‘080 patent claims, among other things, computer-based forms order entry systems. This invention enables an efficient, innovative, and user-friendly electronic online forms order entry process.

7. The ‘080 patent is owned by The Standard Register Company. To allow enforcement and protection of this patent and the technology it represents, in December 2012, The Standard Register Company executed an exclusive license agreement with SkipPrint, LLC (“Standard Register Exclusive License Agreement”) and, by this Standard Register Exclusive License Agreement, granted SkipPrint the exclusive right to practice, enforce, and sublicense, among other rights, the ‘080 Patent, effective December 31, 2012. A true and correct copy of the Standard Register Exclusive License Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by reference.

8. On September 9, 1997, the United States Patent & Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Letters Patent No. 5,666,493 (“the ‘493 Patent”), entitled “SYSTEM FOR MANAGING CUSTOMER ORDERS AND METHOD OF IMPLEMENTATION.” A true and correct copy of this patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

9. On May 23, 2006, the United States Patent & Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Letters Patent No. 7,050,995 (“the ‘995 Patent”), entitled “SYSTEM FOR MANAGING ORDERS AND METHOD OF IMPLEMENTATION.” A true and correct copy of this patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

10. On June 6, 2006, the United States Patent & Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Letters Patent No. 7,058,596 (“the ‘596 Patent”), entitled “SYSTEM FOR MANAGING ORDERS AND METHODS OF IMPLEMENTATION.” A true and correct copy of this patent is attached hereto as Exhibit E.

11. The ‘493, ‘995, and ‘596 patents claim, among other things, computer-based systems for management and fulfillment of customer orders in a product distribution environment. These inventions enable an efficient, innovative, and user-friendly electronic process for managing inventory, such as print, in a distribution environment.

12. The ‘493, ‘995, and ‘596 patents are owned by Lykes Bros, Inc. To allow enforcement and protection of these patents and the technology they represent, in December, 2012, Lykes Bros., Inc. executed an exclusive license agreement with SkipPrint, LLC (“Lykes Bros. Exclusive License Agreement”) and, by this Lykes Bros. Exclusive License Agreement, granted SkipPrint the exclusive right to practice, enforce, and sublicense, among other rights, the ‘493, ‘596, and ‘995 Patents. A true and correct copy of the Lykes Bros. Exclusive License Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit F and incorporated herein by reference.

13. On October 5, 1999, the United States Patent & Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Letters Patent No. 5,963,641 (“the ‘641 Patent”), entitled “DEVICE AND METHOD FOR EXAMINING, VERIFYING, CORRECTING AND APPROVING ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS PRIOR TO PRINTING, TRANSMISSION, OR RECORDING.” A true and correct copy of this patent is attached hereto as Exhibit G.

14. The '641 patent claims, among other things, computer-based pre-flighting systems and methods. This invention enables an efficient, innovative, and user-friendly system for pre-flighting electronic documents intended for printing.

15. The '641 patent is owned by the Markzware corporation. To allow enforcement and protection of this patent and the technology it represents, in February, 2013, Markzware executed an exclusive license agreement with SkipPrint, LLC ("Markzware Exclusive License Agreement") and, by this Markzware Exclusive License Agreement, granted SkipPrint the exclusive right to practice, enforce, and sublicense, among other rights, the '641 Patent, effective February 26, 2013. A true and correct copy of the Markzware Exclusive License Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit H and incorporated herein by reference.

16. On September 14, 1999, the United States Patent & Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Letters Patent No. 5,953,702 ("the '702 Patent"), entitled "Computerized comprehensive document audit." A true and correct copy of this patent is attached hereto as Exhibit I.

17. The '702 patent claims, among other things, a digital comprehensive document audit system.

18. The '702 patent is also owned by The Standard Register Company. To allow enforcement and protection of this patent and the technology it represents, in May 2013, The Standard Register Company executed an exclusive license agreement with SkipPrint, LLC ("Standard Register Exclusive License Agreement") and, by this Standard Register Exclusive License Agreement, granted SkipPrint the exclusive right to practice, enforce, and sublicense, among other rights, the '702 Patent, effective May 29th, 2013. A true and correct copy of the

Standard Register Exclusive License Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit J and incorporated herein by reference.

COUNT I
(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,076,080)

19. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-18 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

20. On information and belief, Defendant Rastar has been and now is infringing the '080 patent in the State of Utah, including claim 1 for example, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by providing their web-based online storefront system(s) to at least their U.S. customers over the Internet, through their Pre-Media Services and their Franchise Print Solutions.

21. By making, using, selling, and offering for sale such systems, and all like products and related services that are covered by one or more claims of the '080 patent, Defendant Rastar has infringed and continues to directly infringe the '080 Patent, including infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) and (f).

22. In mid-January, Plaintiff sent a letter to Defendant Rastar offering a license to the patents-in-suit, including the '080 patent, along with the service of Plaintiff's original Complaint, served on Defendant Rastar as early as January 29, 2013. A true and correct copy of the letter is attached hereto as Exhibit K. Therefore, Defendant Rastar had knowledge of the '080 patent as early as January 29, 2013.

23. Defendant Rastar's Pre-Media Services and their Franchise Print Solutions are accompanied with online marketing, encouraging its customers to operate these systems and

services in an infringing manner. Defendant Rastar's Pre-Media Services can be found at <http://www.rastar.com/utah-prepress-services.html>. Defendant Rastar's Franchise Print Solutions can be found at <http://www.rastar.com/franchise-print-services.html>.

24. On information and belief, as a result of Defendant Rastar's encouragement, through at least its online marketing, Defendant Rastar's customers were induced to operate these systems and services, namely Defendant Rastar's Pre-Media Services and Franchise Print Solutions, in an infringing manner. For instance, Defendant Rastar's customers would visit <http://www.rastar.com/utah-prepress-services.html> and/or <http://www.rastar.com/franchise-print-services.html> and follow the instructions set forth at these sites to operate the accused systems and services in an infringing manner.

25. Accordingly, on information and belief, Defendant has also indirectly infringed and continues to indirectly infringe the '080 patent by actively inducing others to cause direct infringement—specifically, customers and partners of Defendant—who operate systems and methods that embody or otherwise practice one or more claims of the '080 patent when Defendant had knowledge of the '080 patent and knew or should have known that their actions would induce direct infringement by others and intended that their actions would induce direct infringement by others.

26. On information and belief, Defendant will continue to infringe the '080 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.

27. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's infringement of the '080 Patent, SkipPrint has been and continues to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined.

28. Unless a preliminary and permanent injunction are issued enjoining Defendant and its officers, agents, servants and employees, and all others acting on their behalf or in concert with Defendant, from infringing the '080 Patent, SkipPrint, will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

COUNT II
(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,050,995)

29. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-28 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

30. On information and belief, Defendant Rastar has been and now is infringing the '995 patent in the State of Utah, including claim 32 for example, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by providing their web-based online storefront system(s) to at least their U.S. customers over the Internet, through their Pre-Media Services and their Franchise Print Solutions.

31. By making, using, selling, and offering for sale such systems, and all like products and related services that are covered by one or more claims of the '995 patent, Defendant Rastar has infringed and continues to directly infringe the '995 Patent, including infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) and (f).

32. On information and belief, Defendant will continue to infringe the '995 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.

33. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's infringement of the '995 Patent, SkipPrint has been and continues to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined.

34. Unless a preliminary and permanent injunction are issued enjoining Defendant and its officers, agents, servants and employees, and all others acting on their behalf or in concert with Defendant, from infringing the '995 Patent, SkipPrint, will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

COUNT III
(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,058,596)

35. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-34 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

36. On information and belief, Defendant Rastar has been and now is infringing the '596 patent in the State of Utah, including claim 4 for example, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by providing their web-based online ordering system(s) to at least their U.S. customers over the Internet, including their On-demand Web to Print Supply Chain Support and Franchise POP Campaign Production Management.

37. By making, using, selling, and offering for sale such systems, and all like products and related services that are covered by one or more claims of the '596 patent, Defendant Rastar has infringed and continues to directly infringe the '596 Patent, including infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) and (f).

38. On information and belief, Defendant will continue to infringe the '596 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.

39. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's infringement of the '596 Patent, SkipPrint has been and continues to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined.

40. Unless a preliminary and permanent injunction are issued enjoining Defendant and its officers, agents, servants and employees, and all others acting on their behalf or in

concert with Defendant, from infringing the '596 Patent, SkipPrint, will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

COUNT IV
(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,666,493)

41. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-40 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

42. On information and belief, Defendant Rastar has been and now is infringing the '493 patent in the State of Utah, including claim 2 for example, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by providing their web-based online ordering system(s) to at least their U.S. customers over the Internet, including their On-demand Web to Print Supply Chain Support and Franchise POP Campaign Production Management.

43. By making, using, selling, and offering for sale such systems, and all like products and related services that are covered by one or more claims of the '493 patent, Defendant Rastar has infringed and continues to directly infringe the '493 Patent, including infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) and (f).

44. On information and belief, Defendant will continue to infringe the '493 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.

45. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's infringement of the '493 Patent, SkipPrint has been and continues to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined.

46. Unless a preliminary and permanent injunction are issued enjoining Defendant and its officers, agents, servants and employees, and all others acting on their behalf or in concert with Defendant, from infringing the '493 Patent, SkipPrint, will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

COUNT V
(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,963,641)

47. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-46 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

48. On information and belief, Defendant Rastar has been and now is infringing the '641 patent in the State of Utah, including claim 10 for example, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by providing their web-based online storefront system(s), including their pre-flighting features, to at least their U.S. customers over the Internet, through their Pre-Media Services and their Franchise Print Solutions.

49. By making, using, selling, and offering for sale such systems, and all like products and related services that are covered by one or more claims of the '641 patent, Defendant Rastar has infringed and continues to directly infringe the '641 Patent, including infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) and (f).

50. On information and belief, Defendant will continue to infringe the '641 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.

51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's infringement of the '641 Patent, SkipPrint has been and continues to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined.

52. Unless a preliminary and permanent injunction are issued enjoining Defendant and its officers, agents, servants and employees, and all others acting on their behalf or in concert with Defendant, from infringing the '641 Patent, SkipPrint, will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

COUNT VI
(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,953,702)

53. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-52 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

54. On information and belief, Defendant Rastar has been and now is infringing the '702 patent in the State of Utah, including claim 1 for example, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by using its document audit system, which includes Pageflex and/or Sharepoint.

55. By using such systems, and all like products and related services that are covered by one or more claims of the '702 patent, Defendant Rastar has infringed and continues to directly infringe the '702 Patent, including infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) and (f).

56. On information and belief, Defendant will continue to infringe the '702 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.

57. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's infringement of the '702 Patent, SkipPrint has been and continues to be damaged in an amount yet to be determined.

58. Unless a preliminary and permanent injunction are issued enjoining Defendant and its officers, agents, servants and employees, and all others acting on their behalf or in concert with Defendant, from infringing the '702 Patent, SkipPrint, will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff SkipPrint, LLC prays for judgment against the Defendant as follows:

(1) For a judicial determination and declaration that the Defendant has infringed, and continues to infringe, United States Letters Patent Nos. 6,076,080; 5,666,493; 7,050,995; 7,058,596; 5,963,641; and 5,953,702

(2) For a judicial determination and decree that the Defendant, its respective subsidiaries, officers, agents, servants, employees, licensees, and all other persons or entities acting or attempting to act in active concert or participation with it or acting on its behalf, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from further infringement of the '080, '493, '995, '596, '641, and '702 Patents;

(3) For a declaration that the Defendant notify all of its customers, vendors and users of the infringing system(s) and customers' participation in the infringement with Defendant's encouragement, and that Defendant encourage its customers, vendors and users to cease all such infringing actions;

(4) For a judicial decree that orders Defendant to account for and pay to SkipPrint, LLC all damages caused to SkipPrint, LLC by reason of Defendant's infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. Section 284, including enhanced damages under 35 U.S.C. Section 285;

(5) For an award of damages according to proof at trial;

(6) For a judicial order awarding to SkipPrint, LLC pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to it by Defendant's infringement; and

(7) For any such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff SkipPrint, LLC hereby demands trial by jury in this action.

Respectfully submitted this 19th day of November, 2013.

MASCHOFF BRENNAN

/s/ C.J. Veverka

C.J. Veverka

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 19, 2013, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing document to be filed with the Clerk of Court and served on all counsel of record via CM/ECF.

/s/ C.J. Veverka
C.J. Veverka