

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

UNILOC USA, INC., and	§	
UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.,	§	
	§	
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:13-cv-910
	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
MICRO FOCUS INTERNATIONAL	§	
PLC,	§	
MICRO FOCUS (US) INC., and	§	
BORLAND SOFTWARE	§	
CORPORATION,	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	
	§	

**PLAINTIFFS’ FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiffs Uniloc USA, Inc. (“Uniloc USA”) and Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. (“Uniloc Luxembourg”) (collectively, “Uniloc”) file this Amended Complaint against Micro Focus International PLC, Micro Focus (US) Inc., and Borland Software Corporation (collectively, “Defendants”) for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,579,222 (“the ’222 patent”).

**THE PARTIES**

1. Uniloc USA, Inc. (“Uniloc USA”) is a Texas corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business at Legacy Town Center I, Suite 380, 7160 Dallas Parkway, Plano, Texas 75024. Uniloc USA also maintains a place of business at 315 North Broadway, Suite 307, Tyler, Texas 75702.

2. Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. (“Uniloc Luxembourg”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Luxembourg with its principal place of business at 15, rue Edward Steichen, L-2540, Luxembourg (R.C.S. Luxembourg B159161).

3. Uniloc Luxembourg and Uniloc USA are collectively referred to as “Uniloc.” Uniloc researches, develops, manufactures and licenses information security technology solutions, platforms and frameworks, including solutions for securing software applications and digital content. Uniloc’s patented technologies enable software and content publishers to securely distribute and sell their high-value technology assets with minimum burden to their legitimate end users. Uniloc’s technology is used in several markets, including software and game security, identity management, intellectual property rights management, and critical infrastructure security.

4. Micro Focus International PLC (“Micro Focus PLC”) is a foreign corporation organized and existing under the laws of the United Kingdom with its principal place of business in Newbury, Berkshire, United Kingdom. Micro Focus PLC may be served with process pursuant to Article 3 of the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters (“Hague Convention”). Upon information and belief, Micro Focus PLC does business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas.

5. Micro Focus (US) Inc. (“Micro Focus Inc.”) is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at One Irvington Center, 700 King Farm Boulevard, Suite 400, Rockville, MD 20850-5736. Upon information and belief, Micro Focus Inc. is a subsidiary of Micro Focus International PLC. Micro Focus Inc. may be served with process through its registered agent, National Registered Agents, Inc., 1999 Bryan St., Ste. 900, Dallas, TX 75201-3136. Upon information and belief, Micro Focus Inc. does business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas.

6. Borland Software Corporation (“Borland”) is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business located at 8310 N Capital of Texas Hwy, Building 2, Suite 100, Austin, Texas, 78731 USA. Borland is a subsidiary of Micro Focus PLC. Borland may be served with

process through its registered agent, National Registered Agents, Inc., 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas, 75201. Upon information and belief, Borland does business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7. Uniloc brings this action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, namely 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, and 284-285, among others. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and 1367.

8. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendant is deemed to reside in this judicial district, has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district, has purposely transacted business involving its accused products in this judicial district and/or, has regular and established places of business in this judicial district.

9. Defendants are subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this State and judicial district, including: (A) at least part of its infringing activities alleged herein; and (B) regularly doing or soliciting business and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods sold and services provided to Texas residents.

**COUNT I**  
**(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,579,222)**

10. Uniloc incorporates paragraphs 1 through 9 herein by reference.

11. Uniloc Luxembourg is the owner, by assignment, of the '222 patent, entitled "DISTRIBUTED LICENSE ADMINISTRATION SYSTEM USING A LOCAL POLICY SERVER TO COMMUNICATE WITH A LICENSE SERVER AND CONTROL EXECUTION

OF COMPUTER PROGRAMS.” A true and correct copy of the ’222 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

12. Uniloc USA is the exclusive licensee of the ’222 patent with ownership of all substantial rights in the ’222 patent, including the right to grant sublicenses, exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringements.

13. The ’222 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

14. Defendants are directly infringing one or more claims of the ’222 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas, including at least claim 18, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, without the consent or authorization of Uniloc, by or through making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing software that includes concurrent license administration functionality that permits the borrowing or “checking out” of licenses, including, but not limited to, Studio Enterprise 6.0, CaliberRDM, and Visual COBOL 2010 for Eclipse.

15. Uniloc has been damaged as a result of Defendants’ infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendants are, thus, liable to Uniloc in an amount that adequately compensates them for Defendants’ infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

16. Uniloc has entered into a Patent License, Release and Settlement Agreement with Flexera Software LLC (“Flexera”). Uniloc is not alleging infringement of the patent-in-suit based on any product, software, system, method or service provided by Flexera Software LLC or any Flexera Predecessor (“Flexera Products”). For purposes of this action, a Flexera Predecessor is any predecessor business owned or controlled by Flexera, including, but not limited to, C-Dilla Limited, GLOBEtrotter Software, Inc., InstallShield Software Corporation, Flexera Holding LLC,

Flex co Holding Company, Inc., Flexera Software Inc., Acrezzo Software Inc., Intraware, Inc., Managesoft Corporation, HONICO Software GmbH, LinkRight Software, L.L.C., and Logiknet, Inc. (d/ b/a SCCM Expert) and only to the extent of, and limited to, the specific business, technologies and products acquired by Flexera from each of them, and Macrovision Corporation (renamed Rovi Solutions Corporation in July 2009) only to the extent of, and limited to, the specific business, technologies and products acquired by Flexera Holdings Company, Inc. in April 2008 (renamed Acrezzo Software Inc.), which later changed its name in October 2009 to Flexera Software LLC. For purposes of this action, Flexera Products do not include any third party products or services that provide activation, entitlement, licensing, usage monitoring and management, auditing, or registration functionality or third party products and services that are activated, licensed or registered exclusively and independently of products, software, systems, methods or services provided by Flexera or Flexera Predecessors. All allegations of infringement herein are made exclusively and independently of the authorized use of Flexera Products.

**JURY DEMAND**

Uniloc hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Uniloc requests that the Court find in their favor and against Defendants, and that the Court grant Uniloc the following relief:

- a. Judgment that one or more claims of the '222 patent has been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Defendants;
- b. Judgment that Defendants account for and pay to Uniloc all damages to and costs incurred by Uniloc because of Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;

- c. Judgment that Defendants account for and pay to Uniloc a reasonable, on-going, post judgment royalty because of Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- d. That Uniloc be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused by Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein; and
- e. That Uniloc be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just, and proper under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Kenneth P. Kula

**Steven N. Williams**

swilliams@mcdolewilliams.com

Texas Bar No. 21577625

**Kenneth P. Kula**

kkula@mcdolewilliams.com

Texas Bar No. 24004749

**William Z. Duffy**

zduffy@mcdolewilliams.com

Texas Bar No. 24059697

**McDOLE & WILLIAMS, PC**

1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 1280

Dallas, Texas 75201

(214) 979-1122 - Telephone

(214) 979-1123 – Facsimile

James L. Etheridge

Texas State Bar No. 24059147

ETHERIDGE LAW GROUP, PLLC

2600 E. Southlake Blvd., Suite 120 / 324

Southlake, Texas 76092

Telephone: (817) 470-7249

Facsimile: (817) 887-5950

Jim@EtheridgeLaw.com

T. John Ward, Jr.

Texas State Bar No. 00794818

J. Wesley Hill

Texas State Bar No. 24032294

WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM

P.O. Box 1231

1127 Judson Road, Ste. 220

Longview, Texas 75606-1231

(903) 757-6400

(903) 757-2323 (fax)

jw@wsfirm.com

wh@wsfirm.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS  
UNILOC USA, INC. AND UNILOC  
LUXEMBOURG S.A.**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that the foregoing document is being served on all counsel of record who consent to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system on December 23, 2013 pursuant to Local Rule CV-5(a)(3).

/s/Amy Burzlaff  
Amy Burzlaff