

ANTON HANDAL (Bar No. 113812)
anh@handal-law.com
PAMELA C. CHALK (Bar No. 216411)
pchalk@handal-law.com
GABRIEL HEDRICK (Bar No. 220649)
ghedrick@handal-law.com
HANDAL & ASSOCIATES
1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1321
San Diego, California 92101
Tel: 619.544.6400
Fax: 619.696.0323

Attorneys for Plaintiff
e.Digital Corporation

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

e.Digital Corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

ADATA Technology Co., Ltd.; and,
ADATA Technology (U.S.A.) Co., Ltd.,

Defendants.

Case No. **'13CV2888 MMABGS**

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation (“e.Digital” or “Plaintiff”), by and through its undersigned counsel, complains and alleges against Defendant ADATA Technology Co., Ltd. and Defendant ADATA Technology (U.S.A.) Co., Ltd., (collectively hereafter “ADATA” or “Defendants”) as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a civil action for infringement of a patent arising under the laws of the United States relating to patents, 35 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*, including, without limitation, § 281. Plaintiff e.Digital seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction and monetary damages for the infringement of its U.S. Patent No. 5,839,108.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and pursuant to the patent laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*

3. Venue properly lies within the Southern District of California pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (d) and 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendants conduct substantial business directly and/or through third parties or agents in this judicial district by selling and/or offering to sell the infringing products and/or by conducting other business in this judicial district. Furthermore, Plaintiff e.Digital is headquartered and has its principal place of business in this district, engages in business in this district, and has been harmed by Defendants' conduct, business transactions and sales in this district.

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because, on information and belief, Defendants transact continuous and systematic business within the State of California and the Southern District of California. In addition, this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because, on information and belief, this lawsuit arises out of Defendants' infringing activities, including, without limitation, the making, using, selling and/or offering to sell infringing products in the State of California and the Southern District of California. Finally, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because, on information and belief, Defendants have made, used, sold and/or offered for sale its infringing products and placed such infringing products in the stream of interstate commerce with the expectation that such infringing products would be made, used, sold and/or offered for sale within the State of California and the Southern District of California.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff e.Digital is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business at 16870 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 120, San Diego,

1 California 92127.

2 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant ADATA Technology Co.,
3 Ltd., is a Taiwan company registered and lawfully existing under the laws of the
4 country of Taiwan, Republic of China, with an office and principal place of
5 business located at 2F, No. 258, Lian Cheng Rd., Chung Ho District, New Taipei
6 City, 235, Taiwan (R.O.C.).

7 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant ADATA Technology
8 (U.S.A.) Co., Ltd. is a corporation registered and lawfully existing under the laws
9 of the State of California, with an office and principal place of business at 17101
10 Gale Ave., City of Industry, California. 91745.

11 **THE ASSERTED PATENT**

12 8. On November 17, 1998, the United States Patent and Trademark
13 Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,839,108 (“the ’108
14 patent”) entitled “Flash Memory File System In A Handheld Record And Playback
15 Device,” to its named inventors Norbert P. Daberko and Richard K. Davis.
16 Plaintiff e.Digital is the assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in
17 and to the ’108 patent and has the right to bring this suit for damages and other
18 relief. A true and correct copy of the ’108 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

19 **COUNT ONE**

20 **INFRINGEMENT OF THE ’108 PATENT BY DEFENDANT**

21 9. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the
22 allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 8 above.

23 10. Upon information and belief, Defendants, without authority, (a) have
24 induced and continue to induce infringement of one or more claims of the ’108
25 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b); and, (b) have contributed and continue to
26 contribute to the infringement of one or more claims of the ’108 patent in violation
27 of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

28 11. The accused products for purposes of the ’108 patent include but are

1 not limited to the Defendants' memory card products for Flash Memory Storage
2 including but not limited to its USB, SSD, SD, microSD, and/or Compact Flash
3 products. The accused products include but are not limited to the Defendants'
4 Premier micro SDHC/SDXC UHS-I U1 Class 10 Memory Card products.

5 12. The accused products, alone or in combination with other products,
6 practice each of the limitations of independent claim 1 of the '108 patent.

7 13. Upon information and belief, Defendants, without authority, have
8 actively induced infringement and continue to actively induce infringement of the
9 '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by causing others to directly infringe
10 the claims of the '108 patent and/or by intentionally instructing others how to use
11 the accused products in a manner that infringes the claims of the '108 patent. On
12 information and belief, Defendants have induced and continue to induce
13 infringement by instructing customers to operate the product in an infringing
14 manner and/or when Defendants test or otherwise operate the accused products in
15 the United States.

16 14. Upon information and belief, Defendants, without authority, have
17 contributed and continue to contribute to the infringement of the '108 patent in
18 violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by importing into the United States, selling and/or
19 offering to sell within the United States accused products that (1) embody and
20 constitute a material part of the invention of the '108 patent, (2) Defendants know
21 to be especially adapted for use in infringing the '108 patent, and (3) are not staple
22 articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use with respect to the
23 '108 patent.

24 15. Based on information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants sell,
25 ship, or otherwise deliver the accused product with all the features required to
26 infringe the asserted claims of the '108 patent. On information and belief, these
27 products are designed to practice the infringing features.

28 16. Upon information and belief, certain of these products manufactured

1 by ADATA have been and/or are currently sold and/or offered for sale at, among
2 other places, the Fry's Electronics Store located at 9825 Stonecrest Blvd. San
3 Diego, CA 92123 and/or the Fry's Electronics online store website located at
4 <http://www.frys.com> to consumers including, but not limited to, consumers located
5 within the State of California.

6 17. Defendants had knowledge of infringement of the '108 patent since at
7 least the filing of this complaint. On information and belief, Defendants have
8 continued to sell products that practice the '108 patent after acquiring knowledge
9 of infringement.

10 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

11 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

- 12 1. That Defendants be declared to have infringed the Patent-in-Suit;
- 13 2. That Defendants, Defendants' officers, agents, servants, employees,
14 and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them, be
15 preliminarily and permanently enjoined from infringement of the Patent-in-Suit,
16 including but not limited to any making, using, offering for sale, selling, or
17 importing of unlicensed infringing products within and without the United States;
- 18 3. Compensation for all damages caused by Defendants' infringement of
19 the Patent-in-Suit to be determined at trial;
- 20 4. Enhancement of Plaintiff's damages up to three (3) times their amount
21 pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 22 6. Granting Plaintiff pre-and post-judgment interest on its damages,
23 together with all costs and expenses; and,
- 24 7. Awarding such other relief as this Court may deem just and proper.
- 25

26 Dated: December 5, 2013

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

By: /s/ Pamela C. Chalk
Pamela C. Chalk
Attorneys for Plaintiff
e.Digital Corporation

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all claims.

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

Dated: December 5, 2013

By: /s/ Pamela C. Chalk
Anton N. Handal
Gabriel G. Hedrick
Pamela C. Chalk
Attorneys for Plaintiff
e.Digital Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served on this date to all counsel of record, if any to date, who are deemed to have consented to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system per CivLR 5.4(d). Any other counsel of record will be served by electronic mail, facsimile and/or overnight delivery upon their appearance in this matter.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 5th day of December, 2013 at San Diego, California.

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

Dated: December 5, 2013

By: /s/ Pamela C. Chalk
Anton N. Handal
Gabriel G. Hedrick
Pamela C. Chalk
Attorneys for Plaintiff
e.Digital Corporation