1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Tyler J. Woods (State Bar No. 232464) twoods@trialnewport.com Richard H. Hikida (State Bar No. 196149) rhikida@trialnewport.com Scott J. Ferrell (State Bar No. 202091) sferrell@trialnewport.com NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP A Professional Corporation 4100 Newport Place, Suite 800 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Tel: (949) 706-6464 Fax: (949) 706-6469 Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11 12	THERMOLIFE INTERNATIONAL, LLC, and THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF	Case No. 13-CV-00908 JLS (MDD)
13	THE LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY	AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
14	Plaintiffs,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
15	VS.	
16	BIO-ENGINEERED SUPPLEMENTS AND NUTRITION, INC.; ABB	
17	PERFORMANCE, LLC; and OPTIMUM NUTRITION, INC.,	
18	Defendants	
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

///

Plaintiffs ThermoLife International, LLC and The Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University ("Plaintiffs") hereby allege for their Complaint against Bio-Engineered Supplements and Nutrition, Inc.; ABB Performance, LLC; and Optimum Nutrition, Inc. ("Defendants"), on personal knowledge as to their own activities and on information and belief as to the activities of others, as follows:

I. THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff ThermoLife International, LLC ("ThermoLife") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Arizona, with a place of business at 1811 Ocean Front Walk in Venice, California, 90291.
- 2. Plaintiff The Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University ("Stanford University") is an institution of higher education having powers under the laws of the State of California, with a place of business at 1705 El Camino Real in Palo Alto, California, 94306-1106.
- 3. ThermoLife is the exclusive licensee of the following United States Patents:
 - a. Patent No. 6,646,006, titled "Enhancement of Vascular Function By Modulation of Endogenous Nitric Oxide Production or Activity";
 - b. Patent No. 6,117,872, titled "Enhancement of Exercise Performance by Augmenting Endogenous Nitric Oxide Production or Activity";
 - c. Patent No. 5,891,459, titled "Enhancement of Vascular Function By Modulation of Endogenous Nitric Oxide Production or Activity"; and
 - d. Patent No. 7,452,916, titled "Enhancement of Vascular Function By Modulation of Endogenous Nitric Oxide Production or Activity."
- 4. The above patents are owned by Stanford University and ThermoLife exclusively licenses the patents from Stanford University. ThermoLife is pursuing this action and ThermoLife has the right to join Stanford as a necessary party.
 - 5. The above patents are referred to herein as the "patents in suit."

- 6. ThermoLife has been given the right by Stanford University to institute suit with respect to infringement of the patents in suit, including this suit against Defendants.
- 7. Bio-Engineered Supplements and Nutrition, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Florida with a principal place of business at 5901 Broken Sound Parkway in Boca Raton, Florida, 33478-2773.
- 8. ABB Performance, LLC is a company organized and existing under the laws of Illinois with a principal place of business at 700 N. Commerce St. in Aurora, Illinois, 60504.
- 9. Optimum Nutrition, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Illinois with a principal place of business at 700 N. Commerce St. in Aurora, Illinois, 60504.
- 10. Defendants Bio-Engineered Supplements and Nutrition, Inc., ABB Performance, LLC, and Optimum Nutrition, Inc. are subsidiaries of Glanbia plc, which has an office in Kilkenny, Ireland, and the three Defendants are controlled by Glanbia, and they are under common control and ownership.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338, and 1367.
 - 12. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400.
- 13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants. By way of example and without limitation, Defendants, directly or through intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others), make, manufacture, ship, distribute, advertise, market, offer for sale, and/or sell dietary supplement products that infringe on one or more claims of the patents in suit (hereinafter the "accused products"), which include without limitation products sold under the "Speed Stack Pumped NO," "N.O. Xplode,"

"Nitrix," "Nitric Boost," and "Essential Amino Energy Chewables" brand names, in the United States, the State of California, and the Southern District of California.

14. By way of further example and without limitation, Defendants have purposefully and voluntarily placed the accused products into the stream of commerce with the expectation that they will be purchased in the Southern District of California, and the products are actually purchased in the Southern District of California.

III. THE DEFENDANT'S INFRINGEMENTS

15. Defendants have committed the tort of patent infringement within the State of California, and more particularly, within the Southern District of California, by virtue of the fact that Defendants have formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and/or sold the accused products in this District, and continue to do so.

A. DIRECT INFRINGEMENTS

- 16. Defendants' employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendants and Defendants' products in advertising and marketing activities, have taken, used, and orally administered the accused products.
- 17. The accused products are formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold by Defendants to include certain ingredients that, by virtue of their inclusion in the products, infringe one or more claims of one or more of the patents in suit.
- 18. The accused products are formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold by Defendants to include specific ingredients for certain purposes that, by virtue of their inclusion in the products for such purposes, infringe one or more claims of one or more of the patents in suit, and as a result, when Defendants' employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendants and Defendants' products in advertising and marketing activities orally administer the accused products, they are practicing the methods disclosed in those claims.

- 19. These infringing ingredients, and/or combinations thereof, include, without limitation, L-Arginine, Arginine, L-Arginine Alpha-Ketoglutarate, L-Arginine Ethyl Ester, L-Citrulline-Malic Acid Matrix, and Gymnostema, as set forth on Defendants' labels.
- 20. The purposes for which these ingredients are included in the accused products are, without limitation, to enhance nitric oxide production, to improve nitric oxide activity, to produce nitric oxide, to boost nitric oxide levels in the body, and to enhance physical performance.
- 21. Defendants have encouraged and/or are aware of the fact that their employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by Defendants or who endorse Defendants and Defendants' products in advertising and marketing activities orally administer the accused products and practice the methods disclosed in one or more claim of one or more of the patents in suit, and these employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by Defendants or who endorse Defendants and Defendants' products in advertising and marketing activities are acting under Defendants' direction and control when practicing those methods.
- 22. Therefore, Defendants are direct infringer of one or more claims of one or more of the patents in suit, and Defendants practice the methods as set forth in one or more claims of one or more of the patents in suit.

B. INDIRECT INFRINGEMENTS

- 23. End-users of Defendants' accused products are also direct infringers of one or more claims of one or more of the patents in suit.
- 24. End-users of Defendants' accused products have taken, used, and orally administered the accused products.
- 25. The accused products are formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and/or sold by Defendants to include certain ingredients that, by virtue of their inclusion in the products, infringe one or more claims of one or more of the patents in suit.

- 26. The accused products are formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and/or sold by Defendants to include specific ingredients for certain purposes that, because of their inclusion in the products for such purposes, infringe one or more claims of one or more of the patents in suit, and as a result, when end-users of Defendants' accused products orally administer the accused products, they are practicing the methods disclosed in those claims.
- 27. Defendants' labels and advertising for the accused products explain the elements and essential elements of one or more of the methods disclosed in the patents in suit, and those labels and advertising statements encourage, urge, and induce the accused products' end-users to purchase and orally ingest the products to practice those methods, and end-users do practice those methods.
- 28. Defendants have therefore specifically intended to cause these end-users to directly infringe the claimed methods of these patents, and have in fact urged them to do so.
- 29. The accused products are not suitable for non-infringing uses, and none of Defendants' labels or advertisements for the accused products disclose any uses for the products, nor for the compounds disclosed in the claimed methods of the patents in suit, that do not infringe upon such methods.
- 30. The inclusion of the specific infringing compounds in the products is material to practicing such methods.
- 31. Defendants have knowledge that the accused products are especially adapted by end-users of the products for the practicing of such methods, and, indeed, Defendants encourage, urge, and induce the accused products' end-users to purchase and orally administer the accused products to practice such methods, and have done so in the past.
- 32. Defendants have intentionally and knowingly induced, encouraged, and urged end-users of the accused products to purchase and orally administer the accused

products for the purposes of practicing the claimed methods, by having them orally ingest the compounds disclosed in such claims.

- 33. Defendants have knowledge of the fact that the accused products, particularly as administered, infringe on one or more claims of the patents in suit.
 - 34. Defendants have direct, firsthand knowledge of the patents in suit.
- 35. For example and without limitation, Defendants have had knowledge of the patents in suit since November 2006, when an ongoing settlement of a patent infringement case relating to at least some of the patents in suit against Herbalife, a well-known company in Defendants' industry, was announced in press releases issued in a highly publicized manner. Defendants' employees, agents, and representatives saw the press releases and were aware of the settlement and thus the patents in suit.
- 36. By way of further example and without limitation, Defendants have sold their products through retailers, including online retailers, and those retailers have sold other companies' products whose labels and/or advertisements have been prominently marked with one or more of the patents in suit, by patent number, including without limitation the products manufactured and sold by Herbalife, Daily Wellness, and Vitality Research Labs. Defendants' employees, agents, and representatives have seen these labels and advertisements and, thus, Defendants have knowledge of the patents in suit.
- 37. Defendants have brazenly and willfully decided to infringe the patents in suit despite knowledge of the patents' existence and their knowledge of the accused products' infringements of the patents.
- 38. At a minimum, and in the alternative, Plaintiffs plead that Defendants willfully blinded themselves to the infringing nature of the accused products' sales.
- 39. Defendants have not ceased their own direct infringements, nor their contributory infringements or inducements of infringements by end-users, despite their knowledge of the patents in suit and their infringing activities with respect to the patents in suit.

40. Plaintiffs also believe Defendants, or one of them, are infringing on one or more claims of United States Patent No. 5,428,070 and Patent No. 5,945,452, patents which Defendants have had prior knowledge of and are also licensed exclusively to ThermoLife by Stanford University, to include the right to sue for infringement, and Plaintiffs will seek to amend this Complaint once facts confirming that belief are ascertained.

IV. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,646,006

- 41. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. Defendants have in the past and still are literally and directly infringing or directly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,646,006 by making, using, selling, and offering for sale the accused products, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 43. In addition to the fact that Defendants make, use, sell, and offer for sale the accused products, further examples of Defendants' direct infringement include, without limitation, the fact that Defendants have encouraged and/or are aware of the fact that their employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendants and Defendants' products in advertising and marketing activities orally administer the accused products and practice the methods disclosed in one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,646,006, and these employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendants and Defendants' products in advertising and marketing activities are acting under Defendants' direction and control when practicing those methods.
- 44. Defendants have encouraged and are aware of these persons' oral administration of the accused products for these purposes, these persons are acting under Defendants' direction and control, and therefore Defendants are directly practicing the methods disclosed in United States Patent No. 6,646,006.

- 45. End-users of Defendants' accused products are also direct infringers of one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,646,006.
- 46. End-users of Defendants' accused products have taken, used, and orally administered the accused products.
- 47. The accused products are formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold by Defendants to include certain ingredients that, by virtue of their inclusion in the products, infringe one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,646,006.
- 48. The accused products are formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold by Defendants to include specific ingredients for purposes that, by their inclusion in the products for such purposes, infringe one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,646,006, and as a result, when end-users of Defendants' accused products orally administer the accused products, they are practicing the methods disclosed in one or more claims of that patent.
- 49. Defendants' labels and advertising for the accused products explain the elements and essential elements of the methods disclosed in United States Patent No. 6,646,006, and those labels and advertising statements encourage, urge, and induce the accused products' end-users to purchase and orally ingest the products to practice those methods, and end-users do practice those methods.
- 50. Defendants have therefore specifically intended to cause these end-users to directly infringe the claimed methods of United States Patent No. 6,646,006, and have in fact urged them to do so.
- 51. The accused products are not suitable for non-infringing uses, and none of Defendants' labels or advertisements for the accused products disclose any uses for the products, nor for the compounds disclosed in the claimed methods, that do not infringe upon such methods.
- 52. The inclusion of these specific infringing compounds in the products is material to practicing such methods.

- 53. Defendants have knowledge that the accused products are especially adapted by end-users of the products for the practicing of such methods, and, indeed, Defendants encourage, urge, and induce the accused products' end-users to purchase and orally administer the accused products to practice such methods, and have done so in the past.
- 54. Defendants have intentionally and knowingly induced, encouraged, and urged end-users of the accused products to purchase and orally administer the accused products for the purposes disclosed in one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,646,006, by having them orally ingest the compounds disclosed in such claims.
- 55. Defendants have knowledge of the fact that the accused products, particularly as administered, infringe on one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,646,006.
- 56. Defendants also have direct, firsthand knowledge of United States Patent No. 6,646,006.
- 57. Defendants' activities have been without express or implied license by Plaintiffs.
- 58. As a result of Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proved at trial.
- 59. As a result of Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringements, which will continue unless Defendants are enjoined by this Court.
- 60. Defendants' past infringements and/or continuing infringements have been deliberate and willful, and this case is therefore an exceptional case, which warrants an award of treble damages and attorneys' fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285.

V. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,891,459

61. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

- 62. Defendants have in the past and still are literally and directly infringing or directly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents one or more claims of United States Patent No. 5,891,459 by making, using, selling, and offering for sale the accused products, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 63. In addition to the fact that Defendants make, use, sell, and offer for sale the accused products, further examples of Defendants' direct infringement include, without limitation, the fact that Defendants have encouraged and/or are aware of the fact that their employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendants and Defendants' products in advertising and marketing activities orally administer the accused products and practice the methods disclosed in one or more claims of United States Patent No. 5,891,459, and these employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendants and Defendants' products in advertising and marketing activities are acting under Defendants' direction and control when practicing those methods.
- 64. Defendants have encouraged and are aware of these persons' oral administration of the accused products for these purposes, these persons are acting under Defendants' direction and control, and therefore Defendants are directly practicing the methods disclosed in United States Patent No. 5,891,459.
- 65. End-users of Defendants' accused products are also direct infringers of one or more claims of United States Patent No. 5,891,459.
- 66. End-users of Defendants' accused products have taken, used, and orally administered the accused products.
- 67. The accused products are formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold by Defendants to include certain ingredients that, by virtue of their inclusion in the products, infringe one or more claims of United States Patent No. 5,891,459.
- 68. The accused products are formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold by Defendants to include specific

ingredients for purposes that, by their inclusion in the products for such purposes, infringe one or more claims of United States Patent No. 5,891,459, and as a result, when end-users of Defendants' accused products orally administer the accused products, they are practicing the methods disclosed in one or more claims of that patent.

- 69. Defendants' labels and advertising for the accused products explain the elements and essential elements of the methods disclosed in United States Patent No. 5,891,459, and those labels and advertising statements encourage, urge, and induce the accused products' end-users to purchase and orally ingest the products to practice those methods, and end-users do practice those methods.
- 70. Defendants have therefore specifically intended to cause these end-users to directly infringe the claimed methods of United States Patent No. 5,891,459, and have in fact urged them to do so.
- 71. The accused products are not suitable for non-infringing uses, and none of Defendants' labels or advertisements for the accused products disclose any uses for the products, nor for the compounds disclosed in the claimed methods, that do not infringe upon such methods.
- 72. The inclusion of these specific infringing compounds in the products is material to practicing such methods.
- 73. Defendants have knowledge that the accused products are especially adapted by end-users of the products for the practicing of such methods, and, indeed, Defendants encourage, urge, and induce the accused products' end-users to purchase and orally administer the accused products to practice such methods, and have done so in the past.
- 74. Defendants have intentionally and knowingly induced, encouraged, and urged end-users of the accused products to purchase and orally administer the accused products for the purposes disclosed in one or more claims of United States Patent No. 5,891,459, by having them orally ingest the compounds disclosed in such claims.

///

- 75. Defendants have knowledge of the fact that the accused products, particularly as administered, infringe on one or more claims of United States Patent No. 5,891,459.
- 76. Defendants also have direct, firsthand knowledge of United States Patent No. 5,891,459.
- 77. Defendants' activities have been without express or implied license by Plaintiffs.
- 78. As a result of Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proved at trial.
- 79. As a result of Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringements, which will continue unless Defendants are enjoined by this Court.
- 80. Defendants' past infringements and/or continuing infringements have been deliberate and willful, and this case is therefore an exceptional case, which warrants an award of treble damages and attorneys' fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285.

VI. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,452,916

- 81. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 82. Defendants have in the past and still are literally and directly infringing or directly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents one or more claims of United States Patent No. 7,452,916 by making, using, selling, and offering for sale the accused products, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 83. In addition to the fact that Defendants make, use, sell, and offer for sale the accused products, further examples of Defendants' direct infringement include, without limitation, the fact that Defendants have encouraged and/or are aware of the fact that their employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendants and Defendants' products in advertising and marketing activities orally

administer the accused products and practice the methods disclosed in one or more claims of United States Patent No. 7,452,916, and these employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendants and Defendants' products in advertising and marketing activities are acting under Defendants' direction and control when practicing those methods.

- 84. Defendants have encouraged and are aware of these persons' oral administration of the accused products for these purposes, these persons are acting under Defendants' direction and control, and therefore Defendants are directly practicing the methods disclosed in United States Patent No. 7,452,916.
- 85. End-users of Defendants' accused products are also direct infringers of one or more claims of United States Patent No. 7,452,916.
- 86. End-users of Defendants' accused products have taken, used, and orally administered the accused products.
- 87. The accused products are formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold by Defendants to include certain ingredients that, by virtue of their inclusion in the products, infringe one or more claims of United States Patent No. 7,452,916.
- 88. The accused products are formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold by Defendants to include specific ingredients for purposes that, by their inclusion in the products for such purposes, infringe one or more claims of United States Patent No. 7,452,916, and as a result, when end-users of Defendants' accused products orally administer the accused products, they are practicing the methods disclosed in one or more claims of that patent.
- 89. Defendants' labels and advertising for the accused products explain the elements and essential elements of the methods disclosed in United States Patent No. 7,452,916, and those labels and advertising statements encourage, urge, and induce the accused products' end-users to purchase and orally ingest the products to practice those methods, and end-users do practice those methods.

///

- 90. Defendants have therefore specifically intended to cause these end-users to directly infringe the claimed methods of United States Patent No. 7,452,916, and have in fact urged them to do so.
- 91. The accused products are not suitable for non-infringing uses, and none of Defendants' labels or advertisements for the accused products disclose any uses for the products, nor for the compounds disclosed in the claimed methods, that do not infringe upon such methods.
- 92. The inclusion of these specific infringing compounds in the products is material to practicing such methods.
- 93. Defendants have knowledge that the accused products are especially adapted by end-users of the products for the practicing of such methods, and, indeed, Defendants encourage, urge, and induce the accused products' end-users to purchase and orally administer the accused products to practice such methods, and have done so in the past.
- 94. Defendants have intentionally and knowingly induced, encouraged, and urged end-users of the accused products to purchase and orally administer the accused products for the purposes disclosed in one or more claims of United States Patent No. 7,452,916, by having them orally ingest the compounds disclosed in such claims.
- 95. Defendants have knowledge of the fact that the accused products, particularly as administered, infringe on one or more claims of United States Patent No. 7,452,916.
- 96. Defendants also have direct, firsthand knowledge of United States Patent No. 7,452,916.
- 97. Defendants' activities have been without express or implied license by Plaintiffs.
- 98. As a result of Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proved at trial.

- 14 -

- 99. As a result of Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringements, which will continue unless Defendants are enjoined by this Court.
- 100. Defendants' past infringements and/or continuing infringements have been deliberate and willful, and this case is therefore an exceptional case, which warrants an award of treble damages and attorneys' fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285.

VII. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,117,872

- 101. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 102. Defendants have in the past and still are literally and directly infringing or directly infringing under the doctrine of equivalents one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,117,872 by making, using, selling, and offering for sale the accused products, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 103. In addition to the fact that Defendants make, use, sell, and offer for sale the accused products, further examples of Defendants' direct infringement include, without limitation, the fact that Defendants have encouraged and/or are aware of the fact that their employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendants and Defendants' products in advertising and marketing activities orally administer the accused products and practice the methods disclosed in one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,117,872, and these employees, agents, representatives and other persons sponsored by or who endorse Defendants and Defendants' products in advertising and marketing activities are acting under Defendants' direction and control when practicing those methods.
- 104. Defendants have encouraged and are aware of these persons' oral administration of the accused products for these purposes, these persons are acting under Defendants' direction and control, and therefore Defendants are directly practicing the methods disclosed in United States Patent No. 6,117,872.

- 2
- 4
- 6 7
- 9
- 12
- 13
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 20

26

- 105. End-users of Defendants' accused products are also direct infringers of one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,117,872.
- 106. End-users of Defendants' accused products have taken, used, and orally administered the accused products.
- 107. The accused products are formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold by Defendants to include certain ingredients that, by virtue of their inclusion in the products, infringe one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,117,872.
- 108. The accused products are formulated, made, manufactured, shipped, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold by Defendants to include specific ingredients for purposes that, by their inclusion in the products for such purposes, infringe one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,117,872, and as a result, when end-users of Defendants' accused products orally administer the accused products, they are practicing the methods disclosed in one or more claims of that patent.
- 109. Defendants' labels and advertising for the accused products explain the elements and essential elements of the methods disclosed in United States Patent No. 6,117,872, and those labels and advertising statements encourage, urge, and induce the accused products' end-users to purchase and orally ingest the products to practice those methods, and end-users do practice those methods.
- 110. Defendants have therefore specifically intended to cause these end-users to directly infringe the claimed methods of United States Patent No. 6,117,872, and have in fact urged them to do so.
- 111. The accused products are not suitable for non-infringing uses, and none of Defendants' labels or advertisements for the accused products disclose any uses for the products, nor for the compounds disclosed in the claimed methods, that do not infringe upon such methods.
- 112. The inclusion of these specific infringing compounds in the products is material to practicing such methods.

- 113. Defendants have knowledge that the accused products are especially adapted by end-users of the products for the practicing of such methods, and, indeed, Defendants encourage, urge, and induce the accused products' end-users to purchase and orally administer the accused products to practice such methods, and have done so in the past.
- 114. Defendants have intentionally and knowingly induced, encouraged, and urged end-users of the accused products to purchase and orally administer the accused products for the purposes disclosed in one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,117,872, by having them orally ingest the compounds disclosed in such claims.
- 115. Defendants have knowledge of the fact that the accused products, particularly as administered, infringe on one or more claims of United States Patent No. 6,117,872.
- 116. Defendants also have direct, firsthand knowledge of United States Patent No. 6,117,872.
- 117. Defendants' activities have been without express or implied license by Plaintiffs.
- 118. As a result of Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proved at trial.
- 119. As a result of Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringements, which will continue unless Defendants are enjoined by this Court.
- 120. Defendants' past infringements and/or continuing infringements have been deliberate and willful, and this case is therefore an exceptional case, which warrants an award of treble damages and attorneys' fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285.

VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for entry of judgment against Defendants as follows:

28 || / / /

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial for all issues in this case that properly are subject to a jury trial. Respectfully submitted, NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP A Professional Corporation Dated: February 13, 2014 /s/Tyler J Woods Tyler J. Woods By: Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on February 13, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing INTERNATIONAL, LLC GROUP, LLC'S **THERMOLIFE AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT** with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing via electronic mail to all counsel of record. /s/Tyler J Woods Tyler J Woods

- 1 -