	Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83	Filed05/31/12 Page1 of 23				
1						
2	Robert Steinberg (Bar No. 126407) Charles Courtenay (Bar No. 232139)					
3	Ryan E. Hatch (Bar No. 235577) bob.steinberg@lw.com					
4	ryan.hatch@lw.com charles.courtenay@lw.com					
5	Charles.courtendy@lw.com 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-1560					
6	Telephone: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 891-8763					
7	Jennifer L. Barry (Bar No. 228066) jennifer.barry@lw.com					
8	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, California 92101-3375					
9	Telephone: (619) 236-1234 Facsimile: (619) 696-7419					
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
11	ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED					
12	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT				
13		ICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14						
15	OAKLAND DIVISION					
16						
17	ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED, a Delaware Corporation,	CASE NO. CV-11-02243				
18	Plaintiff,	THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR:				
19	v.	1) PATENT INFRINGEMENT;				
20	WOWZA MEDIA SYSTEMS, LLC, a Delaware Corporation, and	2) FALSE ADVERTISING (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a));				
21	COFFEE CUP PARTNERS, INC. (F/K/A/ WOWZA MEDIA SYSTEMS, INC.),	3) UNFAIR COMPETITION (California				
22	a California Corporation,	common law);				
23	Defendants.	4) FALSE ADVERTISING UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW (Cal. Bus. &				
24		Prof. Code § 17500 et seq.); and				
25 26		5) UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.).				
20 27 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED						
27						
LATHAM®WATKINSLLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES		THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO. CV-11-02243				

## Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page2 of 23

	Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page2 01 23
1	Plaintiff Adobe Systems Incorporated ("Adobe"), for its Third Amended Complaint
2	against Wowza Media Systems, LLC and Coffee Cup Partners, Inc. (f/k/a Wowza Media
3	Systems, Inc.), hereby alleges as follows:
4	PARTIES
5	1. Plaintiff Adobe is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 345
6	Park Avenue, San Jose, CA 95110.
7	2. On information and belief, Defendant Coffee Cup Partners, Inc. ("Coffee Cup")
8	(f/k/a Wowza Media Systems, Inc. ("Wowza Inc.")) is a California corporation with a principal
9	place of business at 32560 El Diente Ct., Evergreen, CO 80439, and with offices and/or
10	employees in the Silicon Valley, Stockton, San Diego, and San Francisco Bay areas of
11	California. Coffee Cup's California agent for service of process is Timothy E. Herr, 152 N. 3rd
12	Street, Suite 500, San Jose, CA 95112.
13	3. On information and belief, Defendant Wowza Media Systems, LLC ("Wowza LLC,"
14	and together with Coffee Cup, "Defendants") is a limited liability company organized under the
15	laws of the state of Delaware. On or about March 1, 2012, and in relation to a contemporaneous
16	minority investment by Summit Partners L.P. and affiliated entities (the "Summit Transaction"),
17	Wowza Inc. underwent a corporate restructuring pursuant to which it was renamed to Coffee
18	Cup. Also pursuant to this restructuring, Wowza LLC was created. Following the Summit
19	Transaction and contemporaneous restructuring, Wowza LLC purports to have assumed all
20	assets and all liabilities of Wowza Inc. Accordingly, for purposes of this Third Amended
21	Complaint, the term "Wowza" shall mean (1) prior to the Summit Transaction, Wowza Inc.; and
22	(2) subsequent to the Summit Transaction, Coffee Cup and Wowza LLC.
23	4. At all relevant times, up to and including the present date, Wowza has engaged in the
24	design, manufacture, sale within the United States, offering for sale in the United States, use
25	within the United States, importation into the United States, and/or sale after importation into the
26	United States of server software that streams content, including software related thereto. On
27	information and belief, Wowza markets and sells this software worldwide.
28	

1

## JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

5. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United
 States, Title 35, United States Code; false advertising under Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act,
 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a); unfair competition under California common law; false advertising under
 Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 et seq.; and unfair competition under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code
 § 17200 et seq.

7 6. **Jurisdiction**: This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims for 8 relief for violation of the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 100 et seq. under 28 9 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a). This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims 10 for relief for violation of the Lanham Act under 15 U.S.C. § 1121(a) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 11 1338(a). This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 12 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), and jurisdiction over Plaintiff's unfair competition claims pursuant to 28 13 U.S.C. 1338(b) in that those claims are joined with substantial and related claims under the 14 Lanham Act and the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 100 et seq.

15 Defendant Coffee Cup is subject to this Court's personal jurisdiction because it is 7. organized and exists under the laws of the State of California, it has designated an agent for 16 17 service of process in this District, it has regularly transacted business in this district, including by 18 developing, marketing, offering for sale, and selling infringing products as described below, and 19 it has committed patent infringement and other unlawful acts as described below in this District. 20 Defendant Wowza LLC is subject to this Court's personal jurisdiction because it 8. 21 regularly transacts business in this district, including by developing, marketing, offering for sale, 22 and selling infringing products as described below, it has committed patent infringement and 23 other unlawful acts as described below in this District, it has purported to assume all assets and 24 liabilities of Defendant Coffee Cup, and it has stipulated to being named as a Defendant in this 25 action.

9. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1400(b).
10. <u>Intradistrict Assignment</u>. This is an Intellectual Property case assigned on a district-wide basis pursuant to Local Rule 3-2(c).

LATHAM & WATKINS

26

27

28

THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO. CV-11-02243

1 2

A.

### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

### **Adobe's Flash Platform**

3 11. Adobe is in the business of developing and distributing software for the deployment 4 of content over the Internet using an integrated set of application programming technologies 5 called the Adobe® Flash® Platform. The Flash Platform is one of the most popular multimedia platforms for integrating video, animation, and interactivity into Internet content, and more 6 7 recently, to build rich Internet applications. Through the Flash Platform and other high-quality 8 products, Adobe has developed and maintained a strong reputation for quality and security, and 9 derives substantial benefit and goodwill from its efforts.

10 12. Adobe's Flash Media Server and Flash Player products are an integral part of the 11 Flash Platform, providing content owners such as major music, movie, and television producers 12 efficient and secure means for meeting the enormous online demand for streaming their video, 13 audio and data ("content"). Flash Media Server streams content to Flash Player using technologies such as Adobe's Real-Time Messaging Protocol ("RTMP"), which Adobe designed 14 15 for high-performance transmission of digital content.

16 13. Because Internet content is in purely digital form, its unprotected transmission 17 presents a heightened risk of illegal modification, copying, and distribution. Without appropriate 18 safeguards, valuable content can be digitally altered, such as to remove advertising, or 19 unlawfully downloaded, copied, and transmitted repeatedly without degradation of the clarity 20 and overall quality. Once copies of content are "in the clear" and in the hands of others, repeated 21 reproduction and distribution are possible, and these unlawful processes can be replicated 22 endlessly in ways content owners never intended or authorized. Thus, ensuring the protection of 23 streaming content is an essential prerequisite before many content owners will make their 24 copyrighted content available online.

25 14. To address Adobe's customers' need for content protection technologies, Adobe 26 invests heavily in developing secure solutions for content distribution. A major portion of this 27 effort involves developing and maintaining technological measures to secure content streamed 28 via RTMP. One of these measures is Encrypted RTMP ("RTMPe"), Adobe's enhanced version THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO. CV-11-02243

LATHAM&WATKINS ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

### Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page5 of 23

of RTMP that incorporates security measures to prevent unauthorized access to, copying, and
downloading content streamed over the Internet using the Flash Platform. Adobe customers rely
on the security provided by RTMPe in making their valuable content available online. Adobe
introduced RTMPe in December 2007 with the release of Flash Media Server 3.0. To maintain
the security of RTMPe, for the benefit of its customers, Adobe has not made the RTMPe
specification publicly available.

7 15. Adobe invests heavily in developing updates and enhancements to RTMPe to 8 maintain its effectiveness in preventing illegal modification, copying, and distribution of its 9 customers' valuable content. These updates and enhancements are incorporated seamlessly into 10 the Adobe Flash Platform products supporting RTMPe, including Flash Media Server and Flash 11 Player. Adobe's customers desire and rely on these updates and enhancements to RTMPe to 12 protect valuable copyrighted content online. Circumventions or unauthorized versions of 13 RTMPe can undermine the security provided by RTMPe and its effectiveness in protecting 14 valuable content online, including the valuable content of Adobe's customers.

15

#### B. The Patents-in-Suit

16 16. Implementations of RTMP and RTMPe are covered by Adobe's intellectual property 17 rights, including U.S. Patent Number 7,272,658, entitled "Real-time priority-based media 18 communication" issued on September 18, 2007 ("the '658 Patent"), U.S. Patent Number 19 7,587,509, entitled "Real-time priority-based media communication" issued on September 8, 20 2009 ("the '509 Patent"), and U.S. Patent Number 8,065,426, entitled "Real-time priority-based 21 media communication," issued on November 22, 2011 ("the '426 Patent"). Implementations of 22 RTMPe are additionally covered by U.S. Patent Number 7,961,878, entitled "Imparting 23 cryptographic information in network communications" issued on June 14, 2011 ("the '878 24 Patent"), and U.S. Patent Number 8,051,287, entitled "Imparting real-time priority-based 25 network communications in an encrypted communication session" issued on November 1, 2011 26 ("the '287 Patent). Collectively, the '658, '509, '426, '878, and '287 Patents are referred to 27 herein as the "Patents-in-Suit."

28

LATHAM & WATKINS LLF Attorneys At Law Los Angeles

1 17. In April 2009, Adobe openly licensed the RTMP Specification to Flash developers 2 worldwide under the RTMP Specification License (available at

3 http://www.adobe.com/devnet/rtmp.html), to promote the widespread adoption of Flash Platform 4 technologies by content developers and distributors. A true and correct copy of the RTMP 5 Specification License is attached as Exhibit A.

- 6 18. In the RTMP Specification License, Adobe provides a license under its essential 7 patents, conditioned upon full compliance with both the RTMP Specification and the terms of 8 the RTMP Specification License. For example, the RTMP Specification requires specific 9 handshaking sequences (and not others) to initialize an RTMP connection. It also defines 10 specific message formats for transmitting data between the client and server once the RTMP 11 connection is initialized. To obtain a license under Adobe's essential patents, a party must first 12 fully comply with these and all other requirements set forth in the RTMP Specification. If a 13 party does not comply with such requirements, it is not licensed under Adobe's essential patents, 14 including any of the Patents-in-Suit.
- 15 19. Additionally, one of the requirements set forth in the RTMP Specification License is 16 that a party must not circumvent any of Adobe's secure RTMP measures (e.g., RTMPe). More 17 specifically, a party must agree "not to make, have made, use, sell, offer to sell, import or 18 distribute ... any technology that circumvents technological measures for the protection of audio, 19 video and/or data content, including any of Adobe's secure RTMP measures." Preventing the 20 circumvention of the secure RTMPe measures helps maintain effective protection for valuable 21 content, including the valuable content of Adobe's customers. Thus, any party who engages in 22 such prohibited activities is not licensed under Adobe's essential patents, including any of the 23 Patents-in-Suit, for any implementations of RTMP.
- 24

20. Accompanying the specification and license, Adobe explains on its website that the 25 materials "do not include information or license around any other Flash Media Server 26 technology," and specifically that "the open RTMP specification does not include Adobe's 27 unique secure RTMP measures." Indeed, the RTMP Specification does not provide the 28 requirements for enabling an RTMPe connection. To protect the valuable content of Adobe's THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT

LATHAM&WATKINS ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

CASE NO. CV-11-02243

### Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page7 of 23

customers, RTMPe is not licensed under the RTMP Specification License or otherwise
 designated by Adobe as an open standard. As Adobe further explains on its website, "[n]or does
 the license that accompanies the specification allow developers to circumvent such [secure
 RTMP] measures."

5

C.

#### Wowza's Unlawful Use of Adobe Technology

6 21. At all relevant times, up through and including the present date, Wowza has
7 developed and sold the Wowza Media Server, which competes directly with Adobe's Flash
8 Media Server. The Wowza Media Server streams content using unauthorized versions of RTMP
9 and RTMPe.

10 22. On information and belief, Wowza Media Server was developed by former Adobe 11 employees David Stubenvoll and Charlie Good. Stubenvoll and Good met while working at 12 Adobe on network publishing products, and were let go by Adobe in 2005 as part of a reduction 13 in force following Adobe's acquisition of Macromedia. Wowza introduced the Wowza Media 14 Server in February 2007, including its unauthorized version of RTMP. In July 2008, Wowza 15 went further by including its unauthorized version of RTMPe with the release of Wowza Media Server version 1.5.2. Wowza continues to implement an unauthorized versions of RTMP and 16 17 RTMPe in Wowza Media Server today. Wowza's unauthorized versions of RTMP and RTMPe 18 infringe Adobe's intellectual property, including the Patents-in-Suit. Wowza's circumvention 19 efforts and its unauthorized version of RTMPe threaten to undermine the security provided by 20 RTMPe.

21 23. On information and belief, Wowza's unauthorized version of RTMPe is based on its 22 efforts to circumvent RTMPe incorporated into Adobe's Flash Platform products, including 23 Flash Media Server and Flash Player. Wowza's unauthorized version of RTMPe is not 24 compliant with the RTMP Specification. Moreover, by implementing RTMPe, Wowza has 25 failed to comply with the requirements of the RTMP Specification License, including the 26 requirement not to circumvent Adobe's secure RTMP measures. Accordingly, Wowza is not 27 licensed under the RTMP Specification License since it did not fulfill the requirements of the agreement. In any event, Wowza has never had a license to RTMPe because Adobe does not 28 THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT

LATHAM & WATKINS LLF Attorneys At Law Los Angeles

CASE NO. CV-11-02243

openly license that technology to the public, in order to protect its customers and their valuable
 content.

- 3 24. Adobe and Wowza engaged in discussions regarding Wowza's unauthorized use of 4 Adobe technology prior to Adobe's filing of this action. During these discussions, Adobe 5 notified Wowza in writing that it was infringing Adobe's intellectual property rights, including but not limited to the '658 Patent and the '509 Patent. Since that time, including subsequent to 6 7 the Summit Transaction, Wowza has continued to willfully infringe the '658 Patent, the '509 8 Patent and the '426 Patent by making, using, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing Wowza 9 Media Server with its unauthorized versions of RTMP and RTMPe. Additionally, both before 10 and after the Summit Transaction, Wowza has continued to infringe the '878 Patent and the '287 11 Patent by making, using, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing Wowza Media Server with its 12 unauthorized version of RTMPe, and Wowza willfully infringes the '878 Patent and the '287 13 Patent by continuing such activities.
- 14

## D. Wowza's Misleading Activities

15 25. At all relevant times, Wowza's advertising materials, product descriptions, and
public statements and claims have misled consumers into believing that its products and
activities, including the Wowza Media Server and the development and distribution of its
unauthorized version of RTMPe, are legally sanctioned by Adobe.

19 26. For example, in 2007 a Wowza user identified as "tehnomaag" posted an inquiry on
20 Wowza's public user forum entitled "Legal issues?" and asking "How does Wowza stand in
21 legal terms... Are you cleared by Adobe legal from any potential sticky problems in the future?"
22 A Wowza forum administrator identified as "WowzaDave" posted a reply entitled "No legal
23 issues" and stating "We have no legal issues with Adobe at this time and we don't expect any in
24 the future."

25 27. As another example, in 2008 a Wowza user identified as "alesgregorc" posted an
26 inquiry on the same forum regarding how Adobe patents "could affect future licensing of Wowza
27 Media Server? For us and maybe for others this is [a] very crucial question right now." Again,

### Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page9 of 23

Wowza's forum administrator "WowzaDave" replied, stating that "We continually review this matter" and "...do not expect any legal problems with Adobe on this matter."

3 28. Given Wowza's willful infringement of Adobe intellectual property, the legal status 4 of Wowza's products and activities has rightfully been characterized as "a very crucial question" 5 by its users. However, Wowza has never corrected these statements and claims, which continue to mislead consumers into believing that its products and activities are legally sanctioned by 6 7 Adobe.

8

LOS ANGELES

1

2

29. Wowza has also misled customers into believing that its unauthorized version of 9 RTMPe provides security comparable or equivalent to the security provided by Adobe's RTMPe. 10 30. As noted above, Adobe has invested heavily in developing updates and 11 enhancements to RTMPe, which are incorporated into upgrades of Flash Platform products such 12 as Flash Media Server and Flash Player. These updates and enhancements maintain the 13 continued effectiveness of RTMPe in protecting valuable copyrighted content for Adobe's 14 customers. Wowza has not invested in developing or maintaining RTMPe, and has instead 15 unfairly ridden on Adobe's coattails by circumventing the secure measures incorporated into 16 Adobe's Flash Platform products, including Adobe's Flash Media Server and/or Flash Player. 17 Wowza does this in order to produce its unauthorized version of RTMPe, which is not 18 maintained by Adobe.

19 31. Wowza's customers do not receive the benefit of the most up to date and authorized 20 version of RTMPe, which is deployed by Adobe through upgrades to Flash Platform products. 21 Rather, Wowza customers either miss out on critical updates altogether, or must wait for Wowza 22 developers to circumvent the Adobe updates and issue software patches for Wowza Media 23 Server. In either case, Wowza's unauthorized version of RTMPe can leave Wowza customers 24 exposed to security breaches.

25 32. Yet, Wowza's statements and claims have misled customers into believing that its 26 unauthorized version of RTMPe provides security comparable or equivalent to the security 27 provided by Adobe's RTMPe. For example, it states that Wowza Media Server offers "a 28 complete interactive Flash media streaming feature set," and offers to potential customers a side-THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT .ATHAM&WATKINS ATTORNEYS AT LAW 9 CASE NO. CV-11-02243

### Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page10 of 23

1 by-side "FMS Comparison" chart intended to convey that both Wowza Media Server and Flash 2 Media Server provide comparable or equivalent RTMPe security.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

E. Wowza Has Benefitted and Continues to Benefit From Its Unlawful Activities 33. Wowza has unfairly benefited financially from its unauthorized use of Adobe technology by misleading customers into believing that its products and activities are somehow legally sanctioned by Adobe, and that its unauthorized version of RTMPe is comparable or equivalent to RTMPe provided by Adobe. Wowza has attained substantial growth and market share for Wowza Media Server over a short period of time due to its unauthorized use of Adobe technology, and its unfair comparisons and misleading statements and claims.

10 34. Wowza has benefited substantially from its unauthorized version of RTMPe. For 11 users wishing to accept only secure connections, Wowza provides instructions on how to disable 12 all non-secure protocols except its unauthorized version of RTMPe and SSL encryption, and 13 includes a setting specifically for this purpose. When Wowza customers expressed concerns that 14 videos streamed via Wowza Media Server could be downloaded using stream recording 15 software, Wowza directed customers to use its unauthorized version of RTMPe to protect their 16 content. Rather than direct customers to use other secure streaming options such as SSL 17 encryption, Wowza directed them towards its unauthorized version of RTMPe, saying "[it] is 18 probably better to use rtmpe which is equally secure and does not require any additional setup." 19 Wowza told its customers that compared to SSL encryption, "RTMPE is a better way to go" and 20 "offers similar security and performs better."

35. Wowza features its unauthorized version of RTMPe on its website and in its 21 22 promotional and marketing materials, to drive demand for Wowza Media Server. Its 23 unauthorized version of RTMPe is included in a special "MediaSecurity" add-on package for 24 Wowza Media Server, identifying "RTMPe" as one of the "features that are needed to properly 25 secure your content." To protect streamed content, Wowza advised users that "a combination of 26 RTMPE and SecureToken security is suggested." Wowza instructed customers that "simply 27 streaming your content does not always provide sufficient security against content intrusion, 28 unauthorized diversion and stream ripping." To address this problem, Wowza told users that it THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO. CV-11-02243

LATHAM&WATKINS ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

# Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page11 of 23

1	"implements content URL protection, domain verification and link encryption (RTMPS,
2	RTMPE, RTMPTE)." When asked why prospective customers should choose Wowza Media
3	Server rather than a competing server product, Wowza's chief marketing officer cited to the rival
4	product's lack of support for RTMPe as a significant reason.
5	36. Wowza has benefited and continues to benefit from the widespread market demand
6	for RTMPe. At the same time, it offers an unauthorized version of RTMPe to keep its own costs
7	low and undercut Adobe in the streaming server market. Given Wowza's business model, it
8	comes as no surprise that Stubenvoll and Good created what they referred to as "the only
9	commercially available alternative to Adobe's Flash Media Server" in a matter of months, "did it
10	ourselves and became profitable very, very quickly" and "without any venture money at all."
11	37. Wowza has been able to keep costs low by avoiding the substantial expenses
12	associated with researching, developing, and maintaining Flash Platform technologies such as
13	RTMPe, and by free-riding on the widespread demand for Adobe's proprietary technology.
14	38. Adobe has been and continues to be irreparably harmed by Wowza's activities, and
15	therefore brings this action to enjoin Wowza's unauthorized use of Adobe proprietary
16	technology.
17	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
18	(Infringement of U.S. Pat. No. 7,587,509)
19	(Against All Defendants)
20	39. Adobe restates and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1
21	through 38.
22	40. Adobe is the owner of the '509 Patent entitled "Real-time priority-based media
23	communication," which issued September 8, 2009. A true and correct copy of the '509 Patent is
24	attached as Exhibit B.
25	41. The '509 Patent covers implementations of both RTMP and RTMPe. For example,
26	implementations of RTMP and RTMPe operate by communicating media streams comprised of a
27	plurality of "chunks," where each chunk is associated with a plurality of states. A header of each
28 LATHAM®WATKINS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES	of the chunks includes a state association representing the state and indicating the following THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT 11 CASE NO. CV-11-02243

### Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page12 of 23

1 possible purposes: a new stream chunk associated with a new stream, wherein said new stream 2 chunk includes a plurality of new parameters in said header and uses no parameters from a 3 previous header; a new media chunk associated with said new stream wherein said new media 4 chunk includes a plurality of new parameters in said header and uses at least one other parameter 5 from a previous header; a new time chunk associated with said new stream wherein said new 6 time chunk includes at least one new parameter in said header and uses a plurality of other 7 parameters from a previous header; and a continuation chunk requiring no new parameters in 8 said header and using a plurality of parameters from a previous header.

9 42. At all relevant times, Wowza has been and now is manufacturing, using, selling,
10 offering to sell and/or importing the Wowza Media Server with unauthorized versions of RTMP
11 and RTMPe, in the State of California, in this District, and elsewhere in the United States.

12 43. With knowledge of the '509 Patent, at all relevant times, Wowza has been and now 13 is directly infringing, and/or indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or 14 contributing to the infringement of the '509 Patent in the State of California, in this judicial 15 District, and elsewhere in the United States by manufacturing, using, selling, offering to sell 16 and/or importing the Wowza Media Server with unauthorized versions of RTMP and RTMPe, 17 by, among other things, communicating media streams comprised of a plurality of "chunks" in 18 accordance with one or more claims of the '509 Patent. Defendants are thus liable for 19 infringement of the '509 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b) and (c).

44. Wowza's customers and licensees are also liable for direct infringement of the '509
Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) for use of Wowza Media Server to stream content via
RTMP and/or RTMPe.

# 23 24

25

26

27

28

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Infringement of U.S. Pat. No. 7,272,658)

### (Against All Defendants)

45. Adobe restates and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 44.

LATHAM & WATKINS LLF ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

### Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page13 of 23

46. Adobe is the owner of the '658 Patent entitled "Real-time priority-based media
 communication," which issued September 18, 2007. A true and correct copy of the '658 Patent
 is attached as Exhibit C.

4 47. The '658 Patent covers implementations of both RTMP and RTMPe. For example, 5 implementations of RTMP and RTMPe contain a chunk configurator for dividing media message 6 sub-streams into chunks, and a state machine to provide state associations. State associations are 7 written into a header for each chunk, identifying possible purposes consisting of: a new stream 8 chunk, wherein said new stream chunk includes a plurality of new parameters in said header and 9 uses no parameters from a previous header; a new media chunk, wherein said new media chunk 10 includes a plurality of new parameters in said header and uses at least one other parameter from a 11 previous header; a new time chunk, wherein said new time chunk includes at least one new 12 parameter in said header and uses a plurality of other parameters from a previous header; and a 13 continuation chunk requiring no new parameters in said header and using a plurality of 14 parameters from a previous header. Implementations of RTMP and RTMPe contain a queue for 15 holding chunks waiting to be transmitted, and a processor for executing a scheduling algorithm 16 that determines which queued chunks to transmit next.

48. At all relevant times, Wowza has been and now is manufacturing, using, selling,
offering to sell and/or importing Wowza Media Server with unauthorized versions of RTMP and
RTMPe, in the State of California, in this District, and elsewhere in the United States.

20 49. With knowledge of the '658 Patent, at all relevant times, Wowza has been and now 21 is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or 22 contributing to the infringement of the '658 Patent in the State of California, in this judicial 23 District, and elsewhere in the United States by manufacturing, using, selling, offering to sell 24 and/or importing the Wowza Media Server with unauthorized versions of RTMP and RTMPe, 25 by, among other things providing a chunk configurator and a state machine with the Wowza 26 Media Server, which are covered by one or more claims of the '658 Patent. Defendants are thus 27 liable for infringement of the '658 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b) and (c).

LATHAM & WATKINS LLA Attorneys At Law Los Angeles

1	50. Wowza's customers and licensees are also liable for direct infringement of the '658			
2	Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) for use of Wowza Media Server to stream content via			
3	RTMP and/or RTMPe.			
4	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
5	<u>(Lanham Act – False Advertising)</u>			
6	<u>(15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))</u>			
7	(Against All Defendants)			
8	51. Adobe restates and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1			
9	through 50.			
10	52. Wowza's marketing and advertising of its competing Wowza Media Server product			
11	constitutes false advertising under the Lanham Act.			
12	53. As discussed above, during the relevant time period, Wowza has made, and			
13	continues to make, false and misleading statements and claims about its Wowza Media Server			
14	product. For example, as discussed above, Wowza's statements and claims have misled			
15	consumers into believing that its products and activities, including the Wowza Media Server and			
16	the development and distribution of its unauthorized version of RTMPe, are legally sanctioned			
17	by Adobe. Additionally, as discussed above, Wowza's statements and claims have misled			
18	customers into believing that its unauthorized version of RTMPe provides security comparable			
19	or equivalent to the security provided by Adobe's RTMPe.			
20	54. Wowza has intentionally misrepresented the nature, characteristics, qualities and/or			
21	origin of its commercial activities and products in order to influence consumer purchasing			
22	decisions.			
23	55. Wowza's false and misleading statements and claims constitute commercial			
24	advertising or promotion within the meaning of the Lanham Act.			
25	56. Wowza intentionally placed these misleading advertising statements and claims in			
26	interstate commerce.			
27	57. Wowza's misleading statements and claims are material in that they are likely to			
28	influence, and on information and belief have influenced, consumer purchasing decisions, and			
AW S LLP	THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT14CASE NO. CV-11-02243			

## Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page15 of 23

	Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page15 of 23	
1	have a tendency to deceive, and on information and belief have deceived, a substantial segment	
2	of its audience, which may have otherwise purchased Adobe's Flash Media Server.	
3	58. Wowza's actions have a tendency to cause and on information and belief have	
4	caused, and unless enjoined will continue to cause, irreparable harm, damage, loss and injury to	
5	Adobe, for which it has no adequate remedy at law.	
6	59. Wowza's actions have resulted in an improper financial benefit and gain to Wowza,	
7	which amount should be disgorged.	
8	60. On information and belief, Wowza has engaged in this activity intentionally,	
9	knowingly, willfully, with actual malice, and in bad faith, justifying the assessment of enhanced	
10	damages against it.	
11	61. Wowza's acts make this an exceptional case under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), and Adobe	
12	is thus entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs.	
13	FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
14	(Unfair Competition)	
15 (California Common Law)		
16	(Against All Defendants)	
17	62. Adobe restates and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1	
18	through 61.	
19	63. Adobe has expended significant time and expense in researching and developing its	
20	Flash Platform products and technology. Adobe's high quality products have allowed Adobe to	
21	develop and maintain a strong reputation for quality and security, and Adobe derives substantial	
22	benefit and goodwill from its efforts.	
23	64. Through its actions as described above, Wowza has misappropriated Adobe's efforts	
24	and is exploiting Adobe's technology and reputation to market and sell its competing Flash	
25	Media Server products. These actions constitute unfair competition.	
26	65. Wowza's actions have caused, and unless enjoined will continue to cause, irreparable	
27	harm, damage, loss and injury to Adobe, for which it has no adequate remedy at law.	
28		
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Attorneys At Law Los Angeles	THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO. CV-11-02243	

## Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page16 of 23

1	66. In performing the conduct described herein, on information and belief Wowza acted
2	with oppression and malice, intending to injure Adobe and to wrongfully advantage itself at
3	Adobe's expense. Adobe is entitled to an award of compensatory and punitive damages against
4	Wowza, in an amount to be ascertained at trial.
5	FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
6	(False Advertising Under California Law)
7	<u>(Cal. Bus. &amp; Prof. Code § 17500 et seq.)</u>
8	(Against All Defendants)
9	67. Adobe restates and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1
10	through 66.
11	68. As detailed above, Wowza has made and disseminated untrue and misleading
12	advertising statements in the State of California about its media server product, by
13	misrepresenting the nature, characteristics, qualities or origin of its unauthorized version of
14	RTMPe.
15	69. Wowza knew or should have known that its statements were untrue and misleading.
16	70. Wowza's acts, as described above, constitute false and misleading advertising
17	pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 et seq.
18	71. Adobe has been harmed and will continue to be harmed by Wowza's false and
19	misleading advertising as described above. Accordingly, Adobe is entitled to an injunction
20	prohibiting Wowza from continuing the practices described above, pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof.
21	Code § 17535.
22	SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
23	(Unfair Competition Under California Law)
24	<u>(Cal. Bus. &amp; Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.)</u>
25	(Against All Defendants)
26	72. Adobe restates and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1
27	through 71.
28	
LATHAM & WATKINS	THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT16CASE NO. CV-11-02243

# Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page17 of 23

	Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page17 of 23			
1	73. Wowza's conduct, as described above, constitutes unlawful and fraudulent conduct,			
2	including violations of the Patent Act, the Lanham Act, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500, and			
3	California common law.			
4	74. Wowza's acts accordingly constitute unfair competition and unfair business practices			
5	pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.			
6	75. Absent injunctive relief, Adobe has no means by which to control Wowza's			
7	deceptive and confusing activities. Adobe is thus entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Wowza			
8	from continuing its acts of unfair competition.			
9	SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
10	(Infringement of U.S. Pat. No. 7,961,878)			
11	(Against All Defendants)			
12	76. Adobe restates and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1			
13	through 75.			
14	77. Adobe is the owner of the '878 Patent entitled "Imparting cryptographic information			
15	in network communications," which issued June 14, 2011. A true and correct copy of the '878			
16	Patent is attached as Exhibit D.			
17	78. The '878 Patent covers implementations of RTMPe. For example, implementations			
18	of RTMPe receive cryptographic information inserted into a pre-defined portion of a network			
19	communication in a client-server environment, where the pre-defined portion of the network			
20	communication is reserved for random data in accordance with an RTMP random byte section.			
21	Implementations of RTMPe identify a variable location of the cryptographic information in the			
22	pre-defined portion of the network communication, and process the cryptographic information by			
23	establishing a cryptographic key or authenticating the network communication. Implementations			
24	of RTMPe modify interactions in the client-server environment based at least in part on a result			
25	of the processing of the cryptographic information, by initiating an encrypted session using the			
26	cryptographic key or turning on or off a feature of a program operating in the client-server			
27	environment.			
28	THIDD AMENDED COMPLAINT			

|| LATHAM & WATKINS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

## Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page18 of 23

1	79. Wowza has been and now is manufacturing, using, selling, offering to sell and/or			
2	importing Wowza Media Server with unauthorized version of RTMPe, in the State of California,			
3	in this District, and elsewhere in the United States.			
4	80. At all relevant times, Wowza has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly			
5	infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '878			
6	Patent in the State of California, in this judicial District, and elsewhere in the United States by			
7	manufacturing, using, selling, offering to sell and/or importing the Wowza Media Server with an			
8	unauthorized version of RTMPe, by, among other things, providing Wowza Media Server to			
9	stream content via RTMPe, which is covered by one or more claims of the '878 Patent.			
10	Defendants are thus liable for infringement of the '878 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b)			
11	and (c).			
12	81. Wowza's customers and licensees are also liable for direct infringement of the '878			
13	Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) for use of Wowza Media Server to stream content via			
14	RTMPe.			
15	EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
16	(Infringement of U.S. Pat. No. 8,051,287)			
17	(Against All Defendants)			
18	82. Adobe restates and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1			
19	through 81.			
20	83. Adobe is the owner of the '287 Patent entitled "Imparting real-time priority-based			
21	network communications in an encrypted communication session," which issued November 1,			
22	2011. A true and correct copy of the '287 Patent is attached as Exhibit E.			
23	84. The '287 Patent covers implementations of RTMPe. For example, implementations			
24	of RTMPe establish, based at least in part on cryptographic information in a pre-defined portion			
25	of a handshake network communication, a communication session to communicate a media			
26	stream, wherein the pre-defined portion of the handshake network communication is reserved for			
27	random data. Implementations of RTMPe receive through the communication session, as part of			
28 KINSLLP	the media stream, values of parameters relating to a sub media stream, included in a first header THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT 18 CASE NO. CV-11-02243			
•				

### Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page19 of 23

1 portion of a first real-time, priority-based network communication, and store the values of the 2 parameters. Implementations of RTMPe obtain through the communication session, as part of 3 the media stream, state information included in a control portion of a second real-time, priority-4 based network communication and a data payload included in the second network 5 communication. Implementations of RTMPe identify, from the state information, a purpose of 6 the second network communication in relation to the media stream, and whether a second header 7 portion of the second network communication includes one or more new values corresponding to 8 one or more of the parameters. Implementations of RTMPe update, when the second header 9 portion includes the one or more new values, one or more of the stored values based at least in 10 part on the one or more new values. Implementations of RTMPe process the data payload based 11 at least in part on the identified purpose and the stored values of the parameters. 12 85. At all relevant times, Wowza has been and now is manufacturing, using, selling,

offering to sell and/or importing Wowza Media Server with an unauthorized version of RTMPe,
in the State of California, in this District, and elsewhere in the United States.

15 86. At all relevant times, Wowza has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '287 16 17 Patent in the State of California, in this judicial District, and elsewhere in the United States by 18 manufacturing, using, selling, offering to sell and/or importing the Wowza Media Server with an 19 unauthorized version of RTMPe, by, among other things, providing Wowza Media Server to 20 stream content via RTMPe, which is covered by one or more claims of the '287 Patent. 21 Defendants are thus liable for infringement of the '287 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), (b) 22 and (c).

23 87. Wowza's customers and licensees are also liable for direct infringement of the '287
24 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) for use of Wowza Media Server to stream content via
25 RTMPe.

THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO. CV-11-02243

28 LATHAM®WATKINS Attorneys At Law Los Angeles

26

	Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page20 of 23				
1	NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF				
2	(Infringement of U.S. Pat. No. 8,065,426)				
3	(Against All Defendants)				
4	88. Adobe restates and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1				
5	through 87.				
6	89. Adobe is the owner of the '426 Patent entitled "Real-time priority-based media				
7	communication," which issued November 22, 2011. A true and correct copy of the '426 Patent				
8	is attached as Exhibit F.				
9	90. The '426 Patent covers implementations of both RTMP and RTMPe. For example,				
10	implementations of RTMP and RTMPe receive a plurality of chunks associated with one or more				
11	streams, wherein each chunk of the plurality of chunks has an associated data payload and an				
12	associated chunk control byte including rendering information relating to a media rendering state				
13	associated with one chunk and one or more subsequent chunks, such that a portion of the				
14	rendering information that remains unchanged from the one chunk to a subsequent chunk is				
15	included in the chunk control byte of the one chunk but is missing from the chunk control byte of				
16	the subsequent chunk, wherein the chunk control byte includes a chunk type identifier. For each				
17	chunk of the plurality of chunks, implementations of RTMP and RTMPe determine playback				
18	information associated with the chunk at least in part by reading the rendering information from				
19	the associated chunk control byte, designating the rendering information read from the associated				
20	chunk control byte as the playback information associated with the chunk, and in response to				
21	determining that a portion of the rendering information from the associated chunk control byte is				
22	missing, add to the playback information associated with the chunk a corresponding portion of				
23	rendering information read from a chunk control byte of a preceding chunk. Implementations of				
24	RTMP and RTMPe reassemble the one or more streams based on respective playback				
25	information associated with each of the plurality of chunks, and issue the reassembled one or				
26	more streams.				
27					

LATHAM&WATKINSLLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

# Case3:11-cv-02243-JST Document83 Filed05/31/12 Page21 of 23

1	91. At all relevant times, Wowza has been and now is manufacturing, using, selling,		
2	offering to sell and/or importing Wowza Media Server with an unauthorized versions of RTMP		
3	and RTMPe, in the State of California, in this District, and elsewhere in the United States.		
4	92. At all relevant times, Wowza has been and now is directly infringing, and indirectly		
5	infringing by way of inducing infringement and/or contributing to the infringement of the '426		
6	Patent in the State of California, in this judicial District, and elsewhere in the United States by		
7	manufacturing, using, selling, offering to sell and/or importing the Wowza Media Server with		
8	unauthorized versions of RTMP and RTMPe, by, among other things, providing Wowza Media		
9	Server to stream content via RTMP and RTMPe, which is covered by one or more claims of the		
10	'426 Patent. Defendants are thus liable for infringement of the '426 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C.		
11	§ 271(a), (b) and (c).		
12	93. Wowza's customers and licensees are also liable for direct infringement of the '426		
13	Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) for use of Wowza Media Server to stream content via		
14	RTMP and/or RTMPe.		
15	JURY DEMAND		
15	JUKI DEMAND		
16	Adobe hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil		
16	Adobe hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil		
16 17	Adobe hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.		
16 17 18	Adobe hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
16 17 18 19	Adobe hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. <u>PRAYER FOR RELIEF</u> WHEREFORE, Adobe requests that this Court enter:		
16 17 18 19 20	Adobe hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. <u>PRAYER FOR RELIEF</u> WHEREFORE, Adobe requests that this Court enter: 1. A judgment in favor of Adobe that Defendants have infringed directly, and/or by		
16 17 18 19 20 21	Adobe hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. <b>PRAYER FOR RELIEF</b> WHEREFORE, Adobe requests that this Court enter: 1. A judgment in favor of Adobe that Defendants have infringed directly, and/or by way of inducing infringement by others, and/or contributing to the infringement by others of the		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Adobe hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. <b>PRAYER FOR RELIEF</b> WHEREFORE, Adobe requests that this Court enter: 1. A judgment in favor of Adobe that Defendants have infringed directly, and/or by way of inducing infringement by others, and/or contributing to the infringement by others of the Patents-in-Suit.		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Adobe hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. <u>PRAYER FOR RELIEF</u> WHEREFORE, Adobe requests that this Court enter: 1. A judgment in favor of Adobe that Defendants have infringed directly, and/or by way of inducing infringement by others, and/or contributing to the infringement by others of the Patents-in-Suit. 2. A judgment and order awarding Adobe damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Adobe hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. <b>PRAYER FOR RELIEF</b> WHEREFORE, Adobe requests that this Court enter: 1. A judgment in favor of Adobe that Defendants have infringed directly, and/or by way of inducing infringement by others, and/or contributing to the infringement by others of the Patents-in-Suit. 2. A judgment and order awarding Adobe damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendants' infringement of the Patents-in-Suit as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284. 3. A judgment and order finding Defendants' infringement of the Patents-in-Suit to be		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Adobe hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. <b>PRAYER FOR RELIEF</b> WHEREFORE, Adobe requests that this Court enter: 1. A judgment in favor of Adobe that Defendants have infringed directly, and/or by way of inducing infringement by others, and/or contributing to the infringement by others of the Patents-in-Suit. 2. A judgment and order awarding Adobe damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendants' infringement of the Patents-in-Suit as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284.		

1	of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), and awarding to Adobe its reasonable attorneys'					
2	fees and costs.					
3	5. A preliminary and permanent injunction, enjoining Defendants, their officers,					
4	directors, agents, servants, attorneys, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries,					
5	parents, assigns, and successors in interest of Defendants, and all others acting in concert,					
6	participation or privity with any of them (the "Wowza Entities"); and Defendants' customers and					
7	licensees, and all others acting in concert, participation or privity with any of them, from					
8	continued acts of infringement of the Patents-in-Suit.					
9	6. A judgment finding that Defendants have not complied with the terms of the RTMP					
10	Specification License, and accordingly has no right or license to any Adobe intellectual property,					
11	including any of the Patents-in-Suit.					
12	7. A judgment and order finding that Defendants have committed the following					
13	unlawful acts:					
14	a. False advertising in connection with goods offered for sale, under 15 U.S.C.					
15	§ 1125(a);					
16	b. Unfair competition under California common law;					
17	c. False advertising under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 et seq.; and					
18	d. Unfair competition under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.					
19	8. A preliminary and permanent injunction, enjoining the Wowza Entities from:					
20	a. Making false and/or misleading advertising claims and statements about					
21	Wowza Media Server; and					
22	b. Unfairly competing with Adobe in any manner whatsoever, or engaging in					
23	any unfair, fraudulent, or deceptive business practices that relate in any way to					
24	the use of the marketing and advertising of Wowza Media Server.					
25	9. An order directing Defendants to file with this Court and serve upon Adobe's					
26	counsel, within thirty (30) days after entry of the order of injunction, a report setting forth the					
27	manner and form in which Wowza has complied with the injunction, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §					
28	1116(a).					
ATKINSLLP AT LAW	THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT					

	Case3:11-cv-02243	-JST Document83	Filed05/31/12	Page23 of 23
1	10. For an order	pursuant to 15 U.S.C	. § 1117(a) compe	elling Defendants to account to
2	Adobe for any and all pr	ofits derived by it from	m its unlawful and	l misleading conduct.
3	11. For an order	that Defendants must	pay and provide	for appropriate corrective
4	advertisements.			
5	12. With respect	to the unlawful activ	ities described abo	ove, that the Court order
6	Defendants to pay Adob	e:		
7	a. Ge	neral, special, actual a	nd/or statutory da	mages, according to proof at
8	tria	l, trebled and increase	ed due to the natur	e of Defendants' conduct as
9	pro	vided above;		
10	b. All	of Defendants' profit	s or gains of any l	kind from its acts of false
11	adv	vertising and unfair co	mpetition;	
12	c. Pre	-judgment and post-ju	dgment interest;	and
13	d. Pu	nitive and exemplary	damages.	
14	13. Any and all	other relief to which t	he Court may dee	m Adobe entitled.
15				
16	Dated: May 31, 2012		Respectfully su	ibmitted,
17				VATKINS LLP
18			Robert Stei Jennifer Ba	rry
19			Charles Co Ryan Hatch	
20			Dry /a/ Dahart (	No. in Long
21			By <u>/s/ Robert S</u> Robert Stei	nberg
22			Attorney fo Adobe Syst	tems Incorporated
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Attorneys At Law Los Angeles			23	THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO. CV-11-02243