

THE PARTIES

1. SmartPhone is a Texas limited liability company with its principal place of business at 6136 Frisco Square Blvd., Suite 385, Frisco, Texas 75034.

HTC

2. On information and belief, HTC Corporation is incorporated under the laws of Taiwan with its principal place of business at 23 Xinghau Road, Taoyuan City, Taoyuan 330, Taiwan, R.O.C. HTC Corporation may be served at its principal place of business at 23 Xinghau Road, Taoyuan City, Taoyuan 330, Taiwan, Republic of China.

3. On information and belief, HTC B.V.I. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of HTC Corp. and is incorporated under the laws of the British Virgin Islands with its principal place of business at 3F, Omar Hodge Building, Wickhams Cay I, P.O. Box 362, Road Town, Tortola, British Virgin Islands. HTC B.V.I. may be served at its principal place of business at 3F, Omar Hodge Building, Wickhams Cay I, P.O. Box 362, Road Town, Tortola, British Virgin Islands.

4. On information and belief, HTC America, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of HTC B.V.I. and is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business at 13290 SE Eastgate Way, Suite 400, Bellevue, Washington 98005. HTC America, Inc.'s registered agent for service in Texas is Law Offices of Christina C. Hsu, 13706 N. Highway 183, #201, Austin, Texas 78750.

5. On information and belief, Defendant Exedea, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant HTC B.V.I. and is a Texas corporation with a principal place of business at 5950 Corporate Drive, Houston, Texas 77036. Exedea, Inc.'s registered agent for service in Texas is HTC USA Inc. located at 5950 Corporate Drive, Houston, Texas 77036. Defendants HTC Corporation, HTC B.V.I., HTC America, Inc., and Exedea, Inc. are collectively referred to as "HTC."

6. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over HTC because HTC has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this judicial district, has conducted business in this judicial district and/or has engaged in continuous and systematic activities in this judicial district.

NOKIA

7. On information and belief, Nokia Corporation is incorporated under the laws of Finland with its principal place of business at Keilalahdentie 2-4, FI-02150 Espoo, Finland. Nokia Corporation may be served at its principal place of business at Keilalahdentie 2-4, FI-02150 Espoo, Finland.

8. On information and belief, Nokia, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nokia Corporation and is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 102 Corporate Park Drive, White Plains, New York 10604. Nokia's registered agent for service in Delaware is National Registered Agents, Inc., 160 Greentree Drive, Suite 101, Dover, Delaware 19904. Defendants Nokia Corporation and Nokia, Inc. are collectively referred to as "Nokia."

9. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Nokia because Nokia has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this judicial district, has conducted business in this judicial district and/or has engaged in continuous and systematic activities in this judicial district.

SONY ERICSSON

10. On information and belief, Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB is incorporated under the laws of Sweden with its principal place of business at Nya Vattentornet SE-221, 88 Lund, Sweden. Sony Ericsson Mobile Communication AB may be served at its principal place of business at Nya Vattentornet SE-221, 88 Lund, Sweden.

11. On information and belief, Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications (USA), Inc. is a subsidiary of Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB and is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 7001 Development Drive, Research Triangle, North Carolina 27709. Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications (USA), Inc.'s registered agent for service in Delaware is Capital Services, Inc., 615 South DuPont Highway, Dover, Delaware 19901. Defendants Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB and Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications (USA), Inc. are collectively referred to as "Sony Ericsson."

12. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Sony Ericsson because Sony Ericsson has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this judicial district, has conducted business in this judicial district and/or has engaged in continuous and systematic activities in this judicial district.

KYOCERA

13. On information and belief, Kyocera Communications, Inc. ("Kyocera") is a wholly owned subsidiary of Kyocera International, Inc. and is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 10300 Campus Point Drive, San Diego, California 92121. Kyocera Communications Inc.'s registered agent for service in Delaware is Corporation Services Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808.

14. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Kyocera because Kyocera has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this judicial district, has conducted business in this judicial district and/or has engaged in continuous and systematic activities in this judicial district.

T-MOBILE

15. On information and belief, T-Mobile USA, Inc. ("T-Mobile") is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 12920 SE 38th Street, Bellevue, Washington

98006. T-Mobile USA, Inc.'s registered agent for service in Delaware is Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 600, Wilmington, Delaware 19808.

16. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over T-Mobile because T-Mobile has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this judicial district, has conducted business in this judicial district and/or has engaged in continuous and systematic activities in this judicial district.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

17. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

18. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, each Defendant is deemed to reside in this judicial district, has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district, has purposely transacted business in this judicial district and/or has regular and established places of business in this judicial district.

19. Each Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to their substantial business in this State and judicial district, including: (A) at least part of their infringing activities alleged herein; and (B) regularly doing or soliciting business and, accordingly, deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to Texas residents.

COUNT I

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,076,275)

20. SmartPhone incorporates paragraphs 1 through 19 herein by reference.

21. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

22. SmartPhone is the exclusive licensee of the '275 patent, entitled "Method And System For Single-Step Enablement Of Telephony Functionality For A Portable Computer System," with ownership of all substantial rights in the '275 patent, including the right exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringement. A true and correct copy of the '275 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

23. The '275 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

24. On information and belief, HTC is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '275 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Hero smartphone, to customers. HTC and customers who use the Hero smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '275 patent, and HTC is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '275 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

25. On information and belief, Nokia is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '275 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the E71 smartphone, to customers. Nokia and customers who use the E71 smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '275 patent, and Nokia is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '275 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

26. On information and belief, Sony Ericsson is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '275 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale,

selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Xperia X10 smartphone, to customers. Sony Ericsson and customers who use the Xperia X10 smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '275 patent, and Sony Ericsson is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '275 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

27. On information and belief, Kyocera is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '275 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Zio smartphone, to customers. Kyocera and customers who use the Zio smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '275 patent, and Kyocera is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '275 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

28. On information and belief, T-Mobile is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '275 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Comet smartphone, to customers. T-Mobile and customers who use the Comet smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '275 patent, and T-Mobile is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '275 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

29. SmartPhone has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendants are, thus, liable to SmartPhone in an amount that adequately compensates it for their infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT II

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,950,645)

30. Smartphone incorporates paragraphs 1 through 19 herein by reference.

31. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

32. SmartPhone is the exclusive licensee of the ‘645 patent, entitled “Power-Conserving Intuitive Device Discovery Technique In A Bluetooth Environment,” with ownership of all substantial rights in the ‘645 patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for the past and future infringement. A true and correct copy of the ‘645 patent is attached as Exhibit B.

33. The ‘645 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

34. On information and belief, HTC is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the ‘645 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 18, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Hero smartphone, to customers. HTC and customers who use the Hero smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the ‘645 patent, and HTC is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the ‘645 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

35. On information and belief, Nokia is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the ‘645 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 18, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the E71 smartphone, to customers. Nokia and customers who use the E71 smartphone have, at a

minimum, directly infringed the '645 patent, and Nokia is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '645 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

36. On information and belief, Sony Ericsson is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '645 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 18, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Xperia X10 smartphone, to customers. Sony Ericsson and customers who use the Xperia X10 smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '645 patent, and Sony Ericsson is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '645 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

37. On information and belief, Kyocera is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '645 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 18, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Zio smartphone, to customers. Kyocera and customers who use the Zio smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '645 patent, and Kyocera is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '645 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

38. On information and belief, T-Mobile is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '645 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 18, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Comet smartphone, to customers. T-Mobile and customers who use the Comet smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '645 patent, and T-Mobile is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '645 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

39. SmartPhone has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendants are, thus, liable to SmartPhone in an amount that adequately compensates it for their infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT III

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,506,064)

40. SmartPhone incorporates paragraphs 1 through 19 herein by reference.

41. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

42. SmartPhone is the exclusive licensee of the '064 patent, entitled "Handheld Computer System That Attempts To Establish An Alternative Network Link Upon Failing To Establish A Requested Network Link," with ownership of all substantial rights in the '064 patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for the past and future infringement. A true and correct copy of the '064 patent is attached as Exhibit C.

43. The '064 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

44. On information and belief, HTC is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '064 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 17, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Hero smartphone, to customers. HTC and customers who use the Hero smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '064 patent, and HTC is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '064 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

45. On information and belief, Nokia is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '064 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 17, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the N97 smartphone, to customers. Nokia and customers who use the N97 smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '064 patent, and Nokia is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '064 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

46. On information and belief, Sony Ericsson is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '064 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 17, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Xperia X10 smartphone, to customers. Sony Ericsson and customers who use the Xperia X10 smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '064 patent, and Sony Ericsson is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '064 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

47. On information and belief, Kyocera is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '064 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 17, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Zio smartphone, to customers. Kyocera and customers who use the Zio smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '064 patent, and Kyocera is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '064 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

48. On information and belief, T-Mobile is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '064 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United

States, including at least claim 17, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Comet smartphone, to customers. T-Mobile and customers who use the Comet smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '064 patent, and T-Mobile is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '064 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

49. SmartPhone has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendants are, thus, liable to SmartPhone in an amount that adequately compensates it for their infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT IV

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,533,342)

50. SmartPhone incorporates paragraphs 1 through 19 herein by reference.

51. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

52. SmartPhone is the exclusive licensee of the '342 patent, entitled "System And Method Of A Personal Computer Device Providing Telephone Capability," with ownership of all substantial rights in the '342 patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for the past and future infringement thereof. A true and correct copy of the '342 patent is attached as Exhibit D.

53. The '342 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

54. On information and belief, HTC is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '342 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 6, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling

and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Hero smartphone, to customers. HTC and customers who use the Hero smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '342 patent, and HTC is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '342 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

55. On information and belief, Nokia is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '342 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 6, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the 5800 XpressMusic smartphone, to customers. Nokia and customers who use the 5800 XpressMusic smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '342 patent, and Nokia is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '342 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

56. On information and belief, Kyocera is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '342 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 6, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Zio smartphone, to customers. Kyocera and customers who use the Zio smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '342 patent, and Kyocera is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '342 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

57. On information and belief, T-Mobile is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '342 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 6, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Comet smartphone, to customers. T-Mobile and customers who use the Comet smartphone have, at a

minimum, directly infringed the '342 patent, and T-Mobile is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '342 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

58. SmartPhone has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendants are, thus, liable to SmartPhone in an amount that adequately compensates it for their infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT V

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE 40,459)

59. SmartPhone incorporates paragraphs 1 through 19 herein by reference.

60. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

61. SmartPhone is the exclusive licensee of the '459 patent, entitled "Method And Apparatus For Communicating Information Over Low Bandwidth Communications Networks," with ownership of all substantial rights in the '459 patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for the past and future infringement thereof. A true and correct copy of the '459 patent is attached as Exhibit E.

62. The '459 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

63. On information and belief, HTC is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '459 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Hero smartphone, to customers. HTC and its customers who use the Hero smartphone have, at a

minimum, directly infringed the '459 patent, and HTC is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '459 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

64. On information and belief, Nokia is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '459 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the N95 smartphone, to customers. Nokia and customers who use the N95 smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '459 patent, and Nokia is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '459 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

65. On information and belief, Sony Ericsson is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '459 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Xperia X10 smartphone. Sony Ericsson and customers who use the Xperia X10 smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '459 patent, and Sony Ericsson is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '459 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

66. On information and belief, Kyocera is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '459 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Zio smartphone, to customers. Kyocera and customers who use the Zio smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '459 patent, and Kyocera is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '459 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

67. On information and belief, T-Mobile is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '459 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Comet smartphone, to customers. T-Mobile and customers who use the Comet smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '459 patent, and T-Mobile is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '459 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

68. SmartPhone has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendants are, thus, liable to SmartPhone in an amount that adequately compensates it for their infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT VI

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,470,381)

69. SmartPhone incorporates paragraphs 1 through 19 herein by reference.

70. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

71. SmartPhone is the exclusive licensee of United States patent number 6,470,381, entitled "Wireless Communication Device With Markup Language Based Man-Machine Interface" ("the '381 patent") with ownership of all substantial rights in the '381 patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for the past and future infringement thereof. A true and correct copy of the '381 patent is attached as Exhibit F.

72. The '381 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

73. On information and belief, HTC is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '381 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 14, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Hero smartphone, to customers. HTC and customers who use the Hero smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '381 patent, and HTC is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '381 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

74. On information and belief, Nokia is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '381 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 14, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the E71 smartphone, to customers. Nokia and customers who use the E71 smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '381 patent, and Nokia is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '381 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

75. On information and belief, Sony Ericsson is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '381 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 14, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Xperia X10 smartphone, to customers. Sony Ericsson and customers who use the Xperia X10 smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '381 patent, and Sony Ericsson is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '381 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

76. On information and belief, Kyocera is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '381 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United

States, including at least claim 14, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Zio smartphone, to customers. Kyocera and customers who use the Zio smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '381 patent, and Kyocera is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '381 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

77. On information and belief, T-Mobile is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '381 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 14, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Comet smartphone, to customers. T-Mobile and customers who use the Comet smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '381 patent, and T-Mobile is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '381 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

78. SmartPhone has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendants are, thus, liable to SmartPhone in an amount that adequately compensates it for their infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT VII

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,317,781)

79. SmartPhone incorporates paragraphs 1 through 19 herein by reference.

80. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

81. SmartPhone is the exclusive licensee of the '781 patent, entitled "Wireless Communication Device with Markup Language Based Man-Machine Interface," with ownership of all substantial rights in the '781 patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce,

sue and recover damages for past and future infringement. A true and correct copy of the '781 patent is attached as Exhibit G.

82. The '781 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

83. On information and belief, HTC is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '781 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 3, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Droid Eris smartphone, to customers. HTC and customers who use the Droid Eris smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '781 patent, and HTC is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '781 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

84. On information and belief, Nokia is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '781 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 3, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the E71 smartphone, to customers. Nokia and customers who use the Nokia E71 smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '781 patent, and Nokia is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '781 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

85. On information and belief, Sony Ericsson is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '781 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 3, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Xperia X10 smartphone, to customers. Sony Ericsson and customers who use the Xperia X10

smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '781 patent, and Sony Ericsson is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '781 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

86. On information and belief, Kyocera is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '781 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 3, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Zio smartphone, to customers. Kyocera and customers who use the Zio smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '781 patent, and Kyocera is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '781 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

87. On information and belief, T-Mobile is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '781 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 3, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Comet smartphone, to customers. T-Mobile and customers who use the Comet smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '781 patent, and Kyocera is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '781 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

88. SmartPhone has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendants are, thus, liable to SmartPhone in an amount that adequately compensates it for their infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT VIII

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,693,949)

89. SmartPhone incorporates paragraphs 1 through 19 herein by reference.

90. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

91. SmartPhone is the exclusive licensee of the '949 patent, entitled "Data Exchange Between A Handheld Device And Another Computer System Using An Exchange Manager Via Synchronization," with ownership of all substantial rights in the '949 patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringement. A true and correct copy of the '949 patent is attached as Exhibit H.

92. The '949 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

93. On information and belief, HTC is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '949 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Hero smartphone, to customers. HTC and customers who use the Hero smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '949 patent, and HTC is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '949 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

94. On information and belief, Nokia is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '949 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the N900 smartphone, to customers. Nokia and customers who use the N900 smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '949 patent, and Nokia is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '949 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

95. On information and belief, Sony Ericsson is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '949 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Xperia X10 smartphone, to customers. Sony Ericsson and customers who use the Xperia X10 smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '949 patent, and Sony Ericsson is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '949 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

96. On information and belief, Kyocera is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '949 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Zio smartphone, to customers. Kyocera and customers who use the Zio smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '949 patent, and Kyocera is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '949 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

97. On information and belief, T-Mobile is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '949 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Comet smartphone, to customers. T-Mobile and customers who use the Comet smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '949 patent, and T-Mobile is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '949 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

98. SmartPhone has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendants are, thus, liable to SmartPhone in an amount that adequately

compensates it for their infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT IX

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,760,728)

99. SmartPhone incorporates paragraphs 1 through 19 herein by reference.

100. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

101. SmartPhone is the exclusive licensee of the ‘728 patent, entitled “Method and Apparatus For Importing And Exporting Directory And Calendar Information To And From Personal Information Management Applications,” with ownership of all substantial rights in the ‘728 patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringement. A true and correct copy of the ‘728 patent is attached as Exhibit I.

102. The ‘728 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

103. On information and belief, HTC is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the ‘728 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 22, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Wildfire smartphone, to customers. HTC and customers who use the Wildfire smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the ‘728 patent, and HTC is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the ‘728 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

104. On information and belief, Nokia is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the ‘728 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 22, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale,

selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the C6 smartphone, to customers. Nokia and customers who use the C6 smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '728 patent, and Nokia is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '728 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

105. On information and belief, Sony Ericsson is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '728 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 22, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Xperia X10 smartphone, to customers. Sony Ericsson and customers who use the Xperia X10 smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '728 patent, and Sony Ericsson is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '728 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

106. On information and belief, Kyocera is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '728 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 22, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Zio smartphone, to customers. Kyocera and customers who use the Zio smartphone have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '728 patent, and Kyocera is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '728 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

107. On information and belief, T-Mobile is directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '728 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claim 22, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communications devices including, without limitation, the Comet smartphone, to customers. T-Mobile and customers who use the Comet smartphone have,

at a minimum, directly infringed the '728 patent, and T-Mobile is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '728 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

108. SmartPhone has been damaged as a result of Defendants' infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendants are, thus, liable to SmartPhone in an amount that adequately compensates it for their infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

JURY DEMAND

SmartPhone hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

SmartPhone requests that the Court find in its favor and against Defendants, and that the Court grant SmartPhone the following relief:

- a. Judgment that one or more claims of the '275, '645, '064, '342, '459, '381, '781, '949, and/or '728 patents have been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by one or more Defendants and/or by others to whose infringement Defendants have contributed and/or by others whose infringement has been induced by Defendants;
- b. Judgment that Defendants account for and pay to SmartPhone all damages to and costs incurred by SmartPhone because of Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- c. Judgment that Defendants account for and pay to SmartPhone a reasonable, ongoing, post judgment royalty because of Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- d. That SmartPhone be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused by Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein; and
- e. That SmartPhone be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: February 7, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ed Nelson

Edward R. Nelson, III
enelson@nbclaw.net
Texas State Bar No. 00797142
Christie B. Lindsey
clindsey@nbclaw.net
Texas State Bar No. 24041918
NELSON BUMGARDNER CASTO, P.C.
3131 West 7th Street, Suite 300
Fort Worth, Texas 76107
Phone: (817) 377-9111
Fax: (817) 377-3485

Anthony G. Simon
asimon@simonlawpc.com
Timothy E. Grochocinski
teg@simonlawpc.com
THE SIMON LAW FIRM, P.C.
701 Market Ste 1450
St. Louis MO 63101
Phone: (314) 241-2929
Fax: (314) 241-2029

S. Brannon Latimer
Texas State Bar No. 24060137
LATIMER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, PC
P.O. Box 471430
Fort Worth, TX 76147
(469) 619-7291
(972) 767-3320 (fax)
brannon.latimer@latimeriplaw.com

T. John Ward, Jr.
Texas State Bar No. 00794818
WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM
111 W. Tyler Street
Longview, Texas 75601
(903) 757-6400
(903) 757-2323 (fax)
jw@jwfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
SmartPhone Technologies, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5 on February 7, 2011. As of this date, all counsel of record have consented to electronic service and are being served with a copy of this documents through the Court's CM/ECF system under Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A).

/s/ Wesley Hill

Wesley Hill