26

5,839,108.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent

_

28

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

1200 THIRD AVE
SUITE 1321

SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

TEL: 619.544.6400

FAX: 619.696.0323

7

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28 ANDAL & ASSOCIATES

1200 THIRD AVE SUITE 1321 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323

infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and pursuant to the patent laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 101, et seq.

- 3. Venue properly lies within the Southern District of California pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (d) and 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendant conducts substantial business directly and/or through third parties or agents in this judicial district by selling and/or offering to sell the infringing products and/or by conducting other business in this judicial district. Furthermore, Plaintiff e.Digital is headquartered and has its principal place of business in this district, engages in business in this district, and has been harmed by Defendant's conduct, business transactions and sales in this district.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and belief, Defendant transacts continuous and systematic business within the State of California and the Southern District of California. In addition, this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because, on information and belief, this lawsuit arises out of Defendant's infringing activities, including, without limitation, the making, using, selling and/or offering to sell infringing products in the State of California and the Southern District of California. Finally, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and belief, Defendant has made, used, sold and/or offered for sale its infringing products and placed such infringing products in the stream of interstate commerce with the expectation that such infringing products would be made, used, sold and/or offered for sale within the State of California and the Southern District of California.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff e.Digital is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business at 16870 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 120, San Diego, California 92127.
 - Upon information and belief, Defendant BiTMICRO Networks, Inc. is 6.

6

7 8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

ANDAL & ASSOCIATES 1200 THIRD AVE SUITE 1321 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323 a corporation registered and lawfully existing under the laws of the State of California, with an office and principal place of business located at 47929 Fremont Blvd., Fremont, CA 94538.

THE ASSERTED PATENT

7. On November 17, 1998, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,839,108 ("the '108 patent") entitled "Flash Memory File System In A Handheld Record And Playback Device," to its named inventors Norbert P. Daberko and Richard K. Davis. Plaintiff e.Digital is the assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '108 patent and has the right to bring this suit for damages and other relief. A true and correct copy of the '108 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

COUNT ONE

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '108 PATENT BY DEFENDANT

- 8. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 7 above.
- 9 Upon information and belief, Defendant, without authority, (a) has induced and continues to induce infringement of one or more claims of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b); and, (b) has contributed and continue to contribute to the infringement of one or more claims of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).
- 10. The accused products for purposes of the '108 patent include but are not limited to the Defendant's memory card products for Flash Memory Storage including but not limited to its USB, SSD, SD, microSD, and/or Compact Flash products. The accused products include but are not limited to Defendant's e-disk Altima product.
- 11. The accused product, alone or in combination with other products, practice each of the limitations of independent claim 1 of the '108 patent.
 - Upon information and belief, Defendant, without authority, has 12.

actively induced infringement and continues to actively induce infringement of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by causing others to directly infringe the claims of the '108 patent and/or by intentionally instructing others how to use the accused products in a manner that infringes the claims of the '108 patent. On information and belief, Defendant has induced and continues to induce infringement by instructing customers to operate the product in an infringing manner and/or when Defendant tests or otherwise operates the accused products in the United States.

- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant, without authority, has contributed and continues to contribute to the infringement of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by importing into the United States, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States accused products that (1) embody and constitute a material part of the invention of the '108 patent, (2) Defendant knows to be especially adapted for use in infringing the '108 patent, and (3) are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use with respect to the '108 patent.
- 14. Based on information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant sells, ships, or otherwise delivers the accused product with all the features required to infringe the asserted claims of the '108 patent. On information and belief, these products are designed to practice the infringing features.
- 15. Defendant had knowledge of infringement of the '108 patent since at least the filing of this complaint. On information and belief, Defendant has continued to sell products that practice the '108 patent after acquiring knowledge of infringement.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

- 1. That Defendant be declared to have infringed the Patent-in-Suit;
- 2. That Defendant, Defendant's officers, agents, servants, employees,

and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from infringement of the Patent-in-Suit, including but not limited to any making, using, offering for sale, selling, or importing of unlicensed infringing products within and without the United States;

- 3. Compensation for all damages caused by Defendant's infringement of the Patent-in-Suit to be determined at trial;
- 4. Enhancement of Plaintiff's damages up to three (3) times their amount pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 5. Granting Plaintiff pre-and post-judgment interest on its damages, together with all costs and expenses; and,
 - 6. Awarding such other relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

Dated: December 5, 2013

By: /s/ Pamela C. Chalk
Anton N. Handal
Gabriel G. Hedrick
Pamela C. Chalk
Attorneys for Plaintiff
e.Digital Corporation

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES
1200 THIRD AVE
SUITE 1321
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400
FAX: 619.696.0323

| | Case 3:13-cv-02893-H-BGS Document 1 Filed 12/05/13 Page 6 of 6 |
|---|--|
| 1 | DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL |
| 2 | Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all claims. |
| 3 | HANDAL & ASSOCIATES |
| 4 | Dated: December 5, 2013 |
| 5 | By: <u>/s/ Pamela C. Chalk</u> Anton N. Handal |
| 6 | Gabriel G. Hedrick Pamela C. Chalk |
| 7 | By: /s/ Pamela C. Chalk Anton N. Handal Gabriel G. Hedrick Pamela C. Chalk Attorneys for Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 HANDAL & ASSOCIATES 1200 THIRD AVE SUITE 1321 | -6- |
| SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323 | COMPLAINT |