1	COOLEY LLP			
2	THOMAS J. FRIEL, JR. (80065) (tfriel@cooley.com)			
3	Sarah J. Guske (SBN 232467) (sguske@cooley.com			
4	101 California Street 5th Floor			
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-5800 Telephone: (415) 693-2000			
6	Facsimile: (415) 693-2222			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff OPEN TEXT S.A.			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
12	OPEN TEXT S.A.,			
13	Plaintiff,	Case No. C 13-04910 EJD		
14	,			
15	V.	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
16	BOX, INC. and CARAHSOFT TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
17	Defendants.			
18				
19	Plaintiff Open Text S.A. alleges agair	ast Defendants Box, Inc. ("Box") and Carahsoft		
20	Technology Corporation ("Carahsoft") (collecti	vely, "Defendants") as follows:		
21	JURISI	DICTION		
22	1. This action arises under the Pat	ent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1, et		
23	seq. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).			
24	2. This Court has personal jurisdic	tion over Box and Carahsoft (as does the Eastern		
25	District of Virginia for similar reasons) becaus	e each of them regularly conducts business in the		
26	State of California and in this district, including operating systems and/or providing services in			
27	California and in this district that infringe one or more claims of the patents-in-suit in this forum.			
28	Box and Carahsoft have each established m	inimum contacts with this forum such that the		

и					
	exercise of jurisdiction over each of these Defendants would not offend traditional notions of fair				
	play and substantial justice.				
	<u>VENUE</u>				
	3. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and				
	(c) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b). Venue is also proper in the Eastern District of Virginia pursuant to				
	28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) as Box and Carahsoft reside in that district.				
	<u>JOINDER</u>				
	4. Joinder of the Defendants is proper under 35 U.S.C. § 299 because each Defendant				
	has infringed and is infringing the patents-in-suit by making, using, offering for sale, and/or				
	selling the Personal, Business, and Enterprise versions of Box's content-sharing software.				
	Plaintiff's right to relief arises out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or				
	occurrences relating to the making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling of Box's content-				
	sharing software, and questions of fact common to both Defendants will arise in this action with				
	respect to at least their infringing offers to sell and sales of the Personal, Business, and Enterprise				
	versions of Box's content-sharing software.				
	THE PARTIES				
	Open Text S.A.				
	5. Open Text Corporation provides information management solutions that allow				
	companies to organize and manage content, operate more efficiently, increase engagement with				
customers, collaborate with business partners, and address regulatory and business requirements.					
	6. Plaintiff Open Text S.A. is a Luxembourg corporation with its registered address				
	at 29 Boulevard Royal, L-2449 Luxembourg. Open Text S.A. is a subsidiary of Open Text				
	Corporation, a Canadian corporation with its principal place of business at 275 Frank Tompa				
Drive, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.					
	7. Open Text Corporation distributes software products and provides customer				
	support and professional services through a number of subsidiaries, including Open Text, Inc.,				
	which sells OpenText software and services in the United States.				
	8. OpenText Public Sector Solutions, Inc. ("OTPSS"), a Virginia corporation with its				
11					

5

14

18

22

headquarters in Arlington, Virginia, is a subsidiary of Open Text, Inc.

- 9. The Open Text family of companies (collectively "OpenText") has approximately 5,000 employees, more than 50,000 customers, and over \$1.2 billion in annual revenues. OpenText invested \$169 million on research and development during the last fiscal year, and approximately \$450 million over the three years ending June 30, 2012.
- **10.** One of OpenText's core markets is Enterprise Content Management ("ECM"), which refers to a variety of solutions for managing business content. One such solution provides a repository for electronic documents (such as those created via Microsoft Office, Computer-Aided Design, or Portable Document Format) and allows for functions such as organization, display, classification, access and version control, event auditing, rendition, and search. ECM also includes software tools and services for collaboration, records and email management, and archiving.
- 11. OpenText's ECM provides the foundation for its offerings in a broader market category known as Enterprise Information Management ("EIM"). EIM encompasses capabilities such as Business Process Management ("BPM"), Customer Experience Management ("CEM"), Information Exchange ("IE"), and Discovery. OpenText offers a range of software products and services in each of these areas.
- 12. OpenText tracks its business through four revenue streams: license, customer support, cloud services, and professional services. OpenText receives license revenue from the sale of its software products; customer support revenue from renewable support and maintenance OpenText provides to customers who have purchased its products; cloud services revenue from certain "managed hosting" services arrangements; and professional services revenue from consulting fees OpenText collects for providing implementation, training, and integration services related to OpenText's product offerings.
- **13.** OpenText is expanding sales and market share in the public sector and the defense industry. In a 2012 letter to shareholders, for example, OpenText identified both of these areas as important growth opportunities for the current fiscal year.
 - **14.** OpenText's activities in the United States with respect to the public sector and the

defense industry are based in and around the Eastern District of Virginia.

- 15. OTPSS, through its employees within the Eastern District of Virginia at its Arlington headquarters, is the exclusive provider of OpenText professional services for the United States federal government.
- 16. OTPSS interacts with the federal government with respect to the sales, marketing, installation, customization, and integration of OpenText software. OTPSS works closely with multiple government agencies, including the United States Department of Defense ("DOD").
- 17. OpenText also maintains an office in Rockville, Maryland. The Rockville office is home to OpenText's Public Sector Sales ("PSS") group and several key OpenText employees, including OpenText's Vice President for Public Sector Sales, Public Sector Partner Manager, and at least six sales directors and account executives, one of whom works exclusively with the DOD. Key employees reside in the Eastern District of Virginia and in Washington, D.C.
- 18. The PSS group is responsible for all sales of OpenText software to the public sector, including all federal, state, and local government entities. The Commonwealth of Virginia, for example, is an OpenText customer currently using OpenText's ECM software. Since PSS typically sells software together with professional services, PSS often partners with OTPSS in providing software and services to the federal government.
- 19. Many of OpenText's government customers are based in the Eastern District of Virginia. For example, OpenText provides products and services to the U.S. Marshals Service, the United States Patent and Trademark Office, the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Joint Warfare Analysis Center, the Office of Naval Research, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, NASA's Langley Research Center, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ("DARPA"), the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the United States Departments of State, Defense, Justice, Treasury, and Homeland Security, all of whom are headquartered and/or reside in northern Virginia.
- **20.** In addition to its government customers, many of OpenText's largest customers, including Northrop Grumman, General Dynamics IT, and CGI Technologies, are based in the Eastern District of Virginia. OpenText sells a variety of products and services, including its ECM

software, to these customers, and also partners with each of them to provide software and services to the federal government.

- 21. OpenText also serves a large number of industry and interest groups based in the D.C. metro area, including AARP, which is based in Washington.
- 22. Because of their exclusive role in providing OpenText products and services to government entities and defense contractors, OpenText employees based in Arlington, Virginia and elsewhere in the greater-Washington, D.C. area are central to OpenText's efforts to expand its presence in the public sector and the defense industry, two of OpenText's key growth industries.

Box, Inc.

- **23.** Defendant Box, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters at 4440 El Camino Real, Los Altos, California 94022.
- **24.** Box provides ECM software in the form of online file-sharing and content-management services.
- **25.** Box offers at least five different configurations of its content-sharing services: Personal, Starter, Business, Enterprise, and Elite. All of these configurations infringe the patents-in-suit.
- **26.** Box's customers infringe the patents-in-suit by using the Personal, Starter, Business, Enterprise, and Elite configurations of Box's content-sharing software.
- 27. Box encourages customers to use infringing software at least by making its content-sharing services available on its website, widely advertising those services, providing applications that allow users to access those services, and providing technical support to users.
- 28. Box infringes the patents-in-suit within the Eastern District of Virginia by using the infringing software and making it available to customers through an Equinix data center located in Ashburn, Virginia.
- **29.** Box competes directly with OpenText in the ECM and EIM markets by offering for sale and selling the infringing Box content-sharing services. Box claims to have 150,000 customers.

- **30.** Box actively markets and sells infringing software to the public sector and the defense industry, both of which are important to OpenText's strategic growth initiatives. For example, Box partners with Carahsoft and other distributors with a presence in the Eastern District of Virginia to specifically market and sell infringing software to government customers.
- **31.** Box's website features a "Box Government Toolkit" and multimedia presentation focused on providing infringing software solutions for government entities.
- 32. The Box website promotes the benefits of its infringing software to state, local, and federal agencies, and features the use of its software by several government entities, including the Department of State, the Department of Health and Human Services, the Food and Drug Administration, the Department of Agriculture, and the United States Postal Service.
- 33. The Box website also touts its sales of infringing software to the General Services Administration (the purchasing agent for the United States government) and AARP. Both are also OpenText customers.
- **34.** As a result of Box's unlawful competition in the Eastern District of Virginia and elsewhere in the United States, OpenText and OTPSS have lost sales and profits and suffered irreparable harm, including lost market share and goodwill.
- 35. Because Box actively targets customers served by OpenText and OTPSS offices in Arlington, Virginia and Rockville, Maryland, Box's infringement adversely impacts the large number of OpenText and OTPSS employees who live and work in and around the Eastern District of Virginia.

Carahsoft Technology Corporation

- **36.** Defendant Carahsoft Technology Corporation is a Maryland corporation with its headquarters at 12369 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, Virginia 20191.
- **37.** Carahsoft offers for sale and sells at least the Personal, Business, and Enterprise versions of Box's infringing content-sharing software in the United States.
- **38.** Carahsoft encourages customers to use the infringing Box software at least by advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website and by providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.

- **39.** Carahsoft competes directly with OpenText in the ECM and EIM markets by offering for sale and selling the infringing Box content-sharing services. Carahsoft provides the Personal, Business, and Enterprise versions of the infringing Box software through its website and sales representatives.
- **40.** Carahsoft actively markets and sells infringing software to the public sector, which is important to OpenText's strategic growth initiatives.
- **41.** As a result of Carahsoft's unlawful competition in the Eastern District of Virginia and elsewhere in the United States, OpenText and OTPSS have lost sales and profits and suffered irreparable harm, including lost market share and goodwill.
- **42.** Because Carahsoft actively targets customers served by OpenText and OTPSS offices in Arlington, Virginia and Rockville, Maryland, Carahsoft's infringement adversely impacts the large number of OpenText and OTPSS employees who live and work in and around the Eastern District of Virginia.

THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT

- **43.** U.S. Patent No. 7,062,515 ("the '515 patent"), entitled "System and Method for the Synchronization of a File in a Cache," was duly and legally issued on June 13, 2006. A true and correct copy of the '515 patent is attached as Exhibit A.
- **44.** U.S. Patent No. 7,590,665 ("the '665 patent"), entitled "System and Method for the Synchronization of a File in a Cache," was duly and legally issued on September 15, 2009. A true and correct copy of the '665 patent is attached as Exhibit B.
- **45.** U.S. Patent No. 8,117,152 ("the '152 patent"), entitled "System and Method for the Synchronization of a File in a Cache," was duly and legally issued on February 14, 2012. A true and correct copy of the '152 patent is attached as Exhibit C.
- **46.** U.S. Patent No. 7,647,372 ("the '372 patent"), entitled "Method and System for Facilitating Marketing Dialogues," was duly and legally issued on January 12, 2010. A true and correct copy of the '372 patent is attached as Exhibit D.
- **47.** U.S. Patent No. 7,975,007 ("the '007 patent"), entitled "Method and System for Facilitating Marketing Dialogues," was duly and legally issued on July 5, 2012. A true and

U.S. Patent No. 6,223,177 ("the '177 patent"), entitled "Network Based

Groupware System," was duly and legally issued on April 24, 2001. A true and correct copy of

correct copy of the '007 patent is attached as Exhibit E.

1

2

3

48.

4	the '177 patent is attached as Exhibit F.		
5	49. U.S. Patent No. 6,917,962 ("the '962 patent"), entitled "Web-Based Groupware		
6	System," was duly and legally issued on July 12, 2005. A true and correct copy of the '962		
7	patent is attached as Exhibit G.		
8	50. U.S. Patent No. 7,287,055 ("the '055 patent"), entitled "Web-Based Groupware		
9	System," was duly and legally issued on October 23, 2007. A true and correct copy of the '055		
10	patent is attached as Exhibit H.		
11	51. U.S. Patent No. 7,299,258 ("the '258 patent"), entitled "Web-Based Groupware		
12	System," was duly and legally issued on November 20, 2007. A true and correct copy of the '258		
13	patent is attached as Exhibit I.		
14	52. U.S. Patent No. 7,320,018 ("the '018 patent"), entitled "Web-Based Groupware		
15	System," was duly and legally issued on January 15, 2008. A true and correct copy of the '018		
16	patent is attached as Exhibit J.		
17	53. U.S. Patent No. 7,734,694 ("the '694 patent"), entitled "Web-Based Groupware		
18	System," was duly and legally issued on June 8, 2010. A true and correct copy of the '694 patent		
19	is attached as Exhibit K.		
20	54. U.S. Patent No. 8,176,122 ("the '122 patent"), entitled "Web-Based Groupware		
21	System," was duly and legally issued on May 8, 2012. A true and correct copy of the '122 patent		
22	is attached as Exhibit L.		
23	55. Open Text S.A. is the sole holder of all right, title, and interest in the '515, '665,		
24	'152, '372, '007, '177, '962, '055, '258, '018, '694, and '122 patents (collectively, the "Patents-		
25	in-Suit"), including all rights to collect damages throughout the period of Defendants' infringing		
26	acts, all rights to prevent others from making, having made, using, offering for sale, or selling		
27	products or services covered by such patents, and all rights to enforce the Patents-in-Suit.		
28			
,	Q Q		

WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT

2

Box's Willful Infringement

- 3 4
- '694, and '122 Patents at least as early as June 12, 2013, when Box was served with the initial

6

5

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

56. Box was notified of the '515, '665, '152, '372, '007, '177, '962, '055, '258, '018, Complaint. The Complaint informed Box of its infringement of each of the Patents-in-Suit.

- 57. After receiving the Complaint, Box implemented a business strategy that utilizes the Patents-in-Suit and is aimed at large companies and, therefore, that directly targeted OpenText's customer base. Because of this, OpenText filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction against Box on September 13, 2013. (Dkt. No. 64-65.)
- **58.** Box's infringement is escalating, despite its awareness of the Patents-in-Suit. Box has continued to offer the infringing products and its sales and promotion of the infringing products has accelerated. Box has continued its infringement even after OpenText moved for a preliminary injunction.
- **59.** Thus, since at least June 12, 2013, Box has willfully infringed the Patents-in-Suit by acting despite an objectively high likelihood that its actions did and do constitute infringement of the valid Patents-in-Suit. Box's continued infringement and its promotion and release of additional infringing products was and continues to be reckless. This objectively defined risk was known or should have been known to Box since at least the date that it was served with the Complaint.

Carahsoft's Willful Infringement

- **60.** Carahsoft was notified of the '515, '665, '152, '372, '007, '177, '962, '055, '258, '018, '694, and '122 Patents at least as early as June 20, 2013, when Carahsoft was served with the initial Complaint. The Complaint informed Carahsoft of its infringement of each of the Patents-in-Suit.
- 61. After receiving the Complaint, Carahsoft has continued to offer and sell the infringing products. It also continues to advertise and promote the infringing Box software to potential customers.
 - **62.** Thus, since at least June 20, 2013, Carahsoft has willfully infringed the Patents-in-

Suit by acting despite an objectively high likelihood that its actions did and do constitute infringement of the valid Patents-in-Suit. Carahsoft's continued infringement and its sale and promotion of additional infringing products was and continues to be reckless. This objectively defined risk was known or should have been known to Carahsoft since at least the date it was served with the Complaint.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '515 PATENT)

- 63. OpenText realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 64. Box has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '515 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Box's infringement includes, without limitation, making, using, selling, and offering to sell the Personal, Starter, Business, Enterprise, and Elite versions of its file-sharing software, systems, and services. Box encourages customers to use the infringing software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing software, making its content-sharing services available on its website, providing applications that allow users to access those services, widely advertising those services, and providing technical support to users.
 - **65.** Box had knowledge of the '515 Patent at least as early as June 12, 2013.
- **66.** Since knowing of the '515 Patent, Box has indirectly infringed the '515 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '515 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c).
- 67. Box actively induced infringement of the '515 Patent because it encourages endusers and/or customers to use its infringing software, systems, and services in an infringing manner by widely advertising the infringing software, systems, and services; by providing technical support to users of the infringing software, systems, and services; and by providing product documentation that instruct end-users and/or customers to use Box's infringing software products in an infringing manner.
- **68.** Box has contributed to infringement of the '515 Patent by providing its infringing products or components of products with no substantial non-infringing use to end-users and/or

customers. Box makes and offers and sells the infringing software, systems, and services, and it also puts its content-sharing services on its website and provides applications that allow users to access those services.

- 69. Carahsoft has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '515 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Carahsoft's infringement includes, without limitation, selling and offering to sell at least the Personal, Business, and Enterprise versions of the Box file-sharing software, systems, and services. Carahsoft encourages customers to use the infringing Box software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
 - **70.** Carahsoft had knowledge of the '515 Patent at least as early as June 20, 2013.
- 71. Since knowing of the '515 Patent, Carahsoft has indirectly infringed the '515 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and by contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '515 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c). Carahsoft has contributed to the infringement because, at least, it provides infringing products or components with no substantial non-infringing use to customers by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software. Carahsoft has induced infringement by encouraging customers to use the infringing Box software in an infringing manner by, at least, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
- **72.** For past infringement, OpenText has suffered damages, including lost profits, as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '515 patent. Defendants are therefore liable to OpenText under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for past damages in an amount that adequately compensates OpenText for Defendants' infringement, but no less than a reasonable royalty.
- 73. For ongoing and future infringement, OpenText will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless this Court preliminarily and permanently enjoins Defendants, their agents, employees, representatives, and all others acting in concert with Defendants from infringing the

'515 patent. In the alternative, OpenText is entitled to damages in lieu of an injunction, in an amount consistent with the fact that, for future infringement, Defendants will be adjudicated infringers of a valid patent, and Defendants' on-going and future infringement is willful as a matter of law as described in paragraphs 56-62, above. OpenText, therefore, is entitled to an award of exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs in bringing this action.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '665 PATENT)

- **74.** OpenText realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 75. Box has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '665 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Box's infringement includes, without limitation, making, using, selling, and offering to sell the Personal, Starter, Business, Enterprise and Elite versions of its file-sharing software, systems, and services. Box encourages customers to use the infringing software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing software, making its content-sharing services available on its website, providing applications that allow users to access those services, widely advertising those services, and providing technical support to users.
 - **76.** Box had knowledge of the '665 Patent at least as early as June 12, 2013.
- 77. Since knowing of the '665 Patent, Box has indirectly infringed the '665 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '665 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c).
- 78. Box actively induced infringement of the '665 Patent because it encourages endusers and/or customers to use its infringing software, systems, and services in an infringing manner by widely advertising the infringing software, systems, and services; by providing technical support to users of the infringing software, systems, and services; and by providing product documentation that instruct end-users and/or customers to use Box's infringing software products in an infringing manner.
 - 79. Box has contributed to infringement of the '665 Patent by providing its infringing

products or components of products with no substantial non-infringing use to end-users and/or customers. Box makes and offers and sells the infringing software, systems, and services, and it also puts its content-sharing services on its website and provides applications that allow users to access those services.

- **80.** Carahsoft has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '665 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Carahsoft's infringement includes, without limitation, selling and offering to sell at least the Personal, Business, and Enterprise versions of the Box file-sharing software, systems, and services. Carahsoft encourages customers to use the infringing Box software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
 - **81.** Carahsoft had knowledge of the '665 Patent at least as early as June 20, 2013.
- 82. Since knowing of the '665 Patent, Carahsoft has indirectly infringed the '665 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and by contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '665 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c). Carahsoft has contributed to the infringement because, at least, it provides infringing products or components with no substantial non-infringing use to customers by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software. Carahsoft has induced infringement by encouraging customers to use the infringing Box software in an infringing manner by, at least, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
- **83.** For past infringement, OpenText has suffered damages, including lost profits, as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '665 patent. Defendants are therefore liable to OpenText under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for past damages in an amount that adequately compensates OpenText for Defendants' infringement, but no less than a reasonable royalty.
- **84.** For ongoing and future infringement, OpenText will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless this Court preliminarily and permanently enjoins Defendants, their agents,

employees, representatives, and all others acting in concert with Defendants from infringing the '665 patent. In the alternative, OpenText is entitled to damages in lieu of an injunction, in an amount consistent with the fact that, for future infringement, Defendants will be adjudicated infringers of a valid patent, and Defendants' on-going and future infringement is willful as a matter of law as described in paragraphs 56-62, above. OpenText, therefore, is entitled to an award of exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs in bringing this action.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '152 PATENT)

- **85.** OpenText realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 86. Box has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '152 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Box's infringement includes, without limitation, making, using, selling, and offering to sell the Personal, Starter, Business, Enterprise, and Elite versions of its file-sharing software, systems, and services. Box encourages customers to use the infringing software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing software, making its content-sharing services available on its website, providing applications that allow users to access those services, widely advertising those services, and providing technical support to users.
 - 87. Box had knowledge of the '152 Patent at least as early as June 12, 2013.
- **88.** Since knowing of the '152 Patent, Box has indirectly infringed the '152 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '152 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c).
- 89. Box actively induced infringement of the '152 Patent because it encourages end-users and/or customers to use its infringing software, systems, and services in an infringing manner by widely advertising the infringing software, systems, and services; by providing technical support to users of the infringing software, systems, and services; and by providing product documentation that instruct end-users and/or customers to use Box's infringing software products in an infringing manner.

90.

access those services.

91.

- 6
- 9
- 11
- 14
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19

- 25
- 26
- 27 28

and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Carahsoft's infringement includes, without limitation, selling and offering to sell at least the Personal, Business, and Enterprise versions of the Box file-sharing software, systems, and services. Carahsoft encourages customers to use the infringing Box software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box

Box has contributed to infringement of the '152 Patent by providing its infringing

Carahsoft has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '152

products or components of products with no substantial non-infringing use to end-users and/or

customers. Box makes and offers and sells the infringing software, systems, and services, and it

also puts its content-sharing services on its website and provides applications that allow users to

patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States,

92. Carahsoft had knowledge of the '152 Patent at least as early as June 20, 2013.

software, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and

providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.

- 93. Since knowing of the '152 Patent, Carahsoft has indirectly infringed the '152 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and by contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '152 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c). Carahsoft has contributed to the infringement because, at least, it provides infringing products or components with no substantial non-infringing use to customers by selling and offering to sell the infringing Carahsoft has induced infringement by encouraging customers to use the Box software. infringing Box software in an infringing manner by, at least, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
- 94. For past infringement, OpenText has suffered damages, including lost profits, as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '152 patent. Defendants are therefore liable to OpenText under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for past damages in an amount that adequately compensates OpenText for Defendants' infringement, but no less than a reasonable royalty.
 - 95. For ongoing and future infringement, OpenText will continue to suffer irreparable

harm unless this Court preliminarily and permanently enjoins Defendants, their agents, employees, representatives, and all others acting in concert with Defendants from infringing the '152 patent. In the alternative, OpenText is entitled to damages in lieu of an injunction, in an amount consistent with the fact that, for future infringement, Defendants will be adjudicated infringers of a valid patent, and Defendants' on-going and future infringement is willful as a matter of law as described in paragraphs 56-62, above. OpenText, therefore, is entitled to an award of exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs in bringing this action.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '372 PATENT)

- **96.** OpenText realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 97. Box has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '372 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Box's infringement includes, without limitation, making, using, selling, and offering to sell the Personal, Starter, Business, Enterprise, and Elite versions of its file-sharing software, systems, and services. Box encourages customers to use the infringing software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing software, making its content-sharing services available on its website, providing applications that allow users to access those services, widely advertising those services, and providing technical support to users.
 - **98.** Box had knowledge of the '372 Patent at least as early as June 12, 2013.
- **99.** Since knowing of the '372 Patent, Box has indirectly infringed the '372 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '372 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c).
- 100. Box actively induced infringement of the '372 Patent because it encourages end-users and/or customers to use its infringing software, systems, and services in an infringing manner by widely advertising the infringing software, systems, and services; by providing technical support to users of the infringing software, systems, and services; and by providing product documentation that instruct end-users and/or customers to use Box's infringing software

products in an infringing manner.

3

4 5

> 6 7

9 10

8

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Box has contributed to infringement of the '372 Patent by providing its infringing products or components of products with no substantial non-infringing use to end-users and/or customers. Box makes and offers and sells the infringing software, systems, and services, and it also puts its content-sharing services on its website and provides applications that allow users to access those services.

- 102. Carahsoft has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '372 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Carahsoft's infringement includes, without limitation, selling and offering to sell at least the Personal, Business, and Enterprise versions of the Box file-sharing software, systems, and services. Carahsoft encourages customers to use the infringing Box software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
 - **103.** Carahsoft had knowledge of the '372 Patent at least as early as June 20, 2013.
- 104. Since knowing of the '372 Patent, Carahsoft has indirectly infringed the '372 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and by contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '372 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c). Carahsoft has contributed to the infringement because, at least, it provides infringing products or components with no substantial non-infringing use to customers by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software. Carahsoft has induced infringement by encouraging customers to use the infringing Box software in an infringing manner by, at least, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
- 105. For past infringement, OpenText has suffered damages, including lost profits, as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '372 patent. Defendants are therefore liable to OpenText under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for past damages in an amount that adequately compensates OpenText for Defendants' infringement, but no less than a reasonable royalty.

106. For ongoing and future infringement, OpenText will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless this Court preliminarily and permanently enjoins Defendants, their agents, employees, representatives, and all others acting in concert with Defendants from infringing the '372 patent. In the alternative, OpenText is entitled to damages in lieu of an injunction, in an amount consistent with the fact that, for future infringement, Defendants will be adjudicated infringers of a valid patent, and Defendants' on-going and future infringement is willful as a matter of law as described in paragraphs 56-62, above. OpenText, therefore, is entitled to an award of exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs in bringing this action.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '007 PATENT)

- **107.** OpenText realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 108. Box has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '007 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Box's infringement includes, without limitation, making, using, selling, and offering to sell the Personal, Starter, Business, Enterprise, and Elite versions of its file-sharing software, systems, and services. Box encourages customers to use the infringing software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing software, making its content-sharing services available on its website, providing applications that allow users to access those services, widely advertising those services, and providing technical support to users.
 - **109.** Box had knowledge of the '007 Patent at least as early as June 12, 2013.
- 110. Since knowing of the '007 Patent, Box has indirectly infringed the '007 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '007 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c).
- 111. Box actively induced infringement of the '007 Patent because it encourages end-users and/or customers to use its infringing software, systems, and services in an infringing manner by widely advertising the infringing software, systems, and services; by providing technical support to users of the infringing software, systems, and services; and by providing

products in an infringing manner.

access those services.

8

14

21

22

24

26

27

28

112. Box has contributed to infringement of the '007 Patent by providing its infringing products or components of products with no substantial non-infringing use to end-users and/or customers. Box makes and offers and sells the infringing software, systems, and services, and it also puts its content-sharing services on its website and provides applications that allow users to

product documentation that instruct end-users and/or customers to use Box's infringing software

- 113. Carahsoft has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '007 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Carahsoft's infringement includes, without limitation, selling and offering to sell at least the Personal, Business, and Enterprise versions of the Box file-sharing software, systems, and services. Carahsoft encourages customers to use the infringing Box software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
 - 114. Carahsoft had knowledge of the '007 Patent at least as early as June 20, 2013.
- 115. Since knowing of the '007 Patent, Carahsoft has indirectly infringed the '007 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and by contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '007 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c). Carahsoft has contributed to the infringement because, at least, it provides infringing products or components with no substantial non-infringing use to customers by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software. Carahsoft has induced infringement by encouraging customers to use the infringing Box software in an infringing manner by, at least, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
- For past infringement, OpenText has suffered damages, including lost profits, as a 116. result of Defendants' infringement of the '007 patent. Defendants are therefore liable to OpenText under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for past damages in an amount that adequately compensates

OpenText for Defendants' infringement, but no less than a reasonable royalty.

117. For ongoing and future infringement, OpenText will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless this Court preliminarily and permanently enjoins Defendants, their agents, employees, representatives, and all others acting in concert with Defendants from infringing the '007 patent. In the alternative, OpenText is entitled to damages in lieu of an injunction, in an amount consistent with the fact that, for future infringement, Defendants will be adjudicated infringers of a valid patent, and Defendants' on-going and future infringement is willful as a matter of law as described in paragraphs 56-62, above. OpenText, therefore, is entitled to an award of exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs in bringing this action.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '177 PATENT)

- **118.** OpenText realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 119. Box has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '177 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Box's infringement includes, without limitation, making, using, selling, and offering to sell the Personal, Starter, Business, Enterprise, and Elite versions of its file-sharing software, systems, and services. Box encourages customers to use the infringing software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing software, making its content-sharing services available on its website, providing applications that allow users to access those services, widely advertising those services, and providing technical support to users.
 - **120.** Box had knowledge of the '177 Patent at least as early as June 12, 2013.
- **121.** Since knowing of the '177 Patent, Box has indirectly infringed the '177 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '177 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c).
- **122.** Box actively induced infringement of the '177 Patent because it encourages endusers and/or customers to use its infringing software, systems, and services in an infringing manner by widely advertising the infringing software, systems, and services; by providing

technical support to users of the infringing software, systems, and services; and by providing product documentation that instruct end-users and/or customers to use Box's infringing software products in an infringing manner.

- 123. Box has contributed to infringement of the '177 Patent by providing its infringing products or components of products with no substantial non-infringing use to end-users and/or customers. Box makes and offers and sells the infringing software, systems, and services, and it also puts its content-sharing services on its website and provides applications that allow users to access those services.
- 124. Carahsoft has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '177 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Carahsoft's infringement includes, without limitation, selling and offering to sell at least the Personal, Business, and Enterprise versions of the Box file-sharing software, systems, and services. Carahsoft encourages customers to use the infringing Box software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
 - 125. Carahsoft had knowledge of the '177 Patent at least as early as June 20, 2013.
- 126. Since knowing of the '177 Patent, Carahsoft has indirectly infringed the '177 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and by contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '177 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c). Carahsoft has contributed to the infringement because, at least, it provides infringing products or components with no substantial non-infringing use to customers by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software. Carahsoft has induced infringement by encouraging customers to use the infringing Box software in an infringing manner by, at least, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
- **127.** For past infringement, OpenText has suffered damages, including lost profits, as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '177 patent. Defendants are therefore liable to

OpenText under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for past damages in an amount that adequately compensates OpenText for Defendants' infringement, but no less than a reasonable royalty.

128. For ongoing and future infringement, OpenText will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless this Court preliminarily and permanently enjoins Defendants, their agents, employees, representatives, and all others acting in concert with Defendants from infringing the '177 patent. In the alternative, OpenText is entitled to damages in lieu of an injunction, in an amount consistent with the fact that, for future infringement, Defendants will be adjudicated infringers of a valid patent, and Defendants' on-going and future infringement is willful as a matter of law as described in paragraphs 56-62, above. OpenText, therefore, is entitled to an award of exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs in bringing this action.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '962 PATENT)

- **129.** OpenText realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 130. Box has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '962 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Box's infringement includes, without limitation, making, using, selling, and offering to sell the Personal, Starter, Business, Enterprise, and Elite versions of its file-sharing software, systems, and services. Box encourages customers to use the infringing software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing software, making its content-sharing services available on its website, providing applications that allow users to access those services, widely advertising those services, and providing technical support to users.
 - **131.** Box had knowledge of the '962 Patent at least as early as June 12, 2013.
- 132. Since knowing of the '962 Patent, Box has indirectly infringed the '962 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '962 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c).
- 133. Box actively induced infringement of the '962 Patent because it encourages endusers and/or customers to use its infringing software, systems, and services in an infringing

manner by widely advertising the infringing software, systems, and services; by providing technical support to users of the infringing software, systems, and services; and by providing product documentation that instruct end-users and/or customers to use Box's infringing software products in an infringing manner.

- 134. Box has contributed to infringement of the '962 Patent by providing its infringing products or components of products with no substantial non-infringing use to end-users and/or customers. Box makes and offers and sells the infringing software, systems, and services, and it also puts its content-sharing services on its website and provides applications that allow users to access those services.
- patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Carahsoft's infringement includes, without limitation, selling and offering to sell at least the Personal, Business, and Enterprise versions of the Box file-sharing software, systems, and services. Carahsoft encourages customers to use the infringing Box software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
 - **136.** Carahsoft had knowledge of the '962 Patent at least as early as June 20, 2013.
- 137. Since knowing of the '962 Patent, Carahsoft has indirectly infringed the '962 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and by contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '962 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c). Carahsoft has contributed to the infringement because, at least, it provides infringing products or components with no substantial non-infringing use to customers by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software. Carahsoft has induced infringement by encouraging customers to use the infringing Box software in an infringing manner by, at least, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
 - **138.** For past infringement, OpenText has suffered damages, including lost profits, as a

3 4

12

13

14

11

15 16

17

24

22

23

26

25

27

28

result of Defendants' infringement of the '962 patent. Defendants are therefore liable to OpenText under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for past damages in an amount that adequately compensates OpenText for Defendants' infringement, but no less than a reasonable royalty.

For ongoing and future infringement, OpenText will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless this Court preliminarily and permanently enjoins Defendants, their agents, employees, representatives, and all others acting in concert with Defendants from infringing the '962 patent. In the alternative, OpenText is entitled to damages in lieu of an injunction, in an amount consistent with the fact that, for future infringement, Defendants will be adjudicated infringers of a valid patent, and Defendants' on-going and future infringement is willful as a matter of law as described in paragraphs 56-62, above. OpenText, therefore, is entitled to an award of exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs in bringing this action.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Infringement of the '055 Patent)

- OpenText realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding **140.** paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 141. Box has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '055 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Box's infringement includes, without limitation, making, using, selling, and offering to sell the Personal, Starter, Business, Enterprise, and Elite versions of its file-sharing software, systems, and services. Box encourages customers to use the infringing software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing software, making its content-sharing services available on its website, providing applications that allow users to access those services, widely advertising those services, and providing technical support to users.
 - 142. Box had knowledge of the '055 Patent at least as early as June 12, 2013.
- **143.** Since knowing of the '055 Patent, Box has indirectly infringed the '055 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '055 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c).
 - 144. Box actively induced infringement of the '055 Patent because it encourages end-

users and/or customers to use its infringing software, systems, and services in an infringing manner by widely advertising the infringing software, systems, and services; by providing technical support to users of the infringing software, systems, and services; and by providing product documentation that instruct end-users and/or customers to use Box's infringing software products in an infringing manner.

- 145. Box has contributed to infringement of the '055 Patent by providing its infringing products or components of products with no substantial non-infringing use to end-users and/or customers. Box makes and offers and sells the infringing software, systems, and services, and it also puts its content-sharing services on its website and provides applications that allow users to access those services.
- 146. Carahsoft has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '055 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Carahsoft's infringement includes, without limitation, selling and offering to sell at least the Personal, Business, and Enterprise versions of the Box file-sharing software, systems, and services. Carahsoft encourages customers to use the infringing Box software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
 - **147.** Carahsoft had knowledge of the '055 Patent at least as early as June 20, 2013.
- 148. Since knowing of the '055 Patent, Carahsoft has indirectly infringed the '055 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and by contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '055 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c). Carahsoft has contributed to the infringement because, at least, it provides infringing products or components with no substantial non-infringing use to customers by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software. Carahsoft has induced infringement by encouraging customers to use the infringing Box software in an infringing manner by, at least, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.

149. For past infringement, OpenText has suffered damages, including lost profits, as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '055 patent. Defendants are therefore liable to OpenText under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for past damages in an amount that adequately compensates OpenText for Defendants' infringement, but no less than a reasonable royalty.

150. For ongoing and future infringement, OpenText will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless this Court preliminarily and permanently enjoins Defendants, their agents, employees, representatives, and all others acting in concert with Defendants from infringing the '055 patent. In the alternative, OpenText is entitled to damages in lieu of an injunction, in an amount consistent with the fact that, for future infringement, Defendants will be adjudicated infringers of a valid patent, and Defendants' on-going and future infringement is willful as a matter of law as described in paragraphs 56-62, above. OpenText, therefore, is entitled to an award of exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs in bringing this action.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '258 PATENT)

- **151.** OpenText realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 152. Box has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '258 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Box's infringement includes, without limitation, making, using, selling, and offering to sell the Business, Enterprise, and Elite versions of its file-sharing software, systems, and services. Box encourages customers to use the infringing software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing software, making its content-sharing services available on its website, providing applications that allow users to access those services, widely advertising those services, and providing technical support to users.
 - **153.** Box had knowledge of the '258 Patent at least as early as June 12, 2013.
- **154.** Since knowing of the '258 Patent, Box has indirectly infringed the '258 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '258 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c).

- 155. Box actively induced infringement of the '258 Patent because it encourages end-users and/or customers to use its infringing software, systems, and services in an infringing manner by widely advertising the infringing software, systems, and services; by providing technical support to users of the infringing software, systems, and services; and by providing product documentation that instruct end-users and/or customers to use Box's infringing software products in an infringing manner.
- 156. Box has contributed to infringement of the '258 Patent by providing its infringing products or components of products with no substantial non-infringing use to end-users and/or customers. Box makes and offers and sells the infringing software, systems, and services, and it also puts its content-sharing services on its website and provides applications that allow users to access those services.
- 157. Carahsoft has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '258 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Carahsoft's infringement includes, without limitation, selling and offering to sell at least the Business and Enterprise versions of the Box file-sharing software, systems, and services. Carahsoft encourages customers to use the infringing Box software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
 - **158.** Carahsoft had knowledge of the '258 Patent at least as early as June 20, 2013.
- 159. Since knowing of the '258 Patent, Carahsoft has indirectly infringed the '258 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and by contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '258 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c). Carahsoft has contributed to the infringement because, at least, it provides infringing products or components with no substantial non-infringing use to customers by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software. Carahsoft has induced infringement by encouraging customers to use the infringing Box software in an infringing manner by, at least, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for

download by potential customers.

3

4 5

6 7 8

10 11

9

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

For past infringement, OpenText has suffered damages, including lost profits, as a 160. result of Defendants' infringement of the '258 patent. Defendants are therefore liable to OpenText under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for past damages in an amount that adequately compensates OpenText for Defendants' infringement, but no less than a reasonable royalty.

161. For ongoing and future infringement, OpenText will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless this Court preliminarily and permanently enjoins Defendants, their agents, employees, representatives, and all others acting in concert with Defendants from infringing the '258 patent. In the alternative, OpenText is entitled to damages in lieu of an injunction, in an amount consistent with the fact that, for future infringement, Defendants will be adjudicated infringers of a valid patent, and Defendants' on-going and future infringement is willful as a matter of law as described in paragraphs 56-62, above. OpenText, therefore, is entitled to an award of exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs in bringing this action.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '018 PATENT)

- **162.** OpenText realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- **163.** Box has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '018 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Box's infringement includes, without limitation, making, using, selling, and offering to sell at least the Enterprise and Elite versions of its filesharing software, systems, and services. Box encourages customers to use the infringing software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing software, making its content-sharing services available on its website, providing applications that allow users to access those services, widely advertising those services, and providing technical support to users.
 - Box had knowledge of the '018 Patent at least as early as June 12, 2013. **164.**
- 165. Since knowing of the '018 Patent, Box has indirectly infringed the '018 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and contributing to the infringement of one or more of

the claims of the '018 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c).

- 166. Box actively induced infringement of the '018 Patent because it encourages end-users and/or customers to use its infringing software, systems, and services in an infringing manner by widely advertising the infringing software, systems, and services; by providing technical support to users of the infringing software, systems, and services; and by providing product documentation that instruct end-users and/or customers to use Box's infringing software products in an infringing manner.
- 167. Box has contributed to infringement of the '018 Patent by providing its infringing products or components of products with no substantial non-infringing use to end-users and/or customers. Box makes and offers and sells the infringing software, systems, and services, and it also puts its content-sharing services on its website and provides applications that allow users to access those services.
- 168. Carahsoft has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '018 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Carahsoft's infringement includes, without limitation, selling and offering to sell at least the Enterprise version of the Box file-sharing software, systems, and services. Carahsoft encourages customers to use the infringing Box software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
 - **169.** Carahsoft had knowledge of the '018 Patent at least as early as June 20, 2013.
- 170. Since knowing of the '018 Patent, Carahsoft has indirectly infringed the '018 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and by contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '018 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c). Carahsoft has contributed to the infringement because, at least, it provides infringing products or components with no substantial non-infringing use to customers by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software. Carahsoft has induced infringement by encouraging customers to use the infringing Box software in an infringing manner by, at least, advertising "Box Personal," "Box

7

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.

- **171.** For past infringement, OpenText has suffered damages, including lost profits, as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '018 patent. Defendants are therefore liable to OpenText under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for past damages in an amount that adequately compensates OpenText for Defendants' infringement, but no less than a reasonable royalty.
- For ongoing and future infringement, OpenText will continue to suffer irreparable 172. harm unless this Court preliminarily and permanently enjoins Defendants, their agents, employees, representatives, and all others acting in concert with Defendants from infringing the '018 patent. In the alternative, OpenText is entitled to damages in lieu of an injunction, in an amount consistent with the fact that, for future infringement, Defendants will be adjudicated infringers of a valid patent, and Defendants' on-going and future infringement is willful as a matter of law as described in paragraphs 56-62, above. OpenText, therefore, is entitled to an award of exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs in bringing this action.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '694 PATENT)

- **173.** OpenText realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 174. Box has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '694 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Box's infringement includes, without limitation, making, using, selling, and offering to sell at least the Enterprise and Elite versions of its filesharing software, systems, and services. Box encourages customers to use the infringing software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing software, making its content-sharing services available on its website, providing applications that allow users to access those services, widely advertising those services, and providing technical support to users.
 - 175. Box had knowledge of the '694 Patent at least as early as June 12, 2013.
 - **176.** Since knowing of the '694 Patent, Box has indirectly infringed the '694 Patent by

the claims of the '694 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c).

9

7

14

17

21

23 24

25 26

27

28

177. Box actively induced infringement of the '694 Patent because it encourages endusers and/or customers to use its infringing software, systems, and services in an infringing manner by widely advertising the infringing software, systems, and services; by providing technical support to users of the infringing software, systems, and services; and by providing product documentation that instruct end-users and/or customers to use Box's infringing software

actively inducing infringement by others and contributing to the infringement of one or more of

products in an infringing manner.

- Box has contributed to infringement of the '694 Patent by providing its infringing **178.** products or components of products with no substantial non-infringing use to end-users and/or customers. Box makes and offers and sells the infringing software, systems, and services, and it also puts its content-sharing services on its website and provides applications that allow users to access those services.
- **179.** Carahsoft has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '694 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Carahsoft's infringement includes, without limitation, selling and offering to sell at least the Enterprise version of the Box filesharing software, systems, and services. Carahsoft encourages customers to use the infringing Box software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
 - **180.** Carahsoft had knowledge of the '694 Patent at least as early as June 20, 2013.
- **181.** Since knowing of the '694 Patent, Carahsoft has indirectly infringed the '694 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and by contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '694 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c). Carahsoft has contributed to the infringement because, at least, it provides infringing products or components with no substantial non-infringing use to customers by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software. Carahsoft has induced infringement by encouraging customers to use the

infringing Box software in an infringing manner by, at least, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.

- **182.** For past infringement, OpenText has suffered damages, including lost profits, as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '694 patent. Defendants are therefore liable to OpenText under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for past damages in an amount that adequately compensates OpenText for Defendants' infringement, but no less than a reasonable royalty.
- 183. For ongoing and future infringement, OpenText will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless this Court preliminarily and permanently enjoins Defendants, their agents, employees, representatives, and all others acting in concert with Defendants from infringing the '694 patent. In the alternative, OpenText is entitled to damages in lieu of an injunction, in an amount consistent with the fact that, for future infringement, Defendants will be adjudicated infringers of a valid patent, and Defendants' on-going and future infringement is willful as a matter of law as described in paragraphs 56-62, above. OpenText, therefore, is entitled to an award of exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs in bringing this action.

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (INFRINGEMENT OF THE '122 PATENT)

- **184.** OpenText realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 185. Box has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '122 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Box's infringement includes, without limitation, making, using, selling, and offering to sell at least the Enterprise, and Elite versions of its file-sharing software, systems, and services. Box encourages customers to use the infringing software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing software, making its content-sharing services available on its website, providing applications that allow users to access those services, widely advertising those services, and providing technical support to users.
 - **186.** Box had knowledge of the '122 Patent at least as early as June 12, 2013.

7 8 9

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

187. Since knowing of the '122 Patent, Box has indirectly infringed the '122 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '122 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c).

- Box actively induced infringement of the '122 Patent because it encourages end-**188.** users and/or customers to use its infringing software, systems, and services in an infringing manner by widely advertising the infringing software, systems, and services; by providing technical support to users of the infringing software, systems, and services; and by providing product documentation that instruct end-users and/or customers to use Box's infringing software products in an infringing manner.
- Box has contributed to infringement of the '122 Patent by providing its infringing products or components of products with no substantial non-infringing use to end-users and/or customers. Box makes and offers and sells the infringing software, systems, and services, and it also puts its content-sharing services on its website and provides applications that allow users to access those services.
- **190.** Carahsoft has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '122 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Carahsoft's infringement includes, without limitation, selling and offering to sell at least the Enterprise version of the Box filesharing software, systems, and services. Carahsoft encourages customers to use the infringing Box software at least by selling and offering to sell the infringing Box software, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.
 - **191.** Carahsoft had knowledge of the '122 Patent at least as early as June 20, 2013.
- **192.** Since knowing of the '122 Patent, Carahsoft has indirectly infringed the '122 Patent by actively inducing infringement by others and by contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '122 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(b)-(c). Carahsoft has contributed to the infringement because, at least, it provides infringing products or components with no substantial non-infringing use to customers by selling and offering to sell the infringing

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

2

Box software. Carahsoft has induced infringement by encouraging customers to use the infringing Box software in an infringing manner by, at least, advertising "Box Personal," "Box Business," and "Box Enterprise" on its website, and providing a "Box Overview" file for download by potential customers.

- 193. For past infringement, OpenText has suffered damages, including lost profits, as a result of Defendants' infringement of the '122 patent. Defendants are therefore liable to OpenText under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for past damages in an amount that adequately compensates OpenText for Defendants' infringement, but no less than a reasonable royalty.
- 194. For ongoing and future infringement, OpenText will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless this Court preliminarily and permanently enjoins Defendants, their agents, employees, representatives, and all others acting in concert with Defendants from infringing the '122 patent. In the alternative, OpenText is entitled to damages in lieu of an injunction, in an amount consistent with the fact that, for future infringement, Defendants will be adjudicated infringers of a valid patent, and Defendants' on-going and future infringement is willful as a matter of law as described in paragraphs 56-62, above. OpenText, therefore, is entitled to an award of exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs in bringing this action.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, OpenText respectfully requests the following relief:

- a) That this Court adjudge and decree that Defendants have been, and are currently, infringing, contributing to other's infringement of, and inducing others to infringe, each of the '515, '665, '152, '372, '007, '177, '962, '055, '258, '018, '694, and '122 patents
- b) That this Court determine that Defendants' acts of infringement with respect to the '515, '665, '152, '372, '007, '177, '962, '055, '258, '018, '694, and '122 patents are willful;
- c) That this Court award damages to OpenText to compensate it for Defendants' past infringement, through the date of trial in this action, of the '515, '665, '152, '372, '007, '177, '962, '055, '258, '018, '694, and '122 patents;

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

OpenText respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues triable thereby.

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

Case5:13-cv-04910-EJD Document161 Filed12/23/13 Page37 of 37

1	D . 1 D . 1 . 22 . 2012	COOLEVILD
2	Dated: December 23, 2013	COOLEY LLP THOMAS J. FRIEL, JR. (11529)
3		_/s/ Sarah J. Guske
4		Thomas J. Friel, Jr. (80065) Sarah J. Guske (232467)
5		Attorneys for Plaintiff Open Text S.A.
6		Wayne O. Stacy (pro hac vice)
7		Brian J. Eutermoser (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Britton F. Davis (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
8		Sara J. Radke (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Angela L. Campbell (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
9		COOLEY LLP 380 Interlocken Crescent, Suite 900
10		Broomfield, CO 80021-8032 Telephone: (720) 566-4000
11		Facsimile: (720) 566-4099 wstacy@cooley.com
12		beutermoser@cooley.com bdavis@cooley.com
13		sradke@cooley.com acampbell@cooley.com
14		Attorneys for Plaintiff Open Text S.A.
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
LP t Law		37.
ISCO		JI.

COOLEY LLI ATTORNEYS AT SAN FRANCISCO