	Case 3.13-CV-02030-11-BG3 Document 10	Tiled 03/21/14 Fage 1 0/10								
1	ANTON HANDAL (Bar No. 113812)									
2	anh@handal-law.com PAMELA C. CHALK (Bar No. 216411)									
3	pchalk@handal-law.com GABRIEL HEDRICK (Bar No. 220649)									
4	ghedrick@handal-law.com HANDAL & ASSOCIATES									
5	HANDAL & ASSOCIATES 1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1321 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: 619.544.6400									
6										
7	Fax: 619.696.0323									
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation									
9										
10										
11	UNITED STATES 1	DISTRICT COURT								
12	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA									
13	e.Digital Corporation,	Case No. 3:13-cv-02890-H-BGS								
14	Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT								
15	V.									
16	ATP Electronics, Inc. (USA),	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL								
17	Defendant.	Assigned to the Honorable Judge Marilyn L. Huff								
18		Courtroom 15A (Annex)								
-		·								

Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation ("e.Digital" or "Plaintiff"), by and through its undersigned counsel, complains and alleges against Defendant ATP Corporation ("ATP" or "Defendant") as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is a civil action for infringement of a patent arising under the laws of the United States relating to patents, 35 U.S.C. § 101, et seq., including, without limitation, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281. Plaintiff e.Digital seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction and monetary damages for the infringement of its U.S. Patent No. 5,839,108.

28 ANDAL & ASSOCIATES NDAL & ASSOCIATES
1200 THIRD AVE
SUITE 1321
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400
FAX: 619.696.0323

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 HANDAL & ASSOCIATES 1200 THIRD AVE

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and pursuant to the patent laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 101, et seq.
- 3. Venue properly lies within the Southern District of California pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (d) and 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendant conducts substantial business directly and/or through third parties or agents in this judicial district by selling and/or offering to sell the infringing products and/or by conducting other business in this judicial district. Furthermore, Plaintiff e.Digital is headquartered and has its principal place of business in this district, engages in business in this district, and has been harmed by Defendant's conduct, business transactions and sales in this district.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and belief, Defendant transacts continuous and systematic business within the State of California and the Southern District of California. In addition, this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because, on information and belief, this lawsuit arises out of Defendant's infringing activities, including, without limitation, the making, using, selling and/or offering to sell infringing products in the State of California and the Southern District of California. Finally, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and belief, Defendant has made, used, sold and/or offered for sale its infringing products and placed such infringing products in the stream of interstate commerce with the expectation that such infringing products would be made, used, sold and/or offered for sale within the State of California and the Southern District of California.
- 5. Upon information and belief, certain of the products manufactured by Defendant ATP have been and/or are currently sold and/or offered for sale at, among other places, the online store website of OEMPCWorld.com located at

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28 ANDAL & ASSOCIATES 1200 THIRD AVE SUITE 1321 AN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400

http://www.oempcworld.com	to o	consumers	incl	luding,	but	not	limite	ed	to,
consumers located within the	State	e of Californ	nia.	Upon	inforr	nation	and	beli	ief,
OEMPCWorld com is located:	at 280	00 Bowers A	ve	Santa (lara	Califo	rnia 9	505	51

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff e.Digital is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business at 16870 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 120, San Diego, California 92127.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant ATP is a corporation 7. registered and lawfully existing under the laws of the State of California, with an office and principal place of business located at 750 North Mary Ave., Sunnyvale, California 94085.

THE ASSERTED PATENT

8. On November 17, 1998, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,839,108 ("the '108 patent") entitled "Flash Memory File System In A Handheld Record And Playback Device," to its named inventors Norbert P. Daberko and Richard K. Davis. Plaintiff e.Digital is the assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '108 patent and has the right to bring this suit for damages and other relief. A true and correct copy of the '108 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

COUNT ONE

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '108 PATENT BY DEFENDANT

- 9. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 8 above.
- 10. The accused products include but are not limited to ATP's Flash Memory Storage products including but not limited to its USB, SSD, SD, microSD, and/or Compact Flash products. The primary and substantial purpose of the accused products is to write to and store data in electronic format in nonvolatile flash memory.

ANDAL & ASSOCIATES

1200 THIRD AVE
SUITE 1321

SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

TEL: 619.544.6400

FAX: 619.696.0323

- 11. ATP has directly and indirectly infringed and is directly and indirectly infringing Claim 1 of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, et seq., by making, using, offering for sale, selling in the United States and/or importing into the United States without authority, the accused products identified above. Claim 1 of the '108 patent teaches a method of memory management for a non-volatile storage medium. The method comprises several steps, which generally involves, without limitation, writing electronic data segments from volatile, temporary memory to a non-volatile, long-term storage medium by linking data segments according to a number of specified steps.
- 12. Plaintiff alleges that at least as of the date of the filing of the originally filed complaint in this matter, if not sooner, ATP knew or should have known of the existence of Claim 1 of the '108 patent and the fact that the accused products infringe said Claim 1.
- 13. Plaintiff alleges that ATP sold, sells, offers to sell, ships, or otherwise delivers the accused products to customers or end-users with all the features required to infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent. Upon information and belief, ATP knows that the accused products infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent and intends to induce third parties to include its customers and end-users to also infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent.
- 14. Upon information and belief, the accused products, alone or in combination with other products, directly or, alternatively, under the doctrine of equivalents practice each of the limitations of independent Claim 1 of the '108 patent when they are used for their normal and intended purpose of writing to and storing electronic data on non-volatile memory. Thus, ATP directly infringes Claim 1 of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) when it demonstrates, tests or otherwise uses the accused products in the United States.
- 15. By way of example, ATP's demonstration videos, posted by ATP on ATP's website(s) or other public websites, show ATP and/or its authorized agents

28

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

1200 THIRD AVE
SUITE 1321
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400
FAX: 619.696.0323

or employees migrating or transferring data from the memory of one or more devices to one or more of the accused products. An example can be found on ATP's website at http://www.atpinc.com/p2-4a.php?sn=00000185. Such conduct evidences ATP's act of direct infringement of Claim 1 of the '108 patent.

- 16. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that ATP uses, makes, sells, offers to sell and/or imports the accused products knowing that they will be used by its customers and end-users for writing and storing electronic data to non-volatile memory utilizing the steps described in Claim 1 of the '108 patent. ATP's product literature, instructional materials, website informational materials, testing videos, and promotional videos advertise and encourage customers to use the accused product(s) to store electronic data in the accused products utilizing the methods of memory management taught by Claim 1 of the '108 patent and in a manner it knows infringes upon Claim 1 of the '108 patent.
- 17. ATP also provides operating manuals, user guides, or other instructional material that instruct customers and end-users on how to connect the accused products and use them as non-volatile storage devices for electronic data. Among other things, ATP's informational materials lay out step-by-step instructions on how to write data into the memory of the accused products a process that utilizes the method disclosed in Claim 1 of the '108 patent and which ATP knows (at the least as of the filing of the original complaint if not sooner) infringes the method taught in Claim 1 of the '108 patent. Plaintiff believes that ATP directs consumers and end-users to consult and utilize such instructional and/or other informational material.
- 18. Plaintiff believes and thereupon alleges that ATP is aware that its customers and end-users are using the accused products in an infringing manner based on, among other things, the fact that ATP encourages its customers and end-users to use the accused products in an infringing manner as set forth in the preceding Paragraphs.

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

1200 THIRD AVE
SUITE 1321

SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400
FAX: 619.696.0323

19. As alleged above, incorporated herewith, and based upon information
and belief, Plaintiff alleges that ATP, without authority, has induced and continues
to induce infringement of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b)
inasmuch as:

- a. The accused products infringe Claim 1 during the normal use of the accused products by ATP's customers and/or end-users;
- b. ATP has known and has been continuously aware of the '108 patent since at least the filing of the original complaint in this action, if not sooner;
- c. ATP has acted in a manner that encourages and continues to encourage others to infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent by, among other things, intentionally instructing and/or encouraging customers and end-users to use the accused products in a manner that ATP knows or should have known would cause them to infringe the '108 patent;
- d. ATP sells, distributes, and supplies the accused products to customers and end-users with the intent that the products be used in an infringing manner;
- e. ATP provides operating manuals, user guides, and/or or other instructional material designed to instruct customers and endusers to use the products in an infringing manner; and,
- f. ATP advertises, markets, and promotes the use of the accused products in an infringing manner.
- 20. As alleged above, incorporated herewith, and based upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that ATP has contributed and continues to contribute to the infringement of Claim 1 of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) inasmuch as:
 - a. The accused products infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent during

28

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

1200 THIRD AVE
SUITE 1321

SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400

the	normal	use	of	the	accused	products	by	ATP's	customers
and	/or end-u	isers							

- b. ATP has known and has been continuously aware of the '108 patent since at least the filing of the original complaint in this action, if not sooner;
- c. ATP imports into the United States, sells and/or offers to sell within the United States products that (a) practice the method of memory management of Claim 1 of the '108 patent; and, (b) ATP knows that the same constitute material infringing component(s) of the accused products, which were made and/or especially adapted for use in the accused products;
- d. The memory management component(s) and methods of the accused products are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use with respect to the '108 patent; and,
- e. ATP sells, has sold, and/or has supplied the accused products knowing of Plaintiff's '108 patent and knowing that the accused products incorporate Plaintiff's patented method and/or were specially adapted for use in a way which infringes the '108 patent.
- 21. As alleged above, Plaintiff alleges that ATP had notice of the '108 patent and knowledge of infringement of Claim 1 of the '108 patent since at least the filing of the original complaint in this matter, if not sooner. ATP has and continues to sell products that practice the '108 patent after acquiring knowledge of infringement.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

- 1. That Defendant be declared to have infringed the Patent-in-Suit;
- 2. That Defendant, Defendant's officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them, be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	Case 3:13-cv-02890-H-BGS Document 16 Filed 03/21/14 Page 9 of 10							
1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL							
2	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all claims.							
3	HANDAL & ASSOCIATES							
4	Dated: March 21, 2014	By: /s/Pamela C. Chalk						
5		Anton N. Handal Pamela C. Chalk						
6		By: /s/Pamela C. Chalk Anton N. Handal Pamela C. Chalk Gabriel G. Hedrick Attorneys for Plaintif e.Digital Corporation	f					
7		e.Digital Corporation						
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13 14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28 HANDAL & ASSOCIATES 1200 THIRD AVE								
SUITE 1321 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	-9- C.	ASE NO. 3:13-CV-02890-H-BGS					
	II		ı					

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served on this date to all counsel of record, if any to date, who are deemed to have consented to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system per CivLR 5.4(d). Any other counsel of record will be served by electronic mail, facsimile and/or overnight delivery upon their appearance in this matter.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 21st day of March, 2014 at San Diego, California.

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

By: /s/Pamela C. Chalk
Anton N. Handal
Pamela C. Chalk
Gabriel G. Hedrick
Attorneys for Plaintiff
e.Digital Corporation

28
HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

NDAL & ASSOCIATES
1200 THIRD AVE
SUITE 1321
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400
FAX: 619.696.0323

-10-

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Dated: March 21, 2014

CASE NO. 3:13-CV-02890-H-BGS