1	ANTON HANDAL (Bar No. 113812)							
2	anh@handal-law.com PAMELA C. CHALK (Bar No. 216411)							
3	pchalk@handal-law.com GABRIEL HEDRICK (Bar No. 220649)							
4	ghedrick@handal-law.com HANDAL & ASSOCIATES							
5	1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1321							
6	San Diego, California 92101 Tel: 619.544.6400							
7	Fax: 619.696.0323							
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation							
9								
10	LINUTED OTATEC	DISTRICT COURT						
11		DISTRICT COURT						
12	SOUTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNI 						
13	e.Digital Corporation,	Case No. 3:13-cv-029						
14	Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDED FOR PATENT INF						
15	V.	DEMAND FOR HI						

ICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 3:13-cv-02915-H-BGS

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

16

**Assigned to the Honorable** Judge Marilyn L. Huff

17

18

**Courtroom 15A (Annex)** 

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation ("e.Digital" or "Plaintiff"), by and through its undersigned counsel, complains and alleges against Defendant Other World

Computing, Inc. ("OWC" or "Defendant") as follows:

Other World Computing, Inc.

Defendant.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

# NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a civil action for infringement of a patent arising under the laws of the United States relating to patents, 35 U.S.C. § 101, et seq., including, without limitation, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281. Plaintiff e.Digital seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction and monetary damages for the infringement of its U.S. Patent No. 5,839,108.

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

28

NDAL & ASSOCIATES 1200 THIRD AVE SUITE 1321 AN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400

28

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

1200 THIRD AVE
SUITE 1321

SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400

- 2. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and pursuant to the patent laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq*.
- 3. Venue properly lies within the Southern District of California pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (d) and 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendant conducts substantial business directly and/or through third parties or agents in this judicial district by selling and/or offering to sell the infringing products and/or by conducting other business in this judicial district. Furthermore, Plaintiff e.Digital is headquartered and has its principal place of business in this district, engages in business in this district, and has been harmed by Defendant's conduct, business transactions and sales in this district.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and belief, Defendant transacts continuous and systematic business within the State of California and the Southern District of California. In addition, this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because, on information and belief, this lawsuit arises out of Defendant's infringing activities, including, without limitation, the making, using, selling and/or offering to sell infringing products in the State of California and the Southern District of California. Finally, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and belief, Defendant has made, used, sold and/or offered for sale its infringing products and placed such infringing products in the stream of interstate commerce with the expectation that such infringing products would be made, used, sold and/or offered for sale within the State of California and the Southern District of California.
- 5. Upon information and belief, certain of the products manufactured by Defendant have been and/or are currently sold and/or offered for sale at, among other places, the OWC online store website located at <a href="http://eshop.macsales.com">http://eshop.macsales.com</a> to consumers including, but not limited to, consumers located within the State of

1 | California.

6. Upon information and belief, certain of the products manufactured by Defendant have been and/or are currently sold and/or offered for sale at, among other places, the B&H Photo Video online store website located at <a href="http://www.bhphotovideo.com">http://www.bhphotovideo.com</a> to consumers including, but not limited to, consumers located within the State of California.

#### **PARTIES**

- 7. Plaintiff e.Digital is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business at 16870 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 120, San Diego, California 92127.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant Other World Computing, Inc. is a corporation registered and lawfully existing under the laws of the State of Illinois, with an office and principal place of business located at 2650 Bridge Lane, Woodstock, IL 60098.

### THE ASSERTED PATENT

9. On November 17, 1998, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,839,108 ("the '108 patent") entitled "Flash Memory File System In A Handheld Record And Playback Device," to its named inventors Norbert P. Daberko and Richard K. Davis. Plaintiff e.Digital is the assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '108 patent and has the right to bring this suit for damages and other relief. A true and correct copy of the '108 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

#### **COUNT ONE**

#### INFRINGEMENT OF THE '108 PATENT BY DEFENDANT

- 10. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 9 above.
- 11. The accused products include but are not limited to the Defendant's Flash Memory Storage products including but not limited to its USB, SSD, SD,

28

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

1200 THIRD AVE
SUITE 1321

SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400

11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28 ANDAL & ASSOCIATES

1200 THIRD AVE SUITE 1321 AN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400

microSD,	and/or	Compact	Flash	products.	The	accused	products	include	but	are
not limited	d to Def	endant's l	Mercui	ry Electra	6G S	SD produ	uct.			

- 12. On information and belief, the Defendant and/or its downstream customers have used, sold, sell or supplied the accused product(s) in the United States. Further, as of the date of the filing of this complaint or sooner, Defendant knew or should have known of the existence of Claim 1 of the '108 patent.
- 13. Based on information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant sells, offers to sell, ships, or otherwise delivers the accused products to customers or end-users with all the features required to infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent.
- 14. Upon information and belief, the accused products, alone or in combination with other products, directly or alternatively under the doctrine of equivalents practice each of the limitations of independent Claim 1 of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).
- 15. On information and belief, Defendant encourages and intends for its customers to use the accused products in a manner that infringes the Claim 1 of the '108 patent. Among other things, on its public website and/or on other public websites such as youtube.com and/or Facebook.com, Defendant advertises, promotes, and/or instructs customers on how to use the accused products in a manner that infringes the '108 patent.
- Based upon information and belief, Defendant, without authority, has 16. induced and continues to induce infringement of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) inasmuch as:
  - a. The accused products infringe Claim 1 whenever the Defendant engages in product testing, and/or during the normal use of the accused products by Defendant or Defendant's customers;
  - **b.** The Defendant has known and has been continuously aware of the '108 patent since at least the filing of the original complaint in this action if not sooner;

- c. The Defendant has acted in a manner that encourages and continues to encourage others to infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent by, among other things, intentionally instructing and/or encouraging customers and end-users to use the accused products in a manner that Defendant knows or should have known would cause them to infringe the '108 patent;
- **d.** The Defendant sells, distributes, and supplies the accused products to customers and end-users with the intent that the products be used in an infringing manner;
- e. The Defendant provides videos, installation guides or videos, and/or instructional and/or informational material designed to instruct and encourage customers and end-users to use the products in an infringing manner; and,
- **f.** The Defendant advertises, markets, and promotes the use of the accused products in an infringing manner.
- 17. Plaintiff is also informed and believes that Defendant has contributed and continues to contribute to the infringement of Claim 1 of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) inasmuch as:
  - **a.** The accused products infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent during the normal use of the accused products by Defendant's customers;
  - **b.** The Defendant has known and has been continuously aware of the '108 patent since at least the filing of the original complaint in this action, if not sooner;
  - c. The Defendant imports into the United States, sells and/or offers to sell within the United States products that (a) practice the method of memory management of Claim 1 of the '108 patent; and, (b) Defendant knows that the material infringing component(s) of the accused products were made and/or

28

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

1200 THIRD AVE
SUITE 1321

SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400

. 11	1 , 1	C		•	. 1	1	1 .
esnecially	z adanted	tor	1156	1n	the	accused	products;
cspeciaii,	y adapted	101	usc	111	uic	accused	products,

- **d.** The memory management component(s) and methods of the accused products are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use with respect to the '108 patent; and,
- e. Defendant sells, has sold, and/or has supplied the accused products knowing of Plaintiff's '108 patent and knowing that the accused products incorporate Plaintiff's patented method and/or were specially adapted for use in a way which infringes the '108 patent.
- 18. Defendant had notice of the '108 patent and knowledge of infringement of Claim 1 of the '108 patent since at least the filing of the original complaint in this matter. Defendant has and continues to sell products that practice the '108 patent after acquiring knowledge of infringement.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

- 1. That Defendant be declared to have infringed the Patent-in-Suit;
- 2. That Defendant, Defendant's officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from infringement of the Patent-in-Suit, including but not limited to any making, using, offering for sale, selling, or importing of unlicensed infringing products within and without the United States;
- 3. Compensation for all damages caused by Defendant's infringement of the Patent-in-Suit to be determined at trial;
- 4. A finding that this case is exceptional and an award of reasonable attorneys fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- 5. Granting Plaintiff pre-and post-judgment interest on its damages, together with all costs and expenses; and,
  - 6. Awarding such other relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

	Case 3:13-cv-02915-H-BGS	Document 11 Filed 03/07/14 Page 7 of 9	
1			
2		HANDAL & ASSOCIATES	
3	Dated: March 7,2014		
4	·	By: /s/Pamela C. Chalk Anton N. Handal Pamela C. Chalk Gabriel G. Hedrick Attorneys for Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation	
5		Gabriel G. Hedrick Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6		e.Digital Corporation	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28  HANDAL & ASSOCIATES 1200 THIRD AVE		_	
SUITE 1321 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	-7- CASE NO. 3:13-CV-2915-H-BGS	-
	l		

	Case 3:13-cv-02915-H-BGS Document 11 Filed 03/07/14 Page 8 of 9
1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all claims.
3	HANDAL & ASSOCIATES
4	Dated: March 7.2014
5	By: <u>/s/ Pamela C. Chalk</u> Anton N. Handal
6	By: /s/ Pamela C. Chalk Anton N. Handal Pamela C. Chalk Gabriel G. Hedrick Attorneys for Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 Handal & associates	
1200 THIRD AVE SUITE 1321 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323	-8-  FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT  CASE NO. 3:13-CV-2915-H-BGS
LWV: 0TA'0A0'07373	

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

 Dated: March 7,2014

ANDAL & ASSOCIATES

1200 THIRD AVE
SUITE 1321

SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

TEL: 619.544.6400

FAX: 619.696.0323

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served on this date to all counsel of record, if any to date, who are deemed to have consented to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system per CivLR 5.4(d). Any other counsel of record will be served by electronic mail, facsimile and/or overnight delivery upon their appearance in this matter.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 7<sup>th</sup> day of March 2014 at San Diego, California.

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

D.,,,

By: /s/Pamela C. Chalk
Anton N. Handal
Pamela C. Chalk
Gabriel G. Hedrick
Attorneys for Plaintiff
e.Digital Corporation

-9-