

1 LAW OFFICE OF JOHN W. MARTIN
John W. Martin (CA State Bar No. 113503)
2 Email: *john@johnwmartinlaw.com*
1500 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 500
3 Manhattan Beach, California 90266
Telephone No.: (310) 342-6800
4 Facsimile No.: (310) 377-8069

5 Attorney for Plaintiff
BP INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED
6

7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
EASTERN DIVISION
10

11 BP INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 MICHAELS STORES, INC. and
15 UMBRA LTD,

16 Defendants.
17

Case No. 5 : 13 -CV-02261

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT**

18
19 Plaintiff BP Industries Incorporated (“BP Industries”), for its Complaint for
20 Patent Infringement against Defendants Michaels Stores, Inc. (“Michaels”) and
21 Umbra Ltd. (“Umbra”) (collectively, “Defendants”), alleges as follows:
22

23 **PARTIES**

24
25 1. Plaintiff BP Industries is a California corporation having its principal
26 place of business at 5300 E. Concourse Street, Ontario, California 91764. BP
27
28

1 the principles of due process and the California Long Arm Statute, because
2 Defendants maintain offices and facilities in this District, offer their products for
3 sale in this District, have transacted business in this District, have committed
4 and/or induced acts of patent infringement in this District, and/or have placed
5 infringing products into the stream of commerce through established distribution
6 channels with the expectation that such products will be purchased by residents of
7 this District.
8
9

10 7. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b),
11 1391(c), 1391(d), and 1400(b).
12

13 **COUNT 1**

14 **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO 6,609,693**

15
16 8. BP Industries realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations
17 set forth in paragraphs 1-7.
18

19 9. On August 26, 2003, after a full and fair examination, the United
20 States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent
21 No. 6,609,693, entitled “HANGER COMBINATION FOR DISPLAYING
22 MERCHANDISE.” A true and correct copy of the ‘693 Patent is attached as
23 Exhibit A. Since its issuance, the ‘693 Patent has been in full force and effect.
24 BP Industries owns all right, title and interest to the ‘693 Patent, including the
25 right to sue for past, present, and future infringements.
26
27
28

1 10. The Defendants, and each of them, have infringed and are continuing
2 to infringe the '693 Patent by making, using, selling, or offering to sell within the
3 United States, or importing into the United States, products or processes that are
4 covered by at least claims 1 through 10, 12, 14 and 15 of the '693 Patent,
5 including, for example, the retractable hanger combinations attached to picture
6 frames and other merchandise produced, and distributed and sold by Defendants
7 through their wholesale and retail channels.
8

9
10 11. Furthermore, the Defendants have induced infringement of the '693
11 Patent and/or committed acts of contributory infringement of the '693 Patent.
12

13 12. The Defendants' activities have been without express or implied
14 license from BP Industries.
15

16 13. The Defendants will continue to infringe the '693 Patent unless
17 enjoined by this Court. As a result of the Defendants' infringing conduct, BP
18 Industries has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm for which
19 there is no adequate remedy at law. BP Industries is entitled to preliminary and
20 permanent injunctive relief against such infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 283.
21
22

23 14. As a result of the infringement of the '693 Patent, BP Industries has
24 been damaged, and will be further damaged, and is entitled to be compensated for
25 such damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, in an amount to be determined at trial.
26
27
28

WILLFULL INFRINGEMENT

1
2 15. BP Industries realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations
3 set forth in paragraphs 1-14.
4

5 16. The Defendants’ past and continuing infringement of the ‘693 Patent
6 has been deliberate and willful. Their conduct warrants an award of treble
7 damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, and this is an exceptional case justifying an
8 award of attorney fees to BP Industries, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.
9

10 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

11
12 17. Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, BP
13 Industries respectfully requests a trial by jury of all issues properly triable by jury.
14

15 **PRAAYER FOR RELIEF**

16 WHEREFORE, BP Industries prays for relief as follows:

17 A. For a judgment declaring that Defendants have infringed the ‘693
18 Patent.
19

20 B. For a judgment awarding BP Industries compensatory damages as a
21 result of Defendants’ infringement of the ‘693 Patent, together with interest and
22 costs, and in no event less than a reasonable royalty;
23

24 C. For a judgment declaring that Defendants’ infringement of the ‘693
25 Patent has been willful and deliberate;
26
27
28

1 D. For a judgment awarding BP Industries treble damages and pre-
2 judgment interest under 35 U.S.C. § 284 as a result of Defendants' willful and
3 deliberate infringement of the '693 Patents;
4

5 E. For a judgment declaring that this case is exceptional and awarding
6 BP Industries its expenses, costs, and attorneys fees in accordance with 35 U.S.C.
7 §§ 284 and 285 and Rule 54(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
8

9 F. For a grant of a permanent injunction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283,
10 enjoining the Defendants from further acts of infringement; and
11

12 G. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

13 DATED this 9th day of December, 2013.
14

15 Respectfully submitted,

16 LAW OFFICE OF JOHN W. MARTIN

17 

18 John W. Martin, CA SBN # 113503
19 Attorney for Plaintiff
20 BP Industries Incorporated
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28