COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff RealD Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "RealD"), by and through its counsel, files this Complaint for patent infringement against Defendants Volfoni, Inc., Volfoni SAS, and Volfoni-Rio Asia Company Limited (collectively, "Defendants" or "Volfoni"). Plaintiff alleges:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff RealD Inc. ("RealD" or "Plaintiff") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with a principal place of business at 100 N. Crescent Dr. Suite 200, Beverly Hills, California 90210.
- 2. Defendant Volfoni, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California, with a principal place of business at 3450 Cahuenga Boulevard West, Unit 504, Los Angeles, California 90068.
- 3. Defendant Volfoni SAS is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of France, with a principal place of business at 49 Avenue Pierre Grenier, 92100 Boulogne Billancourt, France.
- 4. Defendant Volfoni-Rio Asia Company Limited is a joint venture organized and existing under the laws of Hong Kong, with a principal place of business at Rms 2006-8,20/F Two Chinachem Exchange, Square 338 King's Rd North Point, Hong Kong.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and (b) because this is a civil action involving a federal question related to claims for patent infringement under the Patent Act.
- 6. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Volfoni, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California, Defendants have offered for sale and/or sold their products to customers in California, and Defendants regularly conduct and solicit business in California, including in this District, and have purposefully established substantial,

4 5

6 7

> 8 9

11

12

10

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

continuous, and systematic contacts with this District. Additionally, Defendants have committed and continue to commit acts of patent infringement in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in California and in this District.

7. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b) for the reasons set forth above and below.

BACKGROUND

- 8. RealD is the owner and assignee of all rights, title, and interest in and under U.S. Patent No. 8,220,934 ("the '934 Patent"), entitled "Polarization Conversion Systems for Stereoscopic Projection," which was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on July 17, 2012, after full and fair examination. A copy of the '934 Patent is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 9. RealD is the owner and assignee of all rights, title, and interest in and under U.S. Patent No. 7,857,455 ("the '455 Patent"), entitled "Combining P and S Rays for Bright Stereoscopic Projection," which was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on December 28, 2010, after full and fair examination. A copy of the '455 Patent is attached as Exhibit B and is incorporated herein by reference.
- RealD is the owner and assignee of all rights, title, and interest in and 10. under U.S. Patent No. 7,959,296 ("the '296 Patent"), entitled "Combining P and S Rays for Bright Stereoscopic Projection," which was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on June 14, 2011, after full and fair A copy of the '296 Patent is attached as Exhibit C and is examination. incorporated herein by reference.
- 11. RealD is the owner and assignee of all rights, title, and interest in and under U.S. Patent No. 7,905,602 ("the '602 Patent"), entitled "Polarization Conversion Systems for Stereoscopic Projection," which was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on March 15, 2011, after full and

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,220,934)

12. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1–11 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

13. Defendants have been and are directly infringing one or more claims of the '934 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, products, including at least the Volfoni Diamond product, which embody one or more claims of the '934 Patent.

14. Defendants have been and are indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '934 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively inducing customers and/or users to use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import in or into the United States, without authority, the Volfoni Diamond product, which embodies one or more claims of the '934 Patent. Defendants have known about the '934 Patent since at least as early as September 2, 2013 when they received a cease and desist letter from RealD identifying the '934 Patent. Since that date, Defendants have continued to offer for sale, sell, and/or import in or into the United States the infringing Volfoni Diamond product. Defendants knew or should have known that their continued sales of the Volfoni Diamond product would induce direct infringement by customers and/or users. Defendants also failed to redesign the Volfoni Diamond product to cease infringement.

15. Defendants have been and are indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '934 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by contributing to the direct infringement of one or more claims of the '934 Patent by customers and/or users of the Volfoni Diamond product, which embodies one or more claims of the '934 Patent, and has no substantial uses that do not infringe one or more claims of the

'934 Patent. Defendants have known about the '934 Patent since at least as early as September 2, 2013 when they received a cease and desist letter from RealD identifying the '934 Patent. Since that date, Defendants have continued to offer for sale, sell, and/or import in or into the United States the infringing Volfoni Diamond product, which Defendants knew or should have known to be especially adapted for use in infringing the '934 Patent and would infringe one or more claims of the '934 Patent if made, used, sold, offered for sale, or imported in or into the United States.

- 16. Defendants' infringement of the '934 Patent has been and is willful. Defendants have known about the '934 Patent since at least as early as September 2, 2013 when they received a cease and desist letter from RealD identifying the '934 Patent. Since that date, Defendants have continued to make, use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import in or into the United States the infringing Volfoni Diamond product despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constituted infringement.
- 17. Defendants' infringement of the '934 Patent has caused damage to RealD, and RealD is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages it has sustained as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts, including lost profits.
- 18. Defendants' infringement of the '934 Patent will continue to damage RealD, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by the Court.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,857,455)

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1–18 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. Defendants have been and are directly infringing one or more claims of the '455 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, products,

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Baker & McKenzie LLP Two Embarcadero Center fan Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: +1 415 576 3000 including at least the Volfoni Diamond product, which embody one or more claims of the '455 Patent.

- 21. Defendants have been and are indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '455 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively inducing customers and/or users to use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import in or into the United States, without authority, the Volfoni Diamond product, which embodies one or more claims of the '455 Patent. Defendants have known about the '455 Patent since at least as early as September 2, 2013 when they received a cease and desist letter from RealD identifying the '455 Patent. Since that date, Defendants have continued to offer for sale, sell, and/or import in or into the United States the infringing Volfoni Diamond product. Defendants knew or should have known that their continued sales of the Volfoni Diamond product would induce direct infringement by customers and/or users. Defendants also failed to redesign the Volfoni Diamond product to cease infringement.
- 22. Defendants have been and are indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '455 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by contributing to the direct infringement of one or more claims of the '455 Patent by customers and/or users of the Volfoni Diamond product, which embodies one or more claims of the '455 Patent, and has no substantial uses that do not infringe one or more claims of the '455 Patent. Defendants have known about the '455 Patent since at least as early as September 2, 2013 when they received a cease and desist letter from RealD identifying the '455 Patent. Since that date, Defendants have continued to offer for sale, sell, and/or import in or into the United States the infringing Volfoni Diamond product, which Defendants knew or should have known to be especially adapted for use in infringing the '455 Patent and would infringe one or more claims of the '455 Patent if made, used, sold, offered for sale, or imported in or into the United States.
 - 23. Defendants' infringement of the '455 Patent has been and is willful.

Case No. _

Defendants have known about the '455 Patent since at least as early as September 2, 2013 when they received a cease and desist letter from RealD identifying the '455 Patent. Since that date, Defendants have continued to make, use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import in or into the United States the infringing Volfoni Diamond product despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constituted infringement.

- 24. Defendants' infringement of the '455 Patent has caused damage to RealD, and RealD is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages it has sustained as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts, including lost profits.
- 25. Defendants' infringement of the '455 Patent will continue to damage RealD, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by the Court.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,959,296)

- 26. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1–25 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 27. Defendants have been and are directly infringing one or more claims of the '296 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, products, including at least the Volfoni Diamond product, which embody one or more claims of the '296 Patent.
- 28. Defendants have been and are indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '296 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively inducing customers and/or users to use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import in or into the United States, without authority, the Volfoni Diamond product, which embodies one or more claims of the '296 Patent. Defendants have known about the '296 Patent since at least as early as September 2, 2013 when they received a cease and desist letter from RealD identifying the '296 Patent. Since that date, Defendants have continued to

offer for sale, sell, and/or import in or into the United States the infringing Volfoni Diamond product. Defendants knew or should have known that their continued sales of the Volfoni Diamond product would induce direct infringement by customers and/or users. Defendants also failed to redesign the Volfoni Diamond product to cease infringement.

- 29. Defendants have been and are indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '296 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by contributing to the direct infringement of one or more claims of the '296 Patent by customers and/or users of the Volfoni Diamond product, which embodies one or more claims of the '296 Patent, and has no substantial uses that do not infringe one or more claims of the '296 Patent. Defendants have known about the '296 Patent since at least as early as September 2, 2013 when they received a cease and desist letter from RealD identifying the '296 Patent. Since that date, Defendants have continued to offer for sale, sell, and/or import in or into the United States the infringing Volfoni Diamond product, which Defendants knew or should have known to be especially adapted for use in infringing the '296 Patent and would infringe one or more claims of the '296 Patent if made, used, sold, offered for sale, or imported in or into the United States.
- 30. Defendants' infringement of the '296 Patent has been and is willful. Defendants have known about the '296 Patent since at least as early as September 2, 2013 when they received a cease and desist letter from RealD identifying the '296 Patent. Since that date, Defendants have continued to make, use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import in or into the United States the infringing Volfoni Diamond product despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constituted infringement.
- 31. Defendants' infringement of the '296 Patent has caused damage to RealD, and RealD is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages it has sustained as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts, including lost profits.

Case No. _

28
Baker & McKenzie LLP

32. Defendants' infringement of the '296 Patent will continue to damage RealD, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by the Court.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,905,602)

- 33. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1–32 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 34. Defendants have been and are directly infringing one or more claims of the '602 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, products, including at least the Volfoni Diamond product, which embody one or more claims of the '602 Patent.
- 35. Defendants have been and are indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '602 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively inducing customers and/or users to use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import in or into the United States, without authority, the Volfoni Diamond product, which embodies one or more claims of the '602 Patent. Defendants have known about the '602 Patent since at least as early as September 2, 2013 when they received a cease and desist letter from RealD identifying the '602 Patent. Since that date, Defendants have continued to offer for sale, sell, and/or import in or into the United States the infringing Volfoni Diamond product. Defendants knew or should have known that their continued sales of the Volfoni Diamond product would induce direct infringement by customers and/or users. Defendants also failed to redesign the Volfoni Diamond product to cease infringement.
- 36. Defendants have been and are indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '602 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by contributing to the direct infringement of one or more claims of the '602 Patent by customers and/or users of the Volfoni Diamond product, which embodies one or more claims of the '602

Case No. _

- 37. Defendants' infringement of the '602 Patent has been and is willful. Defendants have known about the '602 Patent since at least as early as September 2, 2013 when they received a cease and desist letter from RealD identifying the '602 Patent. Since that date, Defendants have continued to make, use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import in or into the United States the infringing Volfoni Diamond product despite an objectively high likelihood that their actions constituted infringement.
- 38. Defendants' infringement of the '602 Patent has caused damage to RealD, and RealD is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages it has sustained as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts, including lost profits.
- 39. Defendants' infringement of the '602 Patent will continue to damage RealD, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by the Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, RealD respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in its favor and against the Defendants as follows:

- a. Declaring that Volfoni, Inc. has infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 8,220,934, 7,857,455, 7,959,296, and 7,905,602;
- b. Declaring that Volfoni, SAS has infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 8,220,934, 7,857,455, 7,959,296, and 7,905,602;

9

Baker & McKenzie LLP Two Embarcadero Center 11th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: +1 415 576 3000

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No.

- Declaring that Volfoni-Rio Asia Company Limited has infringed U.S. c. Patent Nos. 8,220,934, 7,857,455, 7,959,296, and 7,905,602;
- d. Declaring that U.S. Patent Nos. 8,220,934, 7,857,455, 7,959,296, and 7,905,602 are valid;
- Preliminarily enjoining Defendants and their officers, agents, employees, and their privies, from further infringement, including contributory infringement, of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,220,934, 7,857,455, 7,959,296, and 7,905,602;
- f. Permanently enjoining Defendants and their officers, agents, employees, and their privies, from further infringement, including contributory infringement, of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,220,934, 7,857,455, 7,959,296, and 7,905,602;
- Awarding a future compulsory royalty in the event that full injunctive relief is not awarded as requested;
- Awarding damages arising out of Defendants' infringement of U.S. h. Patent Nos. 8,220,934, 7,857,455, 7,959,296, and 7,905,602, including prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- Declaring that Defendants' infringement was willful and awarding i. treble damages and interest to RealD under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- į. Declaring this to be an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to RealD its reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in this action; and
- k. Awarding such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

1	Dated:	March 26, 2014	BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP
2			By: /s/ D. James Pak
3			-
4 5			D. James Pak, State Bar No. 194331 d.james.pak@bakermckenzie.com BAKER & McKENZIE LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 11th Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 576-3000 Facsimile: (415) 576-3099
6			San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 576-3000
7			
8			Attorney for Plaintiff REALD INC.
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
enzie LLP ero Center			Case No

Baker & McKenz Two Embarcadero Center 11th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: +1 415 576 3000

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

RealD hereby demands a jury trial pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules					
of Civil Procedure as to all issues in this lawsuit.					
Dated:	March 26, 2014	BAKER & MCKENZIE	ELLP		
		D /-/ D. J D	11		
		•			
		d. james Pak, State d.james.pak@bakerr BAKER & McKEN Two Embarcadero C	nckenzie.com VZIE LLP Center 11th Floor		
		San Francisco, Calife Telephone: (415) 5' Facsimile: (415) 5'	ornia 94111 76-3000 76-3099		
		REALĎ INC.			
		12	Case No.		
	of Civil	RealD hereby demands	of Civil Procedure as to all issues in this lawsuit.		

Baker & McKenzie LLP Two Embarcadero Center 11th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: +1 415 576 3000