IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

Queen's University at Kingston and	§	
PARTEQ Research and Development	§	
Innovations,	§	
	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-53
	§	
	§	SECOND AMENDED
Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung	§	COMPLAINT FOR
Telecommunications America, LLC,	§	PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND
	§	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
Defendants.	§	

PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs Queen's University at Kingston and PARTEQ Research and Development Innovations (collectively, "Plaintiffs") file this Second Amended Complaint against Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

Parties

1. Plaintiff Queen's University at Kingston ("Queen's University") is a public research university with its principal place of business located at 99 University Avenue, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6. Queen's University was established by Imperial Royal Charter on October 16, 1841, which Charter was continued into the confederation period by section 129 of the BNA Act of 1867. Queen's University is, accordingly, a corporate body created under the royal prerogative by Royal Charter and is a common law corporation. It is the owner of U.S. Patents Nos. 7,762,665; 8,096,660; 8,322,856; and 8,672,482 (the "Patents-in-

Suit").

2. Plaintiff PARTEQ Research and Development Innovations ("PARTEQ") is a not-

for-profit organization organized and existing under the laws of Ontario, Canada, with its

principal place of business located at 1625 Biosciences Complex, Queen's University, Kingston,

Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6. PARTEQ is the exclusive licensee of the Patents-in-Suit.

3. On information and belief, Defendant Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. ("SEC") is a

corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Republic of Korea and has its principal

place of business at 1320-10, Seocho 2-dong, Seocho-gu, Seoul 137 – 857, Republic of Korea.

On information and belief, Defendant Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC ("STA") is

a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Delaware with its principal

place of business at 1301 E. Lookout Dr., Richardson, TX 75082-4124.

4. SEC and STA are referred to collectively herein as "Samsung."

Jurisdiction and Venue

5. This is an action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United

States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to

28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. Venue is proper in this Federal Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(c) and

1400(b). Defendant Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC resides in this district, a

substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this district, Samsung has a

regular and established practice of business in this district, and Samsung has committed acts of

infringement in this district.

Factual Background

7. Plaintiff Queen's University was established in 1841 in Kingston, Ontario and is

one of Canada's oldest degree-granting institutions. Today, Queen's University serves as one of

Canada's top research universities.

8. Dr. Roeland Vertegaal is a Professor in Human-Computer Interaction at Queen's

University, where he directs the Human Media Laboratory at the School of Computing. Dr.

Vertegaal has earned a degree in Electronic Music from Utrecht Conservatory in the

Netherlands, a Masters of Philosophy in Computer Science from Bradford University in

England, and a PhD in Human-Computer Interaction from Twente University in the Netherlands.

9. Dr. Vertegaal's primary field of research includes Attentive User Interfaces

("AUI") and the role of eye communication between humans and in interactions between

humans and technology.

10. Dr. Vertegaal is a named inventor of all three of the Patents-in-Suit. Queen's

University is the assignee of the Patents-in-Suit.

11. Plaintiff PARTEQ was founded in 1987 by Queen's University to commercialize

intellectual property arising from university-generated research, while returning the proceeds

from those activities to researchers and Queen's University. PARTEQ is a not-for-profit

organization that provides institutional researchers with the support necessary to advance their

discoveries to the public. PARTEQ is the exclusive licensee of the Patents-in-Suit.

12. Defendant SEC designs and manufactures a wide range of consumer electronics

and computer-related products, including cellular telephones and tablet devices such as the

Galaxy S4, Galaxy S5, and Galaxy Note 3. Defendant STA, a wholly owned subsidiary of SEC,

engages in the sale of communication equipment. STA develops and markets Samsung-branded

handheld wireless phones throughout North America. SEC and its subsidiaries conduct research

and development through a corporate division called the Samsung Advanced Institute of

Technology ("SAIT"), which also manages SEC's entire United States patent portfolio.

13. From October 2003 to April 2004, PARTEQ and Samsung—through SAIT—

engaged in extensive communications and disclosures regarding Dr. Vertegaal's research and the

related technology, which were contained in a patent application filed March 21, 2003. Samsung

was aware of the 2003 patent application, and the parties had discussions regarding the

application itself. During these discussions, SAIT was explicit about Samsung's interest in the

commercial development, marketability, and application of Dr. Vertegaal's intellectual property

within Samsung's electronics products.

14. On November 13, 2003, SAIT employee Taesuh Park contacted Dr. Vertegaal and

requested a meeting on behalf of Samsung at the Queen's University Human Media Lab. Mr.

Park specifically expressed Samsung's interest in commercializing Dr. Vertegaal's AUI that is

the subject of the Patents-in-Suit.

15. On December 15, 2003, SEC signed a Confidentiality Agreement with PARTEQ.

The agreement broadly covered the AUI research and technology developed by Dr. Vertegaal

and the students and employees of the Human Media Lab.

16. On December 17 and 18, 2003, SAIT employees Dr. Heeseob Ryu and Taesuh

Park visited the Human Media Lab and PARTEQ on behalf of Samsung. Dr. Ryu and Mr. Park

toured Dr. Vertegaal's laboratory and viewed technical demonstrations and presentations related

to the Patents-in-Suit.

17. Following the visit, PARTEQ sent Samsung—through SAIT—additional detailed

information related to Dr. Vertegaal's research and the Patents-in-Suit.

18. On or about January 6, 2004, Mr. Park invited Dr. Vertegaal to participate in

SAIT's New Innovation Team (NIT) program, which Mr. Park called "the most enhanced type of

collaboration" between Samsung and outside researchers. Mr. Park solicited a proposal that

would include existing uses of the AUI in addition to new applications of the technology, all

geared to the business area of Samsung Electronics. In the alternative, Mr. Park proposed "a

project for commercializing your current patent (e.g., 'Attentive TV')."

19. During January and February 2004, Samsung and PARTEQ discussed issues

related to PARTEQ's ownership of intellectual property rights in the then-pending patent

application, including the validity and scope of the applied-for patent. During the course of these

discussions, PARTEQ responded to Samsung's questions about the validity of the applied-for

patent and answered specific queries regarding the application's claims. The parties also

discussed possibilities related to the sale or licensing of the Patents-in-Suit.

20. On or about January 20, 2004, Dr. Vertegaal submitted his NIT proposal to

Samsung through SAIT. The proposal included an attentive home theater system that could

pause video content and perform other functions by processing a user's eye-contact cues. The

proposal also enumerated mobile applications of the patented technology.

21. Samsung responded to Dr. Vertegaal's submission by stating that the proposed

project was not "appropriate" for Samsung's NIT program. Instead, Samsung offered a one-year

collaboration for Dr. Vertegaal to develop the attentive home theater application with SAIT.

However, Samsung later reversed course and informed Dr. Vertegaal and PARTEQ that

Samsung and SAIT were not interested in pursuing the project.

22. In March 2013, Samsung unveiled its Galaxy S4 smartphone, which featured

what Samsung calls "SmartPause technology." In or about October 2013, Samsung included this

technology in its Galaxy Note 3 device. In or about April 2014, Samsung releases the Galaxy S5

smartphone, which also contains the SmartPause feature. As described below, the SmartPause

feature infringes the Patents-in-Suit.

23. Despite its indisputable knowledge of Plaintiffs' patents and the technology

described therein, Samsung has not purchased or licensed any rights to the intellectual property

protected by the Patents-in-Suit.

24. Samsung products implementing the infringing technology are used, offered for

sale, and sold in this district and throughout the United States and imported into the United

States.

Count 1 – Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,762,665

25. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1-24.

26. On July 27, 2010, United States Patent No. 7,762,665 ("the '665 Patent"), was

duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Method and Apparatus for Communication

Between Humans and Devices." Plaintiff Queen's University was assigned the '665 Patent, and,

along with Plaintiff PARTEQ as the exclusive licensee, continues to hold all rights and interest

in the '665 Patent. A true and correct copy of the '665 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

27. On information and belief, Samsung has infringed and continues to infringe one

or more claims of the '665 patent by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, offer to sell, and/or

active inducement of others to sell or use in an infringing manner, at least cell phones and other

products that infringe one or more claims of the '665 Patent, including but not limited to the

6

3136113v1/013771

Samsung Galaxy S4, Samsung Galaxy S5, and Samsung Galaxy Note 3, which practice

processes that infringe on one or more claims of the '665 Patent. Samsung is liable for its

infringement of the '665 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

28. Samsung's acts of infringement have caused damage to Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs

are entitled to recover from Samsung the damages sustained by Plaintiffs as a result of

Samsung's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Samsung's infringement of

Plaintiffs' exclusive rights under the '665 Patent will continue to damage Plaintiffs, causing

irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

29. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Samsung has

had communications and contact with Plaintiffs and are fully aware of Plaintiffs' relevant

technology including the '665 Patent; that Samsung has proceeded to infringe the '665 Patent

with full and complete knowledge of the patent and its applicability to their products without any

attempt to take a license under the '665 Patent and without a good faith belief that the '665

Patent is invalid or not infringed, and thus Samsung's infringement of the '665 Patent is willful

and deliberate, entitling Plaintiffs to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's

fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

Count 2 – Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,096,660

30. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1-24.

31. On January 17, 2012, United States Patent No. 8,096,660 ("the '660 Patent") was

duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Method and Apparatus for Communication

Between Humans and Devices." Plaintiff Queen's University was assigned the '660 Patent, and,

along with Plaintiff PARTEQ as the exclusive licensee, continues to hold all rights and interest

in the '660 Patent. A true and correct copy of the '660 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

32. On information and belief, Samsung has infringed and continues to infringe one

or more claims of the '660 patent by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, offer to sell, and/or

active inducement of others to sell or use in an infringing manner, at least cell phones and other

products that infringe one or more claims of the '660 Patent, including but not limited to the

Samsung Galaxy S4, Samsung Galaxy S5, and Samsung Galaxy Note 3.

33. Samsung's acts of infringement have caused damage to Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs

are entitled to recover from Samsung the damages sustained by Plaintiffs as a result of

Samsung's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Samsung's infringement of

Plaintiffs' exclusive rights under the '660 Patent will continue to damage Plaintiffs, causing

irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

34. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Samsung has

had communications and contact with Plaintiffs and are fully aware of Plaintiffs' relevant

technology including the '660 Patent; that Samsung has proceeded to infringe the '660 Patent

with full and complete knowledge of the patent and its applicability to their products without any

attempt to take a license under the '660 Patent and without a good faith belief that the '660

Patent is invalid or not infringed, and thus Samsung's infringement of the '660 Patent is willful

and deliberate, entitling Plaintiffs to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's

fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

Count 3 – Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,322,856

35. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1-24.

36. On December 4, 2012, United States Patent No. 8,322,856 ("the '856 Patent")

was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Method and Apparatus for Communication

Between Humans and Devices." Plaintiff Queen's University was assigned the '856 Patent, and,

along with Plaintiff PARTEQ as the exclusive licensee, continues to hold all rights and interest

in the '856 Patent. A true and correct copy of the '856 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

37. On information and belief, Samsung has infringed and continues to infringe one

or more claims of the '856 Patent by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, offer to sell, and/or

active inducement of others to sell or use in an infringing manner, at least cell phones and other

products that infringe one or more claims of the '856 Patent, including but not limited to the

Samsung Galaxy S4, Samsung Galaxy S5, and Samsung Galaxy Note 3.

38. Samsung's acts of infringement have caused damage to Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs

are entitled to recover from Samsung the damages sustained by Plaintiffs as a result of

Samsung's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Samsung's infringement of

Plaintiffs' exclusive rights under the '856 Patent will continue to damage Plaintiffs, causing

irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

39. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Samsung has

had communications and contact with Plaintiffs and are fully aware of Plaintiffs' relevant

technology including the '856 Patent; that Samsung has proceeded to infringe the '856 Patent

with full and complete knowledge of the patent and its applicability to their products without any

attempt to take a license under the '856 Patent and without a good faith belief that the '856

Patent is invalid or not infringed, and thus Samsung's infringement of the '856 Patent is willful

and deliberate, entitling Plaintiffs to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's

fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

Count 4 – Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,672,482

40. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1-24.

41. On March 18, 2014, United States Patent No. 8,672,482 ("the '482 Patent") was

duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Method and Apparatus for Communication

Between Humans and Devices." Plaintiff Queen's University was assigned the '482 Patent, and,

along with Plaintiff PARTEQ as the exclusive licensee, continues to hold all rights and interest

in the '482 Patent. A true and correct copy of the '482 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

42. On information and belief, Samsung has infringed and continues to infringe one

or more claims of the '482 Patent by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, offer to sell, and/or

active inducement of others to sell or use in an infringing manner, at least cell phones and other

products that infringe one or more claims of the '482 Patent, including but not limited to the

Samsung Galaxy S4, Samsung Galaxy S5, and Samsung Galaxy Note 3.

43. Samsung's acts of infringement have caused damage to Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs

are entitled to recover from Samsung the damages sustained by Plaintiffs as a result of

Samsung's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Samsung's infringement of

Plaintiffs' exclusive rights under the '482 Patent will continue to damage Plaintiffs, causing

irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Queen's University and PARTEQ request entry of judgment in

their favor and against Defendants SEC and STA as follows:

10

3136113v1/013771

Case 2:14-cv-00053-JRG-RSP Document 25 Filed 04/21/14 Page 11 of 13 PageID #: 246

a) Declaring that Defendants SEC and STA have infringed U.S. Patent Nos.

7,762,665; 8,096,660; 8,322,856; and 8,672,482.

b) Permanently enjoining Defendants SEC and STA and their respective officers,

agents, employees, and those acting in privity with them, from further infringement,

including contributory infringement and/or inducing infringement, of U.S. Patent Nos.

7,762,665; 8,096,660; 8,322,856; and 8,672,482 or in the alternative, awarding a post-

judgment royalty for post-judgment infringement;

c) Declaring that Defendants SEC's and STA's infringement U.S. Patent Nos.

7,762,665; 8,096,660; and 8,322,856 has been willful and deliberate.

d) Awarding damages arising out of Defendants SEC's and STA's infringement of

U.S. Patent Nos. 7,762,665; 8,096,660; 8,322,856; and 8,672,482 including enhanced

damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, to Plaintiffs, together with prejudgment and post-

judgment interest, in an amount according to proof;

e) Awarding attorney's fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 or as otherwise permitted

by law; and

f) Awarding such other costs and further relief as the Court may deem just and

proper.

Jury Demand

Plaintiffs request a trial by jury.

Dated: April 21, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Bill Carmody

Bill Carmody (Lead Attorney) State Bar No. 03823650 SUSMAN GODFREY LLP 560 Lexington Avenue, 15th Floor New York, New York 10022-6828 Telephone: (212) 336-8330 Fax: (212) 336-8340

Ian Crosby
WA State Bar No. 28461
Kristin Malone
WA State Bar No. 46251
SUSMAN GODFREY LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800
Seattle, Washington 98101

Telephone: (206) 516-3880

Fax: (206) 516-3883

David Peterson State Bar No. 24056123 SUSMAN GODFREY LLP 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100 Houston, Texas 77002-5096 Telephone: (713) 653-7873 Fax: (713) 654-6666

Counsel for Plaintiffs Queen's University at Kingston and PARTEQ Innovations

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 21, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of

Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of

record.

DATED: April 21, 2014

/s/ Kristin Malone