1	IRELL & MANELLA LLP		
2	Morgan Chu (#70446)		
3	mchu@irell.com 1800 Avenue of the Stars		
4	Los Angeles, CA 90067		
5	Telephone: (310) 277-1010		
6			
	David C. McPhie (#231520)		
7	Detrick McGill (#28/232)		
8	pmcgill@irell.com		
9	David B. Clark (#275204) dclark@irell.com		
10	840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400		
11	Newport Beach, CA 92660		
12	Telephone: (949) 760-0991 Facsimile: (949) 760-5200		
13			
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff Audatex North America, Inc.		
15			
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17			
18	AUDATEX NORTH AMERICA, INC.,	Case No. 13cv1523-BEN (BLM)	
19	Plaintiff,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
20))	
21	V.) SUPPLEMENTED FIRST	
22	MITCHELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR	
23		PATENT INFRINGEMENT	
24	Defendant.		
25			
26			
27			
28			
A LLP			

IRELL & MANELLA LLP
A Registered Limited Liability
Law Partnership Including
Professional Corporations

Supplemented First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement Case No. 13cv1523–BEN (BLM))

	Plaintiff Audatex North America, Inc. ("Audatex") for its Supplemented First		
	Amended Complaint against Mitchell International, Inc. ("Mitchell") alleges as		
	follows:		
	THE PARTIES		
	1. Audatex is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of		
	the State of Delaware, which transacts business in Delaware and throughout the		
	United States, and has its principal place of business at 15030 Avenue of Science,		
	Suite 100, San Diego, California 92128.		
	2. On information and belief, Mitchell is a corporation duly organized and		
	existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, which transacts business in		
	Delaware and throughout the United States, and has a principal place of business at		
	6220 Greenwich Drive, San Diego, California, 92122.		
	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
	3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§		
1331 and 1338(a) because this is an action for patent infringement under the patent			
	laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 et seq., including 35 U.S.C. § 271.		
	4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Mitchell because,		
	among other reasons, Mitchell's headquarters and principal place of business is in		
San Diego, California.			
	5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§		
1391(b)-(d) and 1400(b), because, among other reasons, Defendant Mitchell does			
business in this district and is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district.			
GENERAL ALLEGATIONS			
	6. Audatex and Mitchell are two of the largest competitors in the		
	insurance estimation and loss valuation industry. The major customers of the		
products offered by Audatex and Mitchell include insurance companies and			

1	collision repair facilities. Audatex and Mitchell compete with each other for these
2	customers.
3	7. One of Mitchell's primary products is its "WorkCenter" software.
4	Mitchell advertises its "WorkCenter" software to insurance companies, collision
5	repair facilities, and other customers via various media, including its website, paper
6	marketing materials, trade shows and/or presentations to its potential and actual
7	customers. Mitchell's marketing materials contain, among other things, information
8	about the features of its products, instructions about how to use the products, and
9	demonstrations of how the products are intended to work.
10	CLAIM 1
11	(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,912,740 B2)
12	8. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 7 above are incorporated as
13	though set forth fully herein.
14	9. On March 22, 2011, United States Patent No. 7,912,740 B2 ("the '740
15	Patent") was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled: "System and Method
16	for Processing Work Products for Vehicles Via the World Wide Web."
17	10. The '740 Patent was initially assigned to Claims Services Group, Inc.,
18	which subsequently assigned the '740 Patent to Audatex. Audatex currently holds
19	all rights, title, and interest in the '740 Patent. A true and correct copy of the '740
20	Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
21	11. Audatex uses and sells an embodiment of the '740 Patent in
22	conjunction with its Audatex Estimating system and Autosource product which
23	generates valuation reports. Audatex marks by denoting the patent number on the
24	valuation reports. In other words, each time that an Audatex Autosource valuation
25	report is generated, the report contains a reference to the '740 Patent.
26	12. On February 6, 2012, Audatex filed its original complaint for patent
27	infringement against Mitchell, which contained a claim for infringement of the '740
28	

Patent. Mitchell has acknowledged in press releases that it thus has been on notice of the '740 Patent at least since February of 2012.

- 13. On information and belief, Mitchell also had actual knowledge of the '740 Patent before Audatex filed its original complaint. Because Mitchell and Audatex are two of the largest competitors in the insurance estimation and loss valuation industry, and regularly compete for the same customers, Mitchell closely monitors Audatex's technology, and Audatex's products, which are marked with the '740 patent. For example, on information and belief, Mitchell has obtained one or more Audatex Autosource valuation reports. Indeed, hard copies of the Audatex Autosource reports identifying the '740 patent are typically provided to each of the hundreds of thousands of claimants for whom such reports are generated. Additionally, customers of Audatex and Mitchell routinely perform competitive analysis and frequently share the results of such analysis with Audatex and Mitchell. Thus, on information and belief, Mitchell became aware of the '740 Patent through its competitive monitoring of Audatex. Mitchell therefore knew or should have known that there was an objectively high risk that its "WorkCenter" software was infringing the claims of the '740 Patent.
- 14. Mitchell has infringed and is currently infringing the '740 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, by making, using, selling and/or offering for sale products that infringe the '740 Patent, including Mitchell's "WorkCenter" software and related services.
- 15. Mitchell also has actively induced, and continues to actively induce, infringement of the '740 Patent by, among other things, using its marketing materials to instruct its customers to operate the accused products in a manner that infringes the claims of the '740 Patent. Mitchell intends that its customers will use its "WorkCenter" software in a manner that infringes the '740 Patent and knows that

1	its customers are using its "WorkCenter" software in a manner that infringes the		
2	'740 Patent.		
3	16. Mitchell also has contributorily infringed and continues to		
4	contributorily infringe the '740 Patent by offering to sell and/or selling within the		
5	United States to its customers one or more components of a machine, manufacture,		
6	or combination covered by the '740 Patent that constitute a material part of the		
7	invention, which is not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for		
8	substantial non-infringing use. On information and belief, Mitchell knows that its		
9	"WorkCenter" software is especially made or especially adapted for use in		
10	infringing the '740 Patent.		
11	17. Mitchell's acts of infringement have caused damage to Audatex, and		
12	Audatex is entitled to recover from Mitchell the damages sustained by Audatex as a		
13	result of its individual wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.		
14	Mitchell's infringement of Audatex's exclusive rights under the '740 Patent will		
15	continue to damage Audatex, causing irreparable harm, for which there is no		
16	adequate remedy at law, unless it is enjoined by this Court.		
17	18. Despite its knowledge of the '740 Patent and its knowledge that there is		
18	an objectively high likelihood that its actions constitute infringement of the '740		
19	Patent, Mitchell has infringed and continues to infringe the '740 patent with its		
20	"WorkCenter" software. Accordingly, Mitchell's infringement has been and		
21	continues to be willful.		
22	CLAIM 2		
23	(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,200,513 B2)		
24	19. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 18 above are incorporated as		
25	though set forth fully herein.		
26			
27			
28			

- 20. On June 12, 2012, United States Patent No. 8,200,513 B2 ("the '513 Patent") was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled: "System and Method for Processing Work Products for Vehicles Via the World Wide Web."

 21. Audatex is the assignee of the '513 Patent and currently holds all rights.
- 21. Audatex is the assignee of the '513 Patent and currently holds all rights, title, and interest in the '513 Patent. A true and correct copy of the '513 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 22. Audatex uses and sells an embodiment of the '513 Patent in conjunction with its Audatex Estimating system and Autosource product that generate valuation reports. Audatex marks by denoting the patent number on the valuation reports. In other words, each time that an Audatex Autosource valuation report is generated, the report contains a reference to the '513 Patent.
- 23. On information and belief, Mitchell had actual knowledge of the '513 Patent before Audatex filed its first amended complaint. Because Mitchell and Audatex are two of the largest competitors in the insurance estimation and loss valuation industry, and regularly compete for the same customers, Mitchell closely monitors Audatex's technology, and Audatex's products, which are marked with the '513 patent. For example, on information and belief, Mitchell has obtained one or more Audatex Autosource valuation reports. Indeed, hard copies of the Audatex Autosource reports identifying the '513 patent are typically provided to each claimant for whom such reports are generated. Additionally, customers of Audatex and Mitchell routinely perform competitive analysis and frequently share the results of such analysis with Audatex and Mitchell. Thus, on information and belief, Mitchell became aware of the '513 Patent through its competitive monitoring of Audatex.
- 24. Moreover, the '513 Patent is a direct continuation of the '740 Patent's application. At the time that the '513 Patent issued, Mitchell had issued press

releases regarding the '740 Patent and Audatex's claims for infringement of the '740 Patent.

- 25. Mitchell therefore knew or should have known that there was an objectively high risk that its "WorkCenter" software was infringing the claims of the '513 Patent.
- 26. Moreover, and independent of Mitchell's previous knowledge of the '513 Patent, Mitchell also has knowledge of the '513 Patent based on its first amended complaint.
- 27. Mitchell has infringed and is currently infringing the '513 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, by making, using, selling and/or offering for sale products that infringe the '513 Patent, including Mitchell's "WorkCenter" software and related services.
- 28. Mitchell also has actively induced, and continues to actively induce, infringement of the '513 Patent by, among other things, using its marketing materials to instruct its customers to operate the accused products in a manner that infringes the claims of the '513 Patent. Mitchell intends that its customers will use its "WorkCenter" software in a manner that infringes the '513 Patent and knows that its customers are using its "WorkCenter" software in a manner that infringes the '513 Patent.
- 29. Mitchell also has contributorily infringed and continues to contributorily infringe the '513 Patent by offering to sell and/or selling within the United States to its customers one or more components of a machine, manufacture, or combination covered by the '513 Patent that constitute a material part of the invention, which is not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. On information and belief, Mitchell knows that its "WorkCenter" software is especially made or especially adapted for use in infringing the '513 Patent.

2987173.2

1	30. Mitchell's acts of infringement have caused damage to Audatex, and		
2	Audatex is entitled to recover from Mitchell the damages sustained by Audatex as a		
3	result of its individual wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.		
4	Mitchell's infringement of Audatex's exclusive rights under the '513 Patent will		
5	continue to damage Audatex, causing irreparable harm, for which there is no		
6	adequate remedy at law, unless it is enjoined by this Court.		
7	31. Despite its knowledge of the '513 Patent and its knowledge that there is		
8	an objectively high likelihood that its actions constitute infringement of the '513		
9	Patent, Mitchell has infringed and continues to infringe the '513 patent with its		
10	"WorkCenter" software. Accordingly, Mitchell's infringement has been and		
11	continues to be willful.		
12	CLAIM 3		
13	(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,468,038 B2)		
14	32. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 31 above are incorporated as		
15	though set forth fully herein.		
16	33. On June 18, 2013, United States Patent No. 8,468,038 B2 ("the '038		
17	Patent") was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled: "System and Method		
18	for Processing Work Products for Vehicles Via the World Wide Web."		
19	34. Audatex is the assignee of the '038 Patent and currently holds all rights		
20	title, and interest in the '038 Patent. A true and correct copy of the '038 Patent is		
21	attached hereto as Exhibit C.		
22	35. Audatex uses and sells an embodiment of the '038 Patent in		
23	conjunction with its Audatex Estimating system and Autosource product that		
24	generate valuation reports. Audatex marks by denoting the patent number on the		
25	valuation reports. In other words, each time that an Audatex Autosource valuation		
26	report is generated, the report contains a reference to the '038 Patent.		

28

1	36. On information and belief, Mitchell had actual knowledge of the '038		
2	Patent before Audatex filed this supplemented complaint. Because Mitchell and		
3	Audatex are two of the largest competitors in the insurance estimation and loss		
4	valuation industry, and regularly compete for the same customers, Mitchell closely		
5	monitors Audatex's technology, and Audatex's products, which are marked with the		
6	'038 patent. For example, on information and belief, Mitchell has obtained one or		
7	more Audatex Autosource valuation reports. Indeed, hard copies of the Audatex		
8	Autosource reports identifying the '038 patent are typically provided to each		
9	claimant for whom such reports are generated. Additionally, customers of Audatex		
10	and Mitchell routinely perform competitive analysis and frequently share the results		
11	of such analysis with Audatex and Mitchell. Thus, on information and belief,		
12	Mitchell became aware of the '038 Patent through its competitive monitoring of		
13	Audatex.		
14	37. Moreover, the '038 Patent is a continuation of the '740 Patent's		
15	application. At the time that the '038 Patent issued, Mitchell had issued press		

- application. At the time that the '038 Patent is a continuation of the '740 Patent's releases regarding the '740 Patent and Audatex's claims for infringement of the '740 Patent.
- 38. Mitchell therefore knew or should have known that there was an objectively high risk that its "WorkCenter" software was infringing the claims of the '038 Patent.
- 39. Moreover, and independent of Mitchell's previous knowledge of the '038 Patent, Mitchell also has knowledge of the '038 Patent based on this complaint.
- 40. Mitchell has infringed and is currently infringing the '038 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, by making, using, selling and/or offering for sale products that infringe the '513 Patent, including Mitchell's "WorkCenter" software and related services.

- 8 -

27

26

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

- 41. Mitchell also has actively induced, and continues to actively induce, infringement of the '038 Patent by, among other things, using its marketing materials to instruct its customers to operate the accused products in a manner that infringes the claims of the '038 Patent. Mitchell intends that its customers will use its "WorkCenter" software in a manner that infringes the '038 Patent and knows that its customers are using its "WorkCenter" software in a manner that infringes the '038 Patent.
- 42. Mitchell also has contributorily infringed and continues to contributorily infringe the '038 Patent by offering to sell and/or selling within the United States to its customers one or more components of a machine, manufacture, or combination covered by the '038 Patent that constitute a material part of the invention, which is not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. On information and belief, Mitchell knows that its "WorkCenter" software is especially made or especially adapted for use in infringing the '038 Patent.
- 43. Mitchell's acts of infringement have caused damage to Audatex, and Audatex is entitled to recover from Mitchell the damages sustained by Audatex as a result of its individual wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Mitchell's infringement of Audatex's exclusive rights under the '038 Patent will continue to damage Audatex, causing irreparable harm, for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless it is enjoined by this Court.
- 44. Despite its knowledge of the '038 Patent and its knowledge that there is an objectively high likelihood that its actions constitute infringement of the '038 Patent, Mitchell has infringed and continues to infringe the '038 patent with its "WorkCenter" software. Accordingly, Mitchell's infringement has been and continues to be willful.

1		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
2	WHE	EREFORE, Audatex prays for judgment and seeks relief against Mitchell
3	as follows:	
4	1.	That Mitchell has infringed, induced infringement of, and/or
5	contributor	ily infringed one or more of the claims of each of the patents-in-suit;
6	2.	That Mitchell and its affiliates, subsidiaries, directors, officers,
7	employees,	attorneys, agents, and all persons in active concert or participation with
8	any of them be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from further acts of	
9	infringeme	nt, inducing infringement, and/or contributory infringement of the
10	patents-in-s	suit;
11	3.	That Mitchell pay Audatex damages which in no event shall be less
12	than a reaso	onable royalty, together with interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
13	4.	That Mitchell be ordered to provide an accounting;
14	5.	That this be adjudged an exceptional case and that Audatex be awarded
15	its reasonab	ole attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285;
16	6.	That Mitchell's infringement has been willful and that the damages wil
17	be increase	d under 35 U.S.C. § 284 to three times the amount found or measured;
18	7.	That Mitchell be required to pay pre- and post-judgment interest on the
19	assessed da	mages; and
20	8.	That Audatex be awarded any other and further relief as this Court
21	deems just	and proper.
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Audatex hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.
3	
4	IRELL & MANELLA LLP
5	By: /s/ Patrick McGill
6	Morgan Chu (#70446)
7	mchu@irell.com 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
8	Los Angeles, CA 90067
9	Telephone: (310) 277-1010 Facsimile: (310) 203-7199
10	
11	David C. McPhie (#231520) dmcphie@irell.com
12	Patrick McGill (#284332)
13	pmcgill@irell.com David B. Clark (#275204)
14	dclark@irell.com
15	840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400 Newport Beach, CA 92660
16	Telephone: (949) 760-0991
17	Facsimile: (949) 760-5200
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff Audatex North
19	America, Inc.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

IRELL & MANELLA LLP
A Registered Limited Liability
Law Partnership Including
Professional Corporations

2987173.2

Supplemented First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement Case No. 13cv1523–BEN (BLM))

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the		
3	age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 840 Newport		
4	Center Drive, Suite 400, Newport Beach, California 92660-6324. I declare that I am a member of the bar of this Court.		
5	On April 23, 2014, I served the foregoing document described as		
6	SUPPLEMENTED FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT on each interested party, as follows:		
7	INFRINGENIENI ON 6	each interested	a party, as follows:
8	(BY E-FILE): I caused such documents to be transmitted by e-file		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9			Court by using the CM/ECF system, which will onic filing to the following:
10	Mario Moore		Colm Connolly
11	mario.moore@morganle	wis.com	cconnolly@morganlewis.com
12	5 Park Plaza, Suite 1750		1007 Orange Street, Suite 501 Wilmington, DE, 19801
13	Wilmington, DE 19801 Tel: (949) 399-7000 Tel: (302) 574-3000		
14			
15	Jason C. White jwhite@morganlewis.com		
16	David N. Patariu		
17	dpatariu@morganlewis.com Mansi H. Shah		
18	mshah@morganlewis.com		
19	77 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601		
20	Tel: (312) 324-1000		
21	Attorneys for Defendant Mitchell International, Inc.		
22			
23	Executed on April 23, 2014, at Newport Beach, California.		
24	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.		
25	Patrick McGill (pmcgi		
26	(Type or print		(Signature)
27			
28			

IRELL & MANELLA LLP
A Registered Limited Liability
Law Partnership Including
Professional Corporations

2987173.2

Supplemented First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement Case No. 13cv1523–BEN (BLM))