IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

IODAPT, LLC,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:14-cv-557

v.

1) CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.; and

2) BELKIN INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

1. This is an action for patent infringement in which IOdapt, LLC ("IOdapt" or "Plaintiff"), makes the following allegations against Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Cisco") and Belkin International, Inc. ("Belkin")(collectively, "Defendants").

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff is a Texas limited liability company with its principal place of business at 1333 W. McDermott Drive, Suite 241, Allen, Texas 75013. IOdapt's president is Daniel F. Perez.
- 3. On information and belief, Defendant Cisco is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 170 West Tasman Drive, San Jose, CA 95134. On information and belief, Cisco's registered agent for service of process in Texas is Prentice Hall Corp. System, 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701.
- 4. On information and belief, Defendant Belkin is a Delaware Corporation with its principal place of business at 12045 E. Waterfront Drive, Playa Vista, CA 90094. On

information and belief, Defendant Belkin has not appointed an agent for service of process in Texas.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 6. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendant has transacted business in this district, and has committed and/or induced acts of patent infringement in this district.
- 7. On information and belief, each of Defendants is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and in this Judicial District.

COUNT I

WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,402,109

- 8. Plaintiff is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 8,402,109 ("the '109 Patent") entitled "Wireless Router Remote Firmware Upgrade" including all rights to recover for past and future acts of infringement. The '109 Patent issued on Mar. 19, 2013. A true and correct copy of the '109 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendants, either directly or through intermediaries, including distributors, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, suppliers and/or customers, made, had made, used, imported, provided, supplied, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale products and/or systems (the "infringing products/systems") that infringe or, when used by their intended users in the manner intended by Defendants, infringe(d) one or more claims of the '109 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere

in the United States. On information and belief, these products include numerous Linksys wireless routers, including but not limited to the wireless routers designated E3200, E4200, EA3500, EA4500, EA6500 and EA6900.

- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Cisco, either directly or through intermediaries, including distributors, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, suppliers and/or customers, made, had made, used, imported, provided, supplied, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale products and/or systems (the "infringing products/systems") that infringe or, when used by their intended users in the manner intended by Defendants, infringe(d) one or more claims of the '109 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States. On information and belief, these products include numerous Meraki wireless LAN products, including but not limited to the MR 12/18/26/34/62/66 products.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant Cisco received a prototype comprising the patented invention, under a non-disclosure agreement entered into between Cisco and one or more of the inventors of the '109 patent in March of 2006.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter:

- a. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Defendants have directly infringed the '109 Patent;
- b. A permanent injunction enjoining Defendants and their officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringement of the '109 Patent;

- c. A judgment and order requiring Defendants to pay Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest for Defendants' infringement of the '109 Patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- d. An award to Plaintiff for enhanced damages resulting from the knowing, deliberate, and willful nature of Defendants' prohibited conduct with notice being made at least as early as the filing of this Complaint, as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- e. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees; and
 - f. Any and all other relief to which Plaintiff may show itself to be entitled.

Dated: April 28, 2014 Respectfully Submitted,

IODAPT, LLC

By:/s/ Kenneth Thomas Emanuelson Kenneth Thomas Emanuelson Texas State Bar No. 24012593 The Emanuelson Firm 4053 Santa Barbara Drive Dallas, Texas 75214 Phone: 469-363-5808 ken@emanuelson.us

/s/ Ronald W. Burns
Ronald W. Burns
Texas State Bar No. 24031903
Ronald W. Burns, Esq.
15139 Woodbluff Drive
Frisco, Texas 75035
Phone: 972-632-9009
rburns@burnsiplaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF IODAPT, LLC