UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

ELI LILLY AND COMPANY,)	
DAIICHI SANKYO CO., LTD.,)	
DAIICHI SANKYO, INC.,)	
and UBE INDUSTRIES, LTD.,)	
)	
	Plaintiffs,)	
V.)	CASE NO. 1:14-cv-1064
)	
PANACEA BIOTEC, LTD.,)	
)	
	Defendant.)	

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Eli Lilly and Company, Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., Daiichi Sankyo, Inc., and Ube Industries, Ltd. (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), for their Complaint against defendant Panacea Biotec, Ltd. ("Panacea"), hereby allege as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Eli Lilly and Company ("Lilly") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Indiana and has a principal place of business at Lilly Corporate Center, Indianapolis, Indiana 46285.

2. Plaintiff Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd. ("Daiichi Sankyo") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan and has a principal place of business at 3-5-1, Nihonbashi-honcho, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 103-8426, Japan.

3. Plaintiff Daiichi Sankyo, Inc. ("DSI") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and has a principal place of business at Two Hilton Court, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054.

Case 1:14-cv-01064-SEB-DKL Document 1 Filed 06/26/14 Page 2 of 12 PageID #: 2

4. DSI is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Daiichi Sankyo U.S. Holdings, Inc., which is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and has a principal place of business at Two Hilton Court, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054.

Daiichi Sankyo U.S. Holdings, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Daiichi Sankyo.

6. Plaintiff Ube Industries, Ltd. ("Ube") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan and has a principal place of business at 1978-96, Kogushi, Ube, Yamaguchi 755-8633, Japan.

7. Defendant Panacea is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of India and has a principal place of business at B-1, Extension/G-3, Mohan Co-Operative Industrial Estate, Mathura Road, New Delhi, 110044, India.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

8. This is a civil action for patent infringement under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, arising out of the filing by Panacea of an Abbreviated New Drug Application ("ANDA") with the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") seeking approval to manufacture and sell generic versions of Lilly's pharmaceutical products, Effient® 5mg and 10mg tablets, prior to the expiration of Daiichi Sankyo's and Ube's United States Patent Nos. 8,404,703 and 8,569,325, which cover methods of using Effient® products and are exclusively licensed to Lilly.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This patent infringement action arises under the United States Patent Laws, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2). This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), 2201, and 2202.

Case 1:14-cv-01064-SEB-DKL Document 1 Filed 06/26/14 Page 3 of 12 PageID #: 3

10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Panacea because, among other reasons, Panacea has directed its intentionally infringing conduct toward Plaintiffs, including Lilly, which has a principal place of business in Indiana. Upon information and belief, and consistent with its practice with respect to other generic products, following any FDA approval of Panacea's ANDA for generic versions of Effient®, Panacea knows and intends that its generic products will be marketed, distributed, and sold throughout the United States and within Indiana and the Southern District of Indiana, and knows that Lilly will be injured by such actions in Indiana and the Southern District of Indiana.

11. Furthermore, upon information and belief, Panacea markets, sells, and distributes generic drugs throughout the United States, either directly or through its labeling and distribution agreements with U.S.-based companies, including within the State of Indiana and the Southern District of Indiana, and enjoys substantial income from the sales of those drugs in this State. Upon information and belief, Panacea has engaged in and maintained systematic and continuous business contacts within the State of Indiana and the Southern District of Indiana and has purposefully availed itself of the benefits and protections of the laws of Indiana.

12. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and1400(b).

THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT

13. On March 26, 2013, the USPTO duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 8,404,703 ("the '703 patent"), entitled "Medicinal Compositions Containing Aspirin." The '703 patent is assigned to Daiichi Sankyo and Ube. A copy of the '703 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

14. On October 29, 2013, the USPTO duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 8,569,325 ("the '325 patent"), entitled "Method of Treatment with Coadministration of Aspirin and Prasugrel." The '325 patent is assigned to Daiichi Sankyo and Ube. A copy of the '325 patent is attached as Exhibit B.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Effient® Products

15. Lilly is an exclusive licensee to the '703 and '325 patents, which cover methods of using Effient® products.

16. Effient® products were approved by the FDA for the reduction of thrombotic cardiovascular events in certain patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) who are to be managed with percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI, or angioplasty).

17. Effient® products contain prasugrel hydrochloride, which is known as 5-[(1RS)-2-cyclopropyl-1-(2-fluorophenyl)-2-oxoethyl]-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridin-2-yl acetate hydrochloride or 2-acetoxy-5-(-cyclopropylcarbonyl-2-fluorobenzy1)-4,5,6,7tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine hydrochloride.

18. Effient[®] products are formulated in two strengths, EQ 5 mg or EQ 10 mg base of prasugrel hydrochloride, where the EQ 10 mg base dose is the reference listed drug.

19. The instructions accompanying Effient® products state that patients taking Effient® products should also take aspirin.

20. The use of Effient® products in combination with aspirin for the reduction of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with ACS who are to be managed with PCI is covered by the claims of the '703 and '325 patents.

21. Lilly holds an approved New Drug Application, No. 22-307, for the manufacture and sale of Effient® products, 5 mg and 10 mg prasugrel hydrochloride tablets, in the United States (the "Effient® NDA").

22. Lilly currently markets Effient® products in the United States.

23. DSI currently co-promotes Effient® products in the United States with Lilly.

24. Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(b)(1) and attendant FDA regulations, the '703 and '325 patents are listed in the FDA publication, *Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations* (the "Orange Book"), as covering Effient® products.

Infringement by Panacea

25. Panacea has knowledge of the '703 and '325 patents and has submitted Abbreviated New Drug Application No. 205897 (the "Panacea ANDA") to the FDA pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j), seeking approval to market prasugrel hydrochloride tablets for oral administration (the "Panacea Products") in the United States.

26. The active ingredient and strength of the Panacea Products is 5 mg and 10 mg EQ base of prasugrel hydrochloride.

27. On or about May 14, 2014, Panacea sent Lilly, Daiichi Sankyo, and Ube a letter, dated May 14, 2014, and an attached memorandum (collectively, the "Panacea Notification") stating that Panacea had included within its ANDA a certification pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) that the '703 and '325 patents are invalid, unenforceable, and/or will not be infringed by the manufacture, use, importation, sale or offer for sale of the Panacea Products in the United States ("Panacea Paragraph IV Certification").

Case 1:14-cv-01064-SEB-DKL Document 1 Filed 06/26/14 Page 6 of 12 PageID #: 6

28. The prasugrel hydrochloride active ingredient in the Panacea Products is the same as the prasugrel hydrochloride active ingredient in Effient® products. 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2); 21 C.F.R. § 314.94(a)(5).

29. The Panacea ANDA refers to and relies upon the Effient® NDA and contains data that, according to Panacea, demonstrates that the Panacea Products and Effient® products are bioequivalent. 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2); 21 C.F.R. § 314.94(a)(7).

30. Panacea will knowingly accompany the Panacea Products with instructions for use that substantially copy the instructions for Effient® products, including instructions for administering the Panacea Products with aspirin as claimed in the '703 and '325 patents. 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2); 21 C.F.R. § 314.94(a)(4), and (8).

31. Panacea knows that the instructions that will accompany the Panacea Products will induce and/or contribute to others using the Panacea Products in the manner set forth in the instructions.

32. Physicians, health care providers, and/or patients will directly infringe one or more claims of the '703 and '325 patents by using the Panacea Products in accordance with the instructions provided by Panacea, after the FDA approves the Panacea ANDA.

33. Panacea specifically intends that physicians, health care providers, and/or patients will use the Panacea Products in accordance with the instructions provided by Panacea to directly infringe one or more claims of the '703 and '325 patents. Panacea therefore will actively induce and/or contribute to infringement of the '703 and '325 patents.

34. Panacea knowingly has taken and intends to take active steps to induce and/or contribute to physicians, health care providers, and/or patients using the Panacea Products in a manner that directly infringes at least one claim of the '703 and '325 patents.

Case 1:14-cv-01064-SEB-DKL Document 1 Filed 06/26/14 Page 7 of 12 PageID #: 7

35. Panacea designed the Panacea Products for use in a way that would infringe the '703 and '325 patents and will instruct users of the Panacea Products to use the Panacea Products in a way that would infringe the '703 and '325 patents.

36. The Panacea Products are not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use.

37. Unless Panacea is enjoined by the Court, Plaintiffs will be substantially and irreparably harmed by Panacea's infringement of the '703 and '325 patents. Plaintiffs do not have an adequate remedy at law.

Plaintiffs commenced this action within 45 days of receiving the Panacea
Notification.

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,404,703

Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in
Paragraphs 1-38.

40. Panacea's filing of the Panacea ANDA containing the Panacea Paragraph IV Certification for the purpose of obtaining FDA approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, importation, offer to sell and/or sale or inducement thereof of either or both of the Panacea Products in the United States before the expiration of the '703 patent is an act of patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A).

41. If the FDA approves the Panacea ANDA, Panacea plans to, intends to, and will commercially manufacture, use, sell and/or offer to sell either or both of the Panacea Products in the United States, import either or both of the Panacea Products into the United States, and/or induce such acts during the term of the '703 patent.

42. Panacea has taken and intends to take active steps to induce, or contribute to, the infringement of the '703 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and/or § 271(c), if the Panacea ANDA is approved.

43. Panacea lacked a good faith basis for alleging invalidity of the '703 patent when it filed the Panacea ANDA and made the Panacea Paragraph IV Certification. Accordingly, the Panacea Paragraph IV Certification was wholly unjustified.

<u>COUNT II: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF INFRINGEMENT</u> <u>OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,404,703</u>

44. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-43.

45. This count arises under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

46. Panacea has taken and intends to take active steps to induce, or contribute to, the infringement of the '703 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and/or § 271(c), if the Panacea ANDA is approved.

47. Plaintiffs are entitled to a declaration that the commercial manufacture, use, sale and/or offer to sell of either or both of the Panacea Products in the United States, importation of either or both of the Panacea Products into the United States, and/or the inducement of such acts during the term of the '703 patent will induce and/contribute to the infringement of the '703 patent.

COUNT III: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,569,325

48. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-47.

Case 1:14-cv-01064-SEB-DKL Document 1 Filed 06/26/14 Page 9 of 12 PageID #: 9

49. Panacea's filing of the Panacea ANDA containing the Panacea Paragraph IV Certification for the purpose of obtaining FDA approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, use, importation, offer to sell and/or sale or inducement thereof of either or both of the Panacea Products in the United States before the expiration of the '325 patent is an act of patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A).

50. If the FDA approves the Panacea ANDA, Panacea plans to, intends to, and will commercially manufacture, use, sell and/or offer to sell either or both of the Panacea Products in the United States, import either or both of the Panacea Products into the United States, and/or induce such acts during the term of the '325 patent.

51. Panacea has taken and intends to take active steps to induce, or contribute to, the infringement of the '325 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and/or § 271(c), if the Panacea ANDA is approved.

52. Panacea lacked a good faith basis for alleging invalidity of the '325 patent when it filed the Panacea ANDA and made the Panacea Paragraph IV Certification. Accordingly, the Panacea Paragraph IV Certification was wholly unjustified.

<u>COUNT IV: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF INFRINGEMENT</u> OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,569,325

53. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-52.

54. This count arises under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

55. Panacea has taken and intends to take active steps to induce, or contribute to, the infringement of the '325 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and/or § 271(c), if the Panacea ANDA is approved.

56. Plaintiffs are entitled to a declaration that the commercial manufacture, use, sale and/or offer to sell of either or both of the Panacea Products in the United States, importation of either or both of the Panacea Products into the United States, and/or the inducement of such acts during the term of the '325 patent will induce and/contribute to the infringement of the '325 patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for a judgment in their favor against Defendants as follows:

A. That Panacea has infringed the '703 patent and/or will infringe, actively induce infringement of, and/or contribute to infringement by others of one or more claims of the '703 patent;

B. That Panacea has infringed the '325 patent and/or will infringe, actively induce infringement of, and/or contribute to infringement by others of one or more claims of the '325 patent;

C. That, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4)(B), Panacea, its officers, agents, servants, and employees, and those persons in active concert or privity with any of them are permanently enjoined from making, using, selling or offering to sell either or both of the Panacea Products within the United States, or importing either or both of the Panacea Products into the United States prior to the expiration of the '703 and '325 patents;

D. That, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4)(A), the effective date of any approval of the Panacea ANDA under § 505(j) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. § 355(j)) shall not be earlier than the latest of the expiration dates of the '703 and '325 patents, including any extensions.

E. If Panacea commercially makes, uses, sells or offers to sell either or both of the Panacea Products within the United States, or imports either or both of the Panacea Products into the United States, prior to the expiration of either of the '703 and '325 patents, including any extensions, that Plaintiffs will be awarded monetary damages for those infringing acts to the fullest extent allowed by law and be awarded prejudgment interest based on those monetary damages;

- F. That this case be deemed exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- G. A judgment declaring that the '703 patent is valid and enforceable;
- H. A judgment declaring that the '325 patent is valid and enforceable;
- I. That Plaintiffs be awarded reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and expenses; and
- J. That Plaintiffs be awarded such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: June 26, 2014

/s/ Jan M. Carroll

Jan M. Carroll Barnes & Thornburg LLP 11 South Meridian Street Indianapolis, IN 46204 317-236-1313 jan.carroll@btlaw.com

Of Counsel:

Bruce R. Genderson Adam L. Perlman Ellen E. Oberwetter Dov P. Grossman Elise M. Baumgarten Carol J. Perry WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP 725 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 (202) 434-5000 (telephone) bgenderson@wc.com aperlman@wc.com eoberwetter@wc.com Case 1:14-cv-01064-SEB-DKL Document 1 Filed 06/26/14 Page 12 of 12 PageID #: 12

dgrossman@wc.com ebaumgarten@wc.com cperry@wc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Eli Lilly and Company, Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd., Daiichi Sankyo, Inc., and Ube Industries, Ltd.