	Case3:14-cv-04602 Document1 Filed10/15/14 Page1 of 5		
1 2	FREAR STEPHEN SCHMID, CSB NO. 96089 ATTORNEY AT LAW 177 POST STREET, SUITE 890 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108 TELEPHONE: (415) 788-5957 FACSIMILE: (415) 788-5958		
3			
4	Attorney for Plaintiffs		
5 6	DIANE ELLEN QUINTERO AND OMAR ALBERTO QUINTERO-CARMONA		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	DIANE ELLEN QUINTERO AND No. OMAR ALBERTO		
12	QUINTERO-CARMONA, COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
13	Plaintiffs, [JURY TRIAL DEMANDED]		
14	v.		
15	WIGZI, LLC,		
16	Defendant.		
17			
18	Plaintiffs DIANE ELLEN QUINTERO and OMAR ALBERTO QUINTERO-		
19	CARMONA ("Quinteros") file this Complaint for patent infringement against defendant		
20	WIGZI, LLC ("Wigzi").		
21	CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
22	JURISDICTION		
23	1. Jurisdiction is pursuant to <u>28 U.S.C.</u> § 1338, allowing original jurisdiction		
24	in this court for patent cases.		
25	VENUE		
26	2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to <u>28 U.S.C.</u> § 1391(c) in		
27	that defendant Wigzi is subject to personal jurisdiction in this district as defendant		
28	transacts and has transacted business here, including activities infringing on Quinteros'		
	1		
	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

NATURE OF THE ACTION

Because this case is an Intellectual Property Action, it is not subject to

1 patent as set forth herein.

3.

2 3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

27

28

This is an action brought against defendant Wigzi for its infringement of 4. the United States Patent No. 6,792,893 ("the '893 Patent"), Claims 1, 10, and 19, inclusive ("Claims"), generally a device and methods for walking two animals simultaneously.

assignment to a particular location or division of the Court under Local Rule 3-2(c).

5. On September 21, 2004, United States Patent No. 6,792,893 was duly and legally issued to plaintiffs. A true and correct copy of the patent, as duly assigned, is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and is incorporated herein by reference. Said patent 13 pertains to a retractable leash as more extensively and precisely described in the attached patent.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

16

I.

THE ASSERTED '893 PATENT

6. At all times relevant, Quinteros are and were the owners of the '893 Patent and have and had the rights thereunder. Plaintiffs' patent was well known to defendant at all times relevant hereto.

II. WIGZI'S INFRINGEMENT OF THE '893 PATENT

Α.

THE WIGZI ACCUSED PRODUCTS

22 7. Commencing within the last six years, Wigzi has tested, demonstrated, 23 provided instructions for, provided training for, marketed, made, used, offered to sell, 24 sold, and/or imported into the United States retractable leash devices ("Devices") as 25 taught by the '893 Patent. The model name/numbers of the Wigzi devices include, 26 without limitation, the Dual Doggie retractable leash

Β. WIGZI'S DIRECT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '893 PATENT

8. Commencing within the last six years, Wigzi directly has infringed, and

2

1 continues to infringe on one or more of the Claims of the '893 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 2 271(a) because it has used, tested, demonstrated, manufactured, imported, promoted, 3 marketed, offered for sale, and/or sold the Wigzi Devices by using one or more of 4 plaintiffs' Claims. In order to have used, tested, demonstrated, and/or sold the Wigzi 5 Devices, Wigzi had to utilize one or more of plaintiff's Claims of the '893 Patent. Wigzi 6 could not have implemented its Devices without infringing the Claims of the '893 Patent.

C.

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

WIGZI'S INDUCED INFRINGEMENT OF THE '893 PATENT

9. Commencing within the last six years, Wigzi is liable for indirect infringement under 35 U.S.C. §271(b) because it has knowingly has induced and continues to induce the direct infringement of one or more of the Claims of the '893 Patent by end-users and other third parties.

12 10. Commencing within the last six years, end-users and other third parties 13 directly have infringed one or more of the Claims of the '893 Patent by using the Wigzi 14 devices.

11. During said time period, Wigzi knowingly took active steps to induce endusers and other third parties in the United States to engage in direct infringement of the Claims of the '893 Patent. For example, Wigzi provided, sold, or promoted the Wigzi Devices to end-users or other third parties along with specific instructions or training regarding the use of those devices, which instructions or training actively induced said end-users and other third parties to practice the '893 Patent Claims and said instructions or training caused direct infringement of the '893 Patent Claims.

22 12. During said time period, Wigzi possessed the specific intent to induce infringement of the Claims of the '893 Patent by end-users and other third parties which intent was manifested, inter alia, by its instructions or training for using the Wigzi 25 devices.

26 13. During said time period, Wigzi had knowledge of the '893 Patent and 27 knowledge that the use of the Wigzi Devices per its instructions and/or training infringed 28 the Claims of the '893 Patent. In addition, during said time period, Wigzi knew or

3

Case3:14-cv-04602 Document1 Filed10/15/14 Page4 of 5

should have known that its actions would and did induce infringement of the Claims by
end-users and other third party users. Wigzi had actual knowledge of the '893 Patent
inter alia due to (1) its active participation and competition in the leash market, (2)
Wigzi's leash market research, (3) Wigzi's research and development of the Wigzi
Devices, (4) Wigzi's exercise of due diligence pertaining to intellectual property affecting
its Devices, and (5) Wigzi's receipt and acknowledgment of receipt correspondence
from plaintiffs advising Wigzi of its infringement on plaintiffs' patent.

14. During said time period, Wigzi knew or should have known that testing, demonstrating, marketing, making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Wigzi Devices constituted infringement of the Claims of the '893 Patent, based on, among other things, the reasons alleged in the foregoing paragraph.

15. During said time period, Wigzi has knowingly taken active steps to induce end-users and other third parties to engage in direct infringement of the Claims of the '893 Patent and has done so with an affirmative intent to cause such direct infringement and/or with purposeful, culpable expression and conduct to encourage such direct infringement. Wigzi's specific intent to induce infringement is evidenced by, among other things, Wigzi's providing of specific instructions and/or training to end-users and/or other third parties knowing that its acts would induce end-users and other third parties to use its Devices and by so doing to directly infringe the Claims of the '893 Patent.

16. As a result of Wigzi's infringement of plaintiffs' '893 Patent as set forth
above, plaintiffs are entitled to damages in an amount according to proof and because
Wigzi's infringement of the '893 Patent was and continues to be wilful and deliberate
and without a reasonable basis for believing that its conduct was or is lawful, plaintiffs
are entitled to treble damages under <u>35 U.S.C.</u> § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs
incurred in prosecuting this action under <u>35 U.S.C.</u> § 285.

27

28

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

WHEREFORE plaintiffs demand judgment as follows:

1. That defendant render an accounting for all profits defendant received by

4

		Case3:14-cv-04602 Document1 Filed10/15/14 Page5 of 5		
1	infringing said patent;			
2	2.	For damages against defendant sufficient to compensate plaintiff pursuant		
3	to <u>35 U.S.</u>	C. § 284, in an amount according to proof.		
4	3.	For treble damages;		
5	4.	For costs and reasonable attorney fees of the subject litigation and		
6	interest as allowable by law; and			
7	5.	For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.		
8	DATED: 0	Dctober 15, 2014		
9		<u>/s/ Frear Stephen Schmid</u> Frear Stephen Schmid, Attorney for Plaintiffs DIANE ELLEN QUINTERO		
10		Plaintiffs DIANE ELLEN QUINTERO and OMAR ALBERTO		
11		QUINTERO-CARMONA		
12				
13		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
14		Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil		
15	Procedure	38.		
16	DATED: 0	October 15, 2014		
17		/s/ Frear Stephen Schmid Frear Stephen Schmid, Attorney for		
18		Plaintiffs DIANE ELLEN QUINTERO and OMAR ALBERTO		
19		QUINTERO-CARMONA		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		5 R PATENT INFRINGEMENT		