

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

DRONE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	§	
	§	Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-00111
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	Judge Arthur J. Schwab
v.	§	
	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
PARROT S.A. and PARROT, INC.	§	
	§	ELECTRONICALLY FILED
Defendants.	§	

**DEFENDANTS PARROT S.A. AND PARROT, INC.'S
NOTICE OF APPEAL UNDER 28 U.S.C. 1292(c)(2)**

Notice is hereby given that Defendants Parrot S.A. and Parrot, Inc., (collectively, “Defendants”) in the above-named case, appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the following:

1. Order dated May 19, 2014, denying Defendants’ Motion to Stay Pending Inter *Partes* Review or Transfer Venue (Doc. No. 29);
2. Text Order dated May 30, 2014, denying Defendants’ Motion to Clarify the Protective Order to Include a Limited Patent Prosecution Bar;
3. Order dated July 1, 2014, granting Plaintiff’s Motion to Compel Initial Disclosure Documents (Doc. No. 48);
4. Text Order dated July 08, 2014, denying Defendants Emergency Motion to for Reconsideration of the Court’s July 1 Order;
5. Text Order dated July 9, 2014, denying Defendants’ Motion to Bifurcate Discovery and Trial;
6. Text Order dated July 11, 2014, denying Defendants’ Motion to Compel the Deposition of Mr. Bruce Ding;

7. Order dated July 25, 2014, granting Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendants to Obey This Court's July 1, 2014 Order (Doc. No. 63);
8. Order dated August 7, 2014, denying Defendants' Emergency Motion to Modify the Protective Order (Doc. No. 70);
9. Order dated August 14, 2014, Defendants' Emergency motion for Relief from the Court's Order dated July 25, 2014, or in the Alternative for a Stay [of Enforcement of July 25, 2014 Order] Pending a Petition for Writ of Mandamus (Doc. No. 77);
10. Order dated August 19, 2014, Defendants' Motion to Compel the 30(B)(6) Deposition of Drone Technologies, Inc. and the Deposition of Mr. Bruce Ding and Ms. Diane Lee (Doc. No. 81), denying Defendants' the right to use information gained during the deposition (including the transcript) in any other litigation; and,
11. Order dated November 3, 2014 (Doc. No. 107), which strikes Defendants' Answer and Counterclaims (Doc. No. 16), enters a default judgment against Defendants as to liability, and denies Defendants' Motion for Leave to File First Amended Answer and Counterclaims (Doc. No. 97).

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 4, 2014

/s/ James E. Hopenfeld
James E. Hopenfeld (pro hac vice)
Attorney-in-Charge
CA Bar No. 190268
E-mail: Hopenfeld@oshaliang.com
Tammy J. Terry (pro hac vice)
Texas Bar No. 24045660
E-mail: Terry@oshaliang.com
OSHA LIANG LLP
909 Fannin Street, Suite 3500

Eric G. Soller, Esquire
PA I.D. #65560
EGS@Pietragallo.com

Firm I.D. #834
PIETRAGALLO GORDON ALFANO BOSICK &
RASPANTI, LLP
The Thirty-Eighth Floor
One Oxford Centre
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Phone: (412) 263-1836
Fax: (412) 263-4242

Houston, TX 77010-1034
Phone: (713) 228-8600
Fax: (713) 228-8778

**COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS
PARROT S.A. AND PARROT, INC.**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 4, 2014, the foregoing document, Defendants Parrot S.A. and Parrot, Inc.'s Notice of Appeal, was filed electronically with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record via email as follows:

Richard T. Ting
John C. Thomas III
Charles H. Dougherty, Jr.
Clay Hughes
Beck & Thomas, P.C.
1575 McFarland Road
Suite 100
Pittsburgh, PA 15216
Phone: 412-343-9700
Fax: 412-343-5787

/s/ James E. Hopenfeld
James E. Hopenfeld