

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

**CHARLES C. FREENY III, BRYAN E.  
FREENY, and JAMES P. FREENY,**

**Case No. 2:14-cv-01033**

**Plaintiffs,**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**v.**

**ADTRAN, INC.,**

**Defendant.**

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiffs Charles C. Freeny III, Bryan E. Freeny, and James P. Freeny (collectively “Plaintiffs”), for their Complaint against Defendant ADTRAN, Inc., hereby allege as follows:

**THE PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff Charles C. Freeny III is an individual residing in Flower Mound, Texas.
2. Plaintiff Bryan E. Freeny is an individual residing in Ft. Worth, Texas.
3. Plaintiff James P. Freeny is an individual residing in Spring, Texas.
4. On information and belief, Defendant ADTRAN, Inc. (“ADTRAN”) is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 901 Explorer Boulevard, Huntsville, Alabama 35806.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. §§101 et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs’ federal law claims under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).

6. This Court has specific and/or general personal jurisdiction over Defendant ADTRAN because it has committed acts giving rise to this action within this judicial district

and/or has established minimum contacts within Texas and within this judicial district such that the exercise of jurisdiction over each would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

7. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b)-(c) and 1400(b) because ADTRAN has committed acts within this judicial district giving rise to this action, and continue to conduct business in this district, and/or have committed acts of patent infringement within this District giving rise to this action.

**INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,110,744**

8. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the Paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.

9. On September 19, 2006, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued United States Patent Number 7,110,744 (“the ’744 patent”) entitled “Communication and Proximity Authorization Systems.” A true and correct copy of the ’744 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

10. The named inventor of the ’744 patent is Charles C. Freeny, Jr., who is now deceased.

11. Plaintiffs are the sons of Charles C. Freeny, Jr., and Plaintiffs are the owners and assignees of all right, title and interest in and to the ’744 patent, including the right to assert all causes of action arising under said patent and the right to any remedies for infringement of it.

12. On information and belief, ADTRAN has been and now is infringing the ’744 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other things, making, using, importing, offering to sell, and/or selling in the United States dual band wireless networking products that embody the inventions claimed in the ’744 patent,

including but not limited to the ADTRAN NetVanta 160 Access Point and all reasonably similar products (“the accused ADTRAN networking products”).

13. On information and belief, ADTRAN has been and now is indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '744 patent by inducing its customers' infringement of the '744 patent using the accused ADTRAN networking products. On information and belief, ADTRAN has had knowledge of the '744 patent since at least the service date of the Complaint in this action. Despite this knowledge of the '744 patent, ADTRAN has continued to engage in activities to encourage and assist its customers in the use of the accused ADTRAN networking products. For example, through its website at [www.adtran.com](http://www.adtran.com), ADTRAN advertises and provides instructions on how to use the accused ADTRAN networking products. On information and belief, by using the accused ADTRAN networking products as encouraged and assisted by ADTRAN, ADTRAN's customers have directly infringed and continue to directly infringe one or more claims of the '744 patent. On information and belief, ADTRAN knew or was willfully blind to the fact that its activities in encouraging and assisting customers in the use of the accused ADTRAN networking products, including but not limited to the activities set forth above, would induce its customers' direct infringement of the '744 patent.

14. On information and belief, ADTRAN will continue to infringe the '744 patent unless enjoined by this Court.

15. ADTRAN's acts of infringement have damaged Plaintiffs in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty. ADTRAN's infringement of Plaintiffs' rights under the '744 patent will continue to damage Plaintiffs, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter judgment against ADTRAN as follows:

- a. For judgment that ADTRAN has infringed and continues to infringe the claims of the '744 patent;
- b. For preliminary and permanent injunction against ADTRAN and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringement of the '744 patent;
- c. For an accounting of all damages caused by ADTRAN's acts of infringement;
- d. For damages to be paid by ADTRAN adequate to compensate Plaintiffs for such infringement, including interest, costs and disbursement as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284; and
- e. For such other relief at law and in equity as the Court may deem just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: November 12, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher D. Banys  
Christopher D. Banys - *Lead Attorney*

BANYS, P.C.  
Christopher D. Banys    SBN: 230038 (California)  
Richard C. Lin        SBN: 209233 (California)  
Jennifer L. Gilbert    SBN: 255820 (California)  
Eric J. Sidebotham    SBN: 208829 (California)  
1032 Elwell Court, Suite 100  
Palo Alto, CA 94303  
Tel: (650) 308-8505

Fax: (650) 353-2202  
cdb@banyspc.com  
rcl@banyspc.com  
jlg@banyspc.com  
ejs@banyspc.com

LOCAL COUNSEL:

WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM  
Wesley Hill SBN: 24032294  
P.O. Box 1231  
1127 Judson Rd., Ste. 220  
Longview, TX 75601  
Tel: (903) 757-6400  
Fax: (903) 757-2323  
wh@wsfirm.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS  
CHARLES C. FREENY III, BRYAN E. FREENY,  
AND JAMES P. FREENY**