

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

BLACKBIRD TECH LLC d/b/a
BLACKBIRD TECHNOLOGIES,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC.,

Defendant

C.A. No. 14-cv-1157 LPS

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Blackbird Tech, LLC d/b/a/ Blackbird Technologies (“Blackbird Technologies”) hereby alleges for its Second Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement against the above-named Defendant, on personal knowledge as to its own activities and on information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Blackbird Technologies is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at One Boston Place, Suite 2600, Boston, MA, 02108.

2. Defendant Amazon.com, Inc. (“Amazon.com”) is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business located at 410 Terry Avenue North, Seattle, WA 98109.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the provisions of the Patent Laws of the United States of America, title 35, United States Code §§ 100, *et sec.*

4. Subject-matter jurisdiction over Blackbird Technologies' claims is conferred upon this Court by 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) (patent jurisdiction).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is subject to general jurisdiction in the State of Delaware. Defendant has also established minimum contacts with this forum. Defendant is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and regularly conducts business in the State of Delaware, including by manufacturing, selling, and/or offering to sell products in this judicial district. Defendant's actions constitute patent infringement in this district in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 and place infringing products into the stream of commerce, with the knowledge and understanding that such products are sold in this District. The acts by Defendant cause injury to Blackbird Technologies within this District.

6. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b) and (c) and § 1400(b) and because Defendant transacts business within this District and offers for sale in this District products that infringe U.S. Patent No. 6,425,349.

COUNT I – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,425,349

7. Blackbird Technologies reasserts and incorporates herein by reference the allegations of all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

8. On Jul 30, 2002, U.S. Patent No. 6,425,349 (the "349 Patent") entitled, "Bicycle Pet Carrier," a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as "Exhibit A," was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Blackbird Technologies is the owner by

assignment of all right, title, and interest to the '349 Patent, including all right to recover for any and all past infringement thereof.

9. The '349 Patent is valid and enforceable.

10. Amazon.com has in the past and continues to infringe directly, literally, and/or under the Doctrine of Equivalents, one or more of the claims of the '349 Patent by making, using, importing, selling and/or offering to sell, in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, pet carriers which are covered by at least one claim of the '349 Patent. Defendant's infringing activities violate 35 U.S.C. § 271.

11. Specifically, Amazon.com offers for sale, and, on information and belief, sells, the "Kurgo Skybox Bike Basket," which is covered by one or more claims of the '349 Patent. (*See* Exhibit D).

12. Blackbird Technologies is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant has gained profits by virtue of its infringement of the '349 Patent.

13. Blackbird Technologies has sustained damages as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's infringement of the '349 Patent.

14. As a consequence of Defendant's infringement of the '349 Patent, Blackbird Technologies is entitled to recovery of past damages in the form of, at a minimum, a reasonable royalty.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant will continue to infringe the '349 Patent unless enjoined by this Court.

16. As a consequence of continued infringement of the '349 patent by Defendant complained of herein, Blackbird Technologies has been irreparably damaged to an extent not yet determined and will continue to be irreparably damaged by such acts unless Defendant is enjoined

by this Court from committing further acts of infringement. Blackbird Technologies has no adequate remedy at law. In the event this Court determines that it will not award injunctive relief, this Court should require Defendant to pay damages for past infringement of the '349 Patent and royalties for its infringement of the '349 Patent on a going-forward basis.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Blackbird Technologies respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Amazon.com, as follows:

- A. Adjudging that the '349 Patent is valid and enforceable;
 - B. Adjudging that Amazon.com has infringed one or more claims of the '349 Patent, literally or under the Doctrine of Equivalents, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271;
 - C. An award of damages to be paid by Defendant adequate to compensate Plaintiff for its past infringement and any continuing or future infringement up until the date such judgment is entered, and in no event less than a reasonable royalty, including interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and, if necessary to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement, an accounting of all infringing sales including, but not limited to, those sales not presented at trial;
 - D. Granting Blackbird Technologies permanent injunctive relief pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283 enjoining Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, affiliates, and those persons in active consort with them from future acts of patent infringement of the '349 Patent;
- ;

- E. In the event that this Court determines that it will not enter injunctive relief, ordering Defendant to continue to pay royalties to Blackbird Technologies for infringement of the '349 Patent on a going-forward basis;
- F. This case be judged an Exceptional Case under 25 U.S.C. § 285, and costs and attorneys' fees be awarded to Blackbird Technologies;
- G. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by law on its damages; and
- H. Blackbird Technologies be granted such further relief as this Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Blackbird Technologies demands a trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable.

Dated December 29, 2014

STAMOULIS & WEINBLATT LLC

OF COUNSEL

Christopher Freeman
cfreeman@blackbird-tech.com
Wendy Verlander
wverlander@blackbird-tech.com
Blackbird Tech LLC d/b/a
Blackbird Technologies
One Boston Place, Suite 2600
Boston, MA 02108
617.307.7100

/s/ Stamatios Stamoulis
Stamatios Stamoulis #4606
stamoulis@swdelaw.com
Richard C. Weinblatt #5080
weinblatt@swdelaw.com
Two Fox Point Centre
6 Denny Road, Suite 307
Wilmington, DE 19809
Telephone: (302) 999-1540

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Blackbird Tech LLC
d/b/a Blackbird Technologies*