

**United States District Court  
Western District of Texas  
Waco Division**

Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Case No. 15-32
	)	
NHL Enterprises, L.P.;	)	<b>Jury Trial Demanded</b>
NHL Enterprises, Inc.;	)	
NHL Interactive CyberEnterprises, LLC,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**Complaint for Patent Infringement**

Plaintiff Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC (Affinity Labs) files this Complaint against Defendants NHL Enterprises, L.P., NHL Enterprises, Inc., and NHL Interactive CyberEnterprises, LLC (collectively, NHL) and alleges as follows:

**Parties**

1. Plaintiff Affinity Labs is a Texas limited liability company having offices at 31884 RR 12, Dripping Springs, TX 78620.

2. Defendant NHL Enterprises, L.P. is a Delaware limited partnership with its principal place of business in New York, NY. NHL Enterprises, L.P. is actively engaged in business within the State of Texas.

3. Defendant NHL Enterprises, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in New York, NY. NHL Enterprises, Inc. is actively engaged in business within the State of Texas.

4. Defendant NHL Interactive CyberEnterprises, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in New York, NY. NHL Interactive CyberEnterprises, LLC is actively engaged in business within the State of Texas.

#### **Jurisdiction**

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the federal patent statutes, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281-285.

6. This Court has general and specific personal jurisdiction over NHL. NHL has committed and continues to commit acts giving rise to this action within Texas and within this judicial district and NHL has established minimum contacts within the forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over NHL would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. For example, NHL has committed to and continues to commit acts of patent infringement in this judicial district, as set forth below. In conducting its business in Texas and this judicial district, NHL derives substantial revenue from its patent infringement.

#### **Venue**

7. Venue in the Western District of Texas is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(b) because NHL has committed acts within this judicial district giving rise to this action, and NHL has and continues to conduct business in this judicial district, including one or more acts of making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale a broadcast system that constitutes patent infringement in this judicial district.

8. Venue in the Western District of Texas is also proper because Affinity Labs is headquartered in this judicial district in Dripping Springs, Texas.

9. Venue in the Western District of Texas is also proper because the majority of Affinity Labs' documents and relevant evidence is located at Affinity Labs' headquarters within this judicial district and numerous witnesses are also located within this judicial district.

10. Venue in the Western District of Texas is also proper because Affinity Labs is organized and governed by the limited liability company laws of Texas and is subject to taxes in Texas. Affinity Labs maintains a registered agent for service of process in Texas.

11. Venue in the Western District of Texas is also proper because of judicial economy. The Honorable Judge Walter S. Smith, Jr. in this judicial district is currently presiding over *Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. Bosch, LLC*, C.A. No. 6:14-cv-00396, which involves two other Affinity Labs patents in the same patent family as the Asserted Patent in this matter, United States Patent Nos. 8,554,191 (the '191 patent) and 8,588,680 (the '680 patent). Moreover, Magistrate Judge Jeffrey Manske in this judicial district presided over the following seven Affinity Labs matters, which also involved the '191 and '680 patents: *Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. Ford Motor Company*, C.A. No. 6:13-cv-00363; *Affinity Labs of Texas, Inc. v. General Motors*, C.A. No. 6:13-cv-00379; *Affinity Labs of Texas, Inc. v. Nissan*, C.A. No. 6:13-cv-00369; *Affinity Labs of Texas, Inc. v. Toyota*, C.A. No. 6:13-cv-00365; *Affinity Labs of Texas, Inc. v. Honda*, C.A. No. 6:13-cv-00367; *Affinity Labs of Texas, Inc. v. Jaguar*, C.A. No. 6:13-cv-00368; and *Affinity Labs of Texas, Inc. v. Volvo*, C.A. No. 6:13-cv-00366.

### **Background**

12. Affinity Labs was founded in 2008 by Russell White and Harlie Frost.

13. Russell White is a successful entrepreneur and patent attorney. Mr. White grew up in Houston, Texas, and has an undergraduate degree in mechanical engineering

from Texas A&M. Mr. White also graduated from the University of Temple Law School. After earning his law degree, Mr. White co-founded SBC Knowledge Ventures, an entity within AT&T.

14. Mr. White is also a prolific inventor. Mr. White is listed as an inventor on at least thirty-two separate United States patents.

15. On March 28, 2000, Mr. White and Kevin R. Imes filed a detailed patent application, No. 09/537,812 (the '812 application) with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (PTO).

16. The '812 application broadly addressed the problem of accessing, managing, and communicating digital audio and video content. In doing so, the '812 application disclosed a number of inventions relating to creating a new media ecosystem with a portable electronic audio device, such as a smartphone, at its center.

17. The '812 application also disclosed the ability to access regionally-broadcast content on a wireless handheld device even when the user and the wireless handheld device were located outside of the range for the regional broadcast.

18. On June 28, 2011, the PTO issued the '379 patent. A copy of this patent is attached as Exhibit A. The '379 patent issued from a continuation application claiming priority to the '812 application, which was filed with the PTO on March 28, 2000. The '379 patent is presumed valid, and is valid.

19. The '379 patent and other patents in the same patent family, have been cited by major businesses in the computer, software, communications, automotive, and mobile industries.

20. Numerous other companies have recognized the value and importance of Affinity Labs' innovation. For instance, twenty-eight companies have licensed Affinity Labs' patent portfolio for the patents in the same family as the Asserted Patent.

21. NHL does not have a license to the '379 patent or any patent in Affinity Labs' patent portfolio.

### **Count I**

#### **Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,970,379 by NHL**

22. Affinity Labs restates and realleges each of the allegations set forth above and incorporates them herein.

23. Affinity Labs holds all legal title, interest, and rights in the '379 patent.

24. NHL does not have authority to make, use, sell, or offer for sale any system covered by any claim of the '379 patent.

25. NHL has and continues to manufacture, use, sell, and offer to sell, without authority, a broadcast system that includes the NHL application and NHL GameCenter LIVE service.

26. NHL controls, maintains, and uses a broadcast system that includes streaming content of NHL games to subscribers and end users of the NHL GameCenter LIVE service.

27. End users and subscribers to NHL GameCenter LIVE service have access to NHL games on wireless handheld devices via the NHL application.

28. NHL uses and controls a broadcast system to stream and deliver NHL games to its subscribers and end users.

29. As part of its broadcast system, NHL maintains a network-based resource, such as a server and electronics infrastructure.

30. NHL's network-based resource maintains information regarding regionally broadcast NHL games.

31. NHL's network-based resource includes the capability of allowing its subscribers and end users to select among regionally broadcast NHL games. According to NHL.com, subscribers can "Watch Live Out-of-Market Games on [Their] Favorite Devices." The live games include regular season out-of-market games.

32. NHL's network-based resource includes the capability of allowing its subscribers and end users to select among regionally broadcast—or, in other words, "out-of-market"—NHL games.

33. NHL's network-based resource includes the capability of streaming regionally broadcast NHL games to a wireless handheld device.

34. The broadcast system that includes the NHL application and NHL GameCenter LIVE service allows end users and subscribers to stream NHL games for viewing on the end users' and subscribers' wireless handheld device.

35. NHL's broadcast system also includes a non-transitory storage medium on which it maintains the NHL application. Upon a request from a user of a wireless handheld device such as a smartphone or tablet, this NHL application can be downloaded from NHL's non-transitory storage medium to the wireless handheld device for execution.

36. The NHL application is configured for execution on a wireless handheld device.

37. The NHL application is configured to enable a wireless handheld device to transmit a request for a regionally broadcast NHL game from the wireless handheld device.

38. The NHL application is configured to enable a wireless handheld device to receive a streaming signal of a regionally broadcast NHL game on the wireless device, even when the wireless handheld device is outside of the region of the regionally broadcast NHL game.

39. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), NHL has infringed, and if not enjoined, will continue to infringe the '379 patent by manufacturing, using, selling, and offering for sale, without authority, a broadcast system that includes the NHL application and NHL GameCenter LIVE service that is covered by one or more claims of the '379 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States.

40. For example, NHL directly infringes at least claims 1, 5, 7, and 10-13 of the '379 patent at least by having and continuing to make, use, sell, and offer for sale a broadcast system that includes the NHL application and NHL GameCenter LIVE service.

41. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), NHL has indirectly infringed one or more claims of the '379 patent by inducing others (e.g., its subscribers and end users) to directly infringe the '379 patent at least by using the NHL application and NHL GameCenter LIVE service in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States.

42. NHL knowingly encourages and intends for subscribers and end users to directly infringe the '379 patent, including at least claims 1, 5, 7, and 10-13 by instructing and advertising that end users and subscribers stream NHL games for viewing on a wireless handheld device. NHL intended these actions by end users and subscribers while the '379 patent is in force.

43. NHL indirectly infringes one or more claims of the '379 patent at least because NHL has had knowledge of the '379 patent and has induced others to infringe the '379 patent.

44. NHL has had actual knowledge of the '379 patent since at least the filing and/or service of the Complaint in this action.

45. NHL specifically intends to induce subscribers and end users to directly infringe the '379 patent when they used the NHL application and NHL GameCenter LIVE service.

46. In violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), NHL actively contributes to the infringement and actively continues to commit such contributory infringement of the '379 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere.

47. NHL has made, used, and offered, and continues to make, use, and offer the NHL application to work with the NHL GameCenter LIVE service have and continue to do so while the '379 patent is in force.

48. NHL made, used, and offered, and continues to make, use, and offer at least components for the products such as the NHL application to work with the NHL GameCenter LIVE service that infringe the '379 patent, and for which no other substantial non-infringing uses exist. In addition, NHL has made, used, and offered, and continues to make, use, and offer at least a broadcast system that streams NHL games to subscribers and end users. This component of the broadcast system infringes the '379 patent when it is used by subscribers and end users in connection with the NHL application, and for which no other substantial non-infringing uses exist. These components include a material part of the claimed inventions of the '379 patent.

49. NHL knows that these components are used by its end users and subscribers in a manner that infringes the '379 patent, and continues to offer these components for such use and infringement.

50. NHL does not have a license or permission to use the claimed subject matter in the '379 patent.

51. Affinity Labs has been injured and has been caused significant financial damage as a direct and proximate result of NHL's infringement of the '379 patent.

52. NHL will continue to infringe the '379 patent, and thus cause irreparable injury and damage to Affinity Labs unless enjoined by this Court.

53. Affinity Labs is entitled to recover from NHL the damages sustained by Affinity Labs as a result of NHL's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.

#### **Demand for Trial by Jury**

Affinity Labs demands a jury trial on all issues so triable, pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

#### **Prayer for Relief**

Affinity Labs prays for the following relief:

1. A declaration that NHL Enterprises, L.P., NHL Enterprises, Inc., and NHL Interactive CyberEnterprises, LLC have infringed and are infringing the '379 patent and are liable to Affinity Labs for infringement;
2. An order enjoining NHL Enterprises, L.P., NHL Enterprises, Inc., and NHL Interactive CyberEnterprises, LLC from infringing the '379 patent;
3. If a permanent injunction is not granted, a judicial determination of the conditions for future infringement such as an ongoing royalty;

4. An award of damages, including pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount adequate to compensate Affinity Labs for NHL Enterprises, L.P.'s, NHL Enterprises, Inc.'s, and NHL Interactive CyberEnterprises, LLC's infringement of the '379 patent;

5. An equitable accounting of damages owed by NHL Enterprises, L.P., NHL Enterprises, Inc., and NHL Interactive CyberEnterprises, LLC for the period of infringement of the '379 patent, following the period of damages established by Affinity Labs at trial;

6. A finding that this case is exceptional and an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;

7. An award of costs, expenses, and disbursements; and

8. Such other and further relief as the Court deems Affinity Labs may be entitled to in law and equity.

Dated: February 3, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ John Palmer

**Naman Howell Smith & Lee, PLLC**  
John P. Palmer (State Bar No. 15430600)  
P.O. Box 1470  
Waco, TX 76703-1470  
(254) 755-4100  
Fax: (254) 754-6331  
[palmer@namanhowell.com](mailto:palmer@namanhowell.com)

**Robins Kaplan LLP**  
Ronald J. Schutz (MN Bar No. 130849)  
*(pro hac vice to be submitted)*  
Cyrus A. Morton (MN Bar No. 287325)  
*(pro hac vice to be submitted)*  
Patrick M. Arenz (MN Bar No. 386537)  
*(pro hac vice to be submitted)*  
Daniel R. Burgess (MN Bar No. 389976)  
*(pro hac vice to be submitted)*  
Shira T. Shapiro (MN Bar No. 390508)  
*(pro hac vice to be submitted)*  
Kristine A. Tietz (MN Bar No. 393477)  
*(pro hac vice to be submitted)*  
800 LaSalle Avenue, Suite 2800  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402  
Telephone: (612) 349-8500  
Facsimile: (612) 339-4181  
[RSchutz@robinskaplan.com](mailto:RSchutz@robinskaplan.com)  
[CMorton@robinskaplan.com](mailto:CMorton@robinskaplan.com)  
[PArenz@robinskaplan.com](mailto:PArenz@robinskaplan.com)  
[DBurgess@robinskaplan.com](mailto:DBurgess@robinskaplan.com)  
[SShapiro@robinskaplan.com](mailto:SShapiro@robinskaplan.com)  
[KTietz@robinskaplan.com](mailto:KTietz@robinskaplan.com)

**Attorneys for Plaintiff**  
**Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC**

**Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that on February 3, 2015, I caused a true and correct copy of this document (Complaint for Patent Infringement) to be served on all counsel of record via Electronic Case Filing (ECF) pursuant to Local Rule CV-5.

Dated: February 3, 2015

/s/ John Palmer  
John Palmer