COMPLAINT

Case 3:15-cv-00314-BAS-MDD Document 1 Filed 02/13/15 Page 1 of 10

28

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES
750 B STREET
SUITE 2510
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400

- 2. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and pursuant to the patent laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 101, et seq.
- 3. Venue properly lies within the Southern District of California pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (d) and 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendant conducts substantial business directly and/or through third parties or agents in this judicial district by selling and/or offering to sell the infringing products and/or by conducting other business in this judicial district. Furthermore, Plaintiff e.Digital is headquartered and has its principal place of business in this district, engages in business in this district, and has been harmed by Defendant's conduct, business transactions and sales in this district.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and belief, Defendant transacts continuous and systematic business within the State of California and the Southern District of California. In addition, this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because, on information and belief, this lawsuit arises out of Defendant's infringing activities, including, without limitation, the making, using, selling and/or offering to sell infringing products in the State of California and the Southern District of California. Finally, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and belief, Defendant has made, used, sold and/or offered for sale its infringing products and placed such infringing products in the stream of interstate commerce with the expectation that such infringing products would be made, used, sold and/or offered for sale within the State of California and the Southern District of California.
- 5. Upon information and belief, certain of the products manufactured by Defendant have been and/or are currently sold and/or offered for sale via its distributors, sales representatives or channel sales department to customers and/or end-users located within the State of California. Upon information and belief

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 l	1

Defendant's distributors, sales representatives, or channel sales departments include but are not limited to Tech Coast Sales, SMART USA, Avnet, Avent Memec, and Falcon Electronics.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff e.Digital is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business at 16870 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 120, San Diego, California 92127.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant SMART Modular Technologies, Inc. is a corporation registered and lawfully existing under the laws of the State of California, with an office and principal place of business located at 39870 Eureka Drive, Newark, CA 94560.

THE ASSERTED PATENT

8. On November 17, 1998, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,839,108 ("the '108 patent") entitled "Flash Memory File System In A Handheld Record And Playback Device," to its named inventors Norbert P. Daberko and Richard K. Davis. Plaintiff e.Digital is the assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '108 patent and has the right to bring this suit for damages and other relief. A true and correct copy of the '108 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

COUNT ONE

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '108 PATENT BY DEFENDANT

- 9. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 8 above.
- 10. The accused products include but are not limited to Defendant's Flash Memory Storage products including but not limited to its eMMC, Compact Flash series, Enterprise USB Memory Key series, SD card series, SATA SSD Series, mSATA, CFast series, mSATA series, SATA slim series, mSATA mini, Embedded eUSB Drive Products, DOM (Disk-On-Module), and/or NAND

26

27

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 ANDAL & ASSOCIATES

750 B STREET SUITE 2510 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323 Controller products. The primary and substantial purpose of the accused products is to write to and store data in electronic format in non-volatile flash memory.

- 11. Defendant has directly and indirectly infringed and is directly and indirectly infringing Claim 1 of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, et seq., by making, using, offering for sale, selling in the United States and/or importing into the United States without authority, the accused products identified above. Claim 1 of the '108 patent teaches a method of memory management for a non-volatile storage medium. The method comprises several steps, which generally involves, without limitation, writing electronic data segments from volatile, temporary memory to a non-volatile, long-term storage medium by linking data segments according to a number of specified steps.
- 12. Plaintiff alleges that at least as of the date of the filing of the originally filed complaint in this matter, if not sooner, Defendant knew or should have known of the existence of Claim 1 of the '108 patent and the fact that the accused products infringe said Claim 1.
- Plaintiff alleges that Defendant sold, sells, offers to sell, ships, or otherwise delivers the accused products to customers or end-users with all the features required to infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent. Upon information and belief, Defendant knows that the accused products infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent and intends to induce third parties to include its customers and end-users to also infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent.
- Upon information and belief, the accused products, alone or in 14. combination with other products, directly or, alternatively, under the doctrine of equivalents practice each of the limitations of independent Claim 1 of the '108 patent when they are used for their normal and intended purpose of writing to and storing electronic data on non-volatile memory. Thus, Defendant directly infringes Claim 1 of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) when it demonstrates, tests or otherwise uses the accused products in the United States.

ANDAL & ASSOCIATES 750 B STREET SUITE 2510

SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400 FAX: 619.696.0323 15. By way of example, certain website(s) publish the Defendants' datasheets and descriptions of the features and functionality of the accused products. Upon information and belief, customers and end-users are provided information in such publications concerning how to use of the accused products in a way that infringes Claim 1. Such conduct evidences Defendant's act of direct infringement of Claim 1 of the '108 patent.

- 16. Plaintiff also alleges on information and belief that Defendant uses, makes, sells, offers to sell and/or imports the accused products knowing that they will be used by its customers and end-users for writing and storing electronic data to non-volatile memory utilizing the steps described in Claim 1 of the '108 patent. Defendant's product literature, White Papers, instructional materials, brochures, and other informational materials and encourage customers to use the accused product(s) knowing that the accused products utilize the methods of memory management taught by Claim 1 of the '108 patent and in a manner it knows infringes upon Claim 1 of the '108 patent.
- 17. Defendant also provides instructional and/or informational material that instructs customers and end-users on how to connect the accused products and use them as non-volatile storage devices for electronic data. Among other things, Defendant's informational materials lay out step-by-step instructions on how to write data into the memory of the accused products a process that utilizes the method disclosed in Claim 1 of the '108 patent and which Defendant knows (at the least as of the filing of the original complaint if not sooner) infringes the method taught in Claim 1 of the '108 patent. Plaintiff believes that Defendant directs consumers and end-users to consult and utilize such instructional material.
- 18. Plaintiff believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant is aware that its customers and end-users are using the accused products in an infringing manner based on, among other things, the fact that Defendant encourages its customers and end-users to use the accused products in an infringing manner as set forth in the

4

3

6

7

5

8

10

11

12

14

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 ANDAL & ASSOCIATES 750 B STREET SUITE 2510 AN DIEGO, CA 92101

preceding Paragraphs.

- 19. As alleged above, incorporated herewith, and based upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant, without authority, has induced and continues to induce infringement of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) inasmuch as:
 - a. The accused products infringe Claim 1 during the normal use of the accused products by Defendant's customers and/or end-users;
 - b. Defendant has known and has been continuously aware of the '108 patent since at least the filing of the original complaint in this action, if not sooner;
 - c. Defendant has acted in a manner that encourages and continues to encourage others to infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent by, among intentionally instructing and/or other things, encouraging customers and end-users to use the accused products in a manner that Defendant knows or should have known would cause them to infringe the '108 patent;
 - d. Defendant sells, distributes, and supplies the accused products to customers and end-users with the intent that the products be used in an infringing manner;
 - e. Defendant provides instructional and/or informational material designed to instruct customers and end-users to use the products in an infringing manner; and,
 - f. Defendant advertises, markets, and promotes the use of the accused products in an infringing manner.
- 20. As alleged above, incorporated herewith, and based upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant has contributed and continues to contribute to the infringement of Claim 1 of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) inasmuch as:

28

NANDAL & ASSOCIATES
750 B STREET
SUITE 2510
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619 544 6400

- a. The accused products infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent during the normal use of the accused products by Defendant's customers and/or end-users;
- b. Defendant has known and has been continuously aware of the '108 patent since at least the filing of the original complaint in this action, if not sooner;
- c. Defendant imports into the United States, sells and/or offers to sell within the United States products that (a) practice the method of memory management of Claim 1 of the '108 patent; and, (b) Defendant knows that the same constitute material infringing component(s) of the accused products, which were made and/or especially adapted for use in the accused products;
- d. The memory management component(s) and methods of the accused products are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use with respect to the '108 patent; and,
- e. Defendant sells, has sold, and/or has supplied the accused products knowing of Plaintiff's '108 patent and knowing that the accused products incorporate Plaintiff's patented method and/or were specially adapted for use in a way which infringes the '108 patent.
- 21. As alleged above, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant had notice of the '108 patent and knowledge of infringement of Claim 1 of the '108 patent since at least the filing of the original complaint in this matter, if not sooner. Defendant has and continues to sell products that practice the '108 patent after acquiring knowledge of infringement.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

1. That Defendants be declared to have infringed the Patent-in-Suit;

- 2. That Defendants, Defendants officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from infringement of the Patent-in-Suit, including but not limited to any making, using, offering for sale, selling, or importing of unlicensed infringing products within and without the United States;
- 3. Compensation for all damages caused by Defendants' infringement of the Patent-in-Suit to be determined at trial;
- A finding that this case is exceptional and an award of reasonable attorneys fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- 5. Granting Plaintiff pre-and post-judgment interest on its damages, together with all costs and expenses; and,
 - 6. Awarding such other relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

Dated: February 13,2015 By: /s/Anton N. Handal

Anton N. Handal Pamela C. Chalk

Gabriel G. Hedrick Attorneys for Plaintiff

e.Digital Corporation

COMPLAINT

	Case 3:15-cv-00314-BAS-MDD	Document 1 Filed 02/13/15 Page 9 of 10
1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
2	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all claims.	
3		HANDAL & ASSOCIATES
4	Dated: February 13, 2015	By: /s/Anton N. Handal
5		By: <u>/s/Anton N. Handal</u> Anton N. Handal Pamela C. Chalk
6		Pamela C. Chalk Gabriel G. Hedrick Attorneys for Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 HANDAL & ASSOCIATES		
750 B STREET SUITE 2510 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TEL: 619.544.6400	COMPLAINT	-9-
FAX: 619.696.0323		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served on this date to all counsel of record, if any to date, who are deemed to have consented to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system per CivLR 5.4(d). Any other counsel of record will be served by electronic mail, facsimile and/or overnight delivery upon their appearance in this matter.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 13th day of February, 2015 at San Diego, California.

Dated: February 13, 2015

By: /s/Anton N. Handal
Anton N. Handal
Pamela C. Chalk
Gabriel G. Hedrick

Attorneys for Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

.,

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

750 B STREET
SUITE 2510
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400
FAX: 619.696.0323

-10-

COMPLAINT