

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS**

Inventergy, Inc.

Plaintiff,

v.

GENBAND Inc., GENBAND US LLC,
GENBAND Management Services Corp., and
GENBAND Holdings Company

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 6:14-cv-00612 (RWS)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

INVENTERGY'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

On July 14, 2014, Plaintiff Inventergy, Inc., filed its Complaint for Patent Infringement against Defendant GENBAND Inc. February 25, 2015 is the extended due date for Defendant GENBAND Inc. to answer or otherwise plead. Plaintiff Inventergy, Inc., by its attorneys, hereby files its First Amended Complaint For Patent Infringement against GENBAND Inc., GENBAND US LLC, GENBAND Management Services Corp., and GENBAND Holdings Company, alleging as follows:

I. THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Inventergy, Inc. ("Inventergy" or "Plaintiff") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Campbell, California.

2. Upon information and belief, GENBAND Inc., is a corporation incorporated under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Frisco, Texas.

3. Upon information and belief, GENBAND US LLC, is a Delaware limited liability company, with its principal place of business in Frisco, Texas.

4. Upon information and belief, GENBAND Management Services Corp. is a corporation incorporated under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Frisco, Texas.

5. Upon information and belief, GENBAND Holdings Company Inc. is a Cayman Island exempted company limited by shares, with its principal place of business in Frisco, Texas. Collectively, GENBAND Inc., GENBAND US LLC, GENBAND Management Services Corp. and GENBAND Holdings Company Inc. are “GENBAND” or “Defendants”.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This is an action for infringement of United States patents arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281-285. The Court has exclusive jurisdiction under Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

7. Upon information and belief, Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction by this Court because Defendants are registered to do business in Texas and have their principal place of business within the Eastern District of Texas.

8. Defendants have committed such purposeful acts and/or transactions in the Eastern District of Texas that it reasonably knew and/or expected that it could be haled into the Court for the Eastern District of Texas as a future consequence of such activity.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendants have conducted and are conducting business in the Eastern District of Texas. Defendants, directly or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, make, use, offer for sale, or sell infringing products within the Eastern District of Texas, or have a continuing presence and the requisite minimum contacts with the Eastern District of Texas such that this is a fair and reasonable venue.

10. Upon information and belief, Defendants have transacted and, at the time of the filing of this Complaint, are continuing to transact business within the Eastern District of Texas, including filing a complaint and litigating its own patent dispute within the Eastern District of Texas.

11. For all of these reasons, personal jurisdiction exists as to Defendants and venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

III. BACKGROUND

12. Inventergy specializes in effectively protecting a company's intellectual property, allowing the company to continue its primary goal, creating new technology.

13. Inventergy's management team is highly experienced in the field of intellectual property creation, protection, licensing, and defense, and is dedicated to applying their business model with fairness and integrity.

14. Inventergy is led by Joe Beyers, the former head of IP and global strategy at Hewlett-Packard with decades of experience in intellectual property, including years identifying, acquiring, and licensing patented technologies for fair value.

15. Inventergy's business model focuses on cultivating strategic relationships with key developers of core technologies who have not yet been fairly compensated for their important contributions. Inventergy identifies, acquires, and licenses portfolios from those developers to help them recoup at least some of their investment, allowing them to reinvest into new technology.

16. The Patents-In-Suit derive from two global telecommunications companies, both of whom are market and industry leaders in the field of Internet Protocol ("IP") Multimedia Core Network Subsystems ("IMS") and of Voice-Over-Internet-Protocol ("VOIP") technologies.

17. The IMS and VOIP technologies are deployed in more than one hundred countries and are used by most of the world's largest telecommunications operators and are related and/or complimentary to numerous industry standards.

18. Inventergy acquired the Patents-In-Suit and now holds all rights, title and interest in the Patents-In-Suit.

19. Upon information and belief, Defendants make, use, offer for sale, and sell equipment required to provide IMS and/or VOIP-related services, including session border controllers ("SBCs"), access gateways, converged gateways, and application servers, which benefited from the research and development efforts of the original developers of the patented technology.

20. Upon information and belief, one or more customers of Defendants purchase equipment from Defendants to provide IMS and/or VOIP-related services, including SBCs, access gateways, converged gateways, and application servers. Defendants provide instructions to those customers on the use and operation of that equipment, and those customers use and operate that equipment according to those instructions.

21. On its website, Defendants boast that it is a global leader in smart networking solutions, is ranked number 1 of the top 50 venture backed companies, and accounts for 26% of revenue in the service provider SBC market segment.

22. Upon information and belief, for 2013, Defendants had in excess of three hundred million dollars in revenue from its sales of products that practice the Patents-In-Suit. Defendants would not have achieved these accomplishments without the use of Inventergy's patents.

23. On seven different occasions and beginning in August 2013, Inventergy attempted to initiate discussions with Defendants to offer a license to the IMS and VOIP portfolio.

24. Through the course of Inventergy's communications to Defendants, Inventergy provided information about its IMS and VOIP portfolio and relevant Defendants' products and offered to discuss reasonable license terms.

25. Despite Inventergy's diligent efforts to achieve an amicable and reasonable resolution of the matter with Defendants, Defendants established themselves as an unwilling licensee, flatly rejecting Inventergy's attempt to license its patents to Defendants, necessitating this Complaint.

26. Upon information and belief, Defendants' hardware and/or software that utilize IMS and/or VOIP technology are especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement, and not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use.

27. Upon information and belief, Defendants specifically intended to induce infringement or knew that they had induced acts that constitute infringement.

IV. PATENTS-IN-SUIT

28. On November 16, 2010, United States Patent No. 7,835,352 ("the '352 patent") was duly and legally issued for "A METHOD, SYSTEM AND EQUIPMENT FOR PROCESSING SIP REQUESTS IN IMS NETWORK." A true and correct copy of the '352 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and made a part hereof. Defendants were aware of the '352 patent at least by February 10, 2014.

29. On December 18, 2012, United States Patent No. 8,335,487 ("the '487 patent") was duly and legally issued for "METHOD FOR AUTHENTICATING USER TERMINAL IN IP MULTIMEDIA SUB-SYSTEM." A true and correct copy of the '487 patent is attached hereto as

Exhibit B and made a part hereof. Defendants were aware of the '487 patent at least by February 10, 2014.

30. On October 5, 2004, United States Patent No. 6,801,542 (“the '542 patent”) was duly and legally issued for “METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PROVIDING AN INTERWORKING UNIT BETWEEN ATM NETWORKS AND IP NETWORKS.” A true and correct copy of the '542 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit C** and made a part hereof. Defendants were aware of the '542 patent at least by July 14, 2014.

31. On April 12, 2011, United States Patent No. 7,925,762 (“the '762 patent”) was duly and legally issued for “ROAMING SUPPORT METHOD AND SYSTEMS IN UMTS.” A true and correct copy of the '762 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit D** and made a part hereof. Defendants were aware of the '762 patent at least by July 14, 2014.

32. On June 7, 2005, United States Patent No. 6,904,035 (“the '035 patent”) was duly and legally issued for “MOBILE SYSTEM, TERMINAL AND INTERFACE, AS WELL AS METHODS FOR PROVIDING BACKWARD COMPATIBILITY TO FIRST AND SECOND GENERATION MOBILE SYSTEMS.” A true and correct copy of the '035 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit E** and made a part hereof. Defendants were aware of the '035 patent at least by July 14, 2014.

V. COUNT I

(Infringement of United States Patent No. 7,835,352)

33. Inventergy incorporates paragraphs 1-32 herein by reference.

34. On May 15, 2013, Inventergy acquired through written assignment all right, title, and interest to the '352 patent.

35. Inventergy is the owner of the '352 patent with the exclusive right to enforce the '352 patent against infringers, and collect damages for all the relevant times, including the right to prosecute this action.

36. Upon information and belief, Defendants manufacture, make, have made, use, practice, import, provide supplies, distribute, sell, and/or offer for sale products and/or systems that infringe one or more claims of the '352 patent; and/or Defendants induce and/or contribute to the infringement of one or more of the claims in the '352 patent by others.

37. Defendants infringe one or more of the claims of the '352 patent because they manufacture, make, have made, use, practice, import, provide, supply, distribute, sell, and/or offer for sale hardware and/or software that utilizes IMS and/or VOIP technology covered by one or more of the claims of the '352 patent, including, but not limited to, Defendants' C20 Call Session Controller and EXPERiUS application server.

38. Defendants manufacture products in the IMS and/or VOIP field, such as, but not limited to, their C20 Call Session Controller and EXPERiUS application server, and sell and offer to sell them around the world, including the United States.

39. These products in the IMS and/or VOIP field, such as, but not limited to, Defendants' C20 Call Session Controller and EXPERiUS application server, use functionality invented by the original inventors of the '352 patent, and enable telecommunications operators to offer high level services to subscribers and enable enterprises to integrate their VOIP systems with the public telecommunications system.

40. Upon information and belief, one or more customers of Defendants purchase, use or operate Defendants' C20 Call Session Controller and EXPERiUS application server. Those customers use or operate Defendants' C20 Call Session Controller and EXPERiUS application

server in the manner as provided by Defendants, or according to instructions as provided by Defendants. Defendants intended to induce its customers to infringe the '352 patent, and/or knew that it had induced acts that constitute infringement of the '352 patent.

41. The Defendants' infringement of the '352 patent in this Complaint has been willful.

VI. COUNT II

(Infringement of United States Patent No. 8,335,487)

42. Inventergy incorporates paragraphs 1-41 herein by reference.

43. On May 15, 2013, Inventergy acquired through written assignment all right, title, and interest to the '487 patent.

44. Inventergy is the owner of the '487 patent with the exclusive right to enforce the '487 patent against infringers, and collect damages for all the relevant times, including the right to prosecute this action.

45. Upon information and belief, Defendants manufacture, make, have made, use, practice, import, provide supplies, distribute, sell, and/or offer for sale products and/or systems that infringe one or more claims of the '487 patent; and/or Defendants induce and/or contribute to the infringement of one or more of the claims in the '487 patent by others.

46. Defendants infringe one or more of the claims of the '487 patent because they manufacture, make, have made, use, practice, import, provide, supply, distribute, sell, and/or offer for sale hardware and/or software that utilizes IMS and/or VOIP technology covered by one or more of the Patents-In-Suit, including, but not limited to, Defendants' EXPERiUS Application Server, C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller.

47. Defendants manufacture products in the IMS and/or VOIP field, such as, but not limited to, their EXPERiUS Application Server, C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller , and sell and offer to sell them around the world, including the United States.

48. These products in the IMS and/or VOIP field, such as, but not limited to, Defendants' EXPERiUS Application Server, C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller , use functionality invented by the original inventors of the '487 patent, and enable telecommunications operators to offer high level services to subscribers and enable enterprises to integrate their VOIP systems with the public telecommunications system.

49. Upon information and belief, one or more customers of Defendants purchase, use or operate Defendants' EXPERiUS Application Server, C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller. Those customers use or operate Defendants' EXPERiUS Application Server, C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller in the manner as provided by Defendants, or according to instructions as provided by Defendants. Defendants intended to induce its customers to infringe the '487 patent, and/or knew that it had induced acts that constitute infringement of the '487 patent.

50. The Defendants' infringement of the claims of the '487 patent in this Complaint has been willful.

VII. COUNT III

(Infringement of United States Patent No. 6,801,542)

51. Inventergy incorporates paragraphs 1-50 herein by reference.

52. On June 9, 2014, Inventergy acquired through written assignment all right, title, and interest to the '542 patent.

53. Inventergy is the owner of the '542 patent with the exclusive right to enforce the '542 patent against infringers, and collect damages for all the relevant times, including the right to prosecute this action.

54. Upon information and belief, Defendants manufacture, make, have made, use, practice, import, provide supplies, distribute, sell, and/or offer for sale products and/or systems that infringe one or more claims of the '542 patent; and/or Defendants induce and/or contribute to the infringement of one or more of the claims in the '542 patent by others.

55. Defendants infringe one or more of the claims of the '542 patent because they manufacture, make, have made, use, practice, import, provide, supply, distribute, sell, and/or offer for sale hardware and/or software that utilizes IMS and/or VOIP technology covered by one or more of the claims of the '542 patent, including, but not limited to, Defendants' C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, G2 Compact Gateway, G5 Line Access Gateway, G6 Universal Gateway, and G9 Converged Gateway.

56. Defendants manufacture products in the IMS and/or VOIP field, such as, but not limited to, their C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, G2 Compact Gateway, G5 Line Access Gateway, G6 Universal Gateway, and G9

Converged Gateway, and sell and offer to sell them around the world, including the United States.

57. These products in the IMS and/or VOIP field, such as, but not limited to, Defendants' C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, G2 Compact Gateway, G5 Line Access Gateway, G6 Universal Gateway, and G9 Converged Gateway, use functionality invented by the original inventors of the '542 patent, and enable telecommunications operators to offer high-level services to subscribers and enable enterprises to integrate their VOIP systems with the public telecommunications system.

58. Upon information and belief, one or more customers of Defendants purchase, use or operate Defendants' C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, G2 Compact Gateway, G5 Line Access Gateway, G6 Universal Gateway, and G9 Converged Gateway. Those customers use or operate Defendants' C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, G2 Compact Gateway, G5 Line Access Gateway, G6 Universal Gateway, and G9 Converged Gateway in the manner as provided by Defendants, or according to instructions as provided by Defendants. Defendants intended to induce its customers to infringe the '542 patent, and/or knew that it had induced acts that constitute infringement of the '542 patent.

59. The Defendants' infringement of the Patents-In-Suit in this Complaint has been willful.

VIII. COUNT IV

(Infringement of United States Patent No. 7,925,762)

60. Inventergy incorporates paragraphs 1-59 herein by reference.

61. On June 9, 2014, Inventergy acquired through written assignment all right, title, and interest to the '762 patent.

62. Inventergy is the owner of the '762 patent with the exclusive right to enforce the '762 patent against infringers, and collect damages for all the relevant times, including the right to prosecute this action.

63. Upon information and belief, Defendants manufacture, make, have made, use, practice, import, provide supplies, distribute, sell, and/or offer for sale products and/or systems that infringe one or more claims of the '762 patent; and/or Defendants induce and/or contribute to the infringement of one or more of the claims in the '762 patent by others.

64. Defendants infringe one or more of the claims of the '762 patent because they manufacture, make, have made, use, practice, import, provide, supply, distribute, sell, and/or offer for sale hardware and/or software that utilizes IMS and/or VOIP technology covered by one or more of the claims of the '762 patent, including, but not limited to, Defendants' EXPERiUS Application Server, C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller .

65. Defendants manufacture products in the IMS and/or VOIP field, such as, but not limited to, their EXPERiUS Application Server, C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller , and sell and offer to sell them around the world, including the United States.

66. These products in the IMS and/or VOIP field, such as, but not limited to, Defendants' EXPERiUS Application Server, C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller , use

functionality invented by the original inventors of the '762 patent, and enable telecommunications operators to offer high level services to subscribers and enable enterprises to integrate their VOIP systems with the public telecommunications system.

67. Upon information and belief, one or more customers of Defendants purchase, use or operate Defendants' EXPERiUS Application Server, C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller. Those customers use or operate Defendants' EXPERiUS Application Server, C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller in the manner as provided by Defendants, or according to instructions as provided by Defendants. Defendants intended to induce its customers to infringe the '762 patent, and/or knew that it had induced acts that constitute infringement of the '762 patent.

68. The Defendants' infringement of the '762 patent in this Complaint has been willful.

IX. COUNT V

(Infringement of United States Patent No. 6,904,035)

69. Inventergy incorporates paragraphs 1-68 herein by reference.

70. On June 9, 2014, Inventergy acquired through written assignment all right, title, and interest to the '035 patent.

71. Inventergy is the owner of the '035 patent with the exclusive right to enforce the '035 patent against infringers, and collect damages for all the relevant times, including the right to prosecute this action.

72. Upon information and belief, Defendants manufacture, make, have made, use, practice, import, provide supplies, distribute, sell, and/or offer for sale products and/or systems that infringe one or more claims of the '035 patent; and/or Defendants induce and/or contribute to the infringement of one or more of the claims in the '035 patent by others.

73. Defendants infringe one or more of the claims of the '035 patent because they manufacture, make, have made, use, practice, import, provide, supply, distribute, sell, and/or offer for sale hardware and/or software that utilizes IMS and/or VOIP technology covered by one or more of the claims of the '035 patent, including, but not limited to, Defendants' C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller.

74. Defendants manufacture products in the IMS and/or VOIP field, such as, but not limited to, their C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller, and sell and offer to sell them around the world, including the United States.

75. These products in the IMS and/or VOIP field, such as, but not limited to, Defendants' C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller, use functionality invented by the original inventors of the '035 patent, and enable telecommunications operators to offer high-level services to subscribers and enable enterprises to integrate their VOIP systems with the public telecommunications system.

76. Upon information and belief, one or more customers of Defendants purchase, use or operate Defendants' C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller. Those customers use or operate Defendants' C3 Call Session Controller, C15 Call Session Controller, C20 Call Session Controller, QUANTiX Q10 Session Border Controller, QUANTiX Q20 Session Border Controller, and QUANTiX Q21 Session Border Controller in the manner as provided by Defendants, or according to instructions as provided by Defendants. Defendants intended to induce its customers to infringe the '035 patent, and/or knew that it had induced acts that constitute infringement of the '035 patent.

77. The Defendants' infringement of the '035 patent in this Complaint has been willful.

X. JURY DEMAND

78. Inventergy hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

XI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Inventergy prays that the Court find in its favor and against Defendants, and that the Court grant Inventergy the following relief:

- a. Judgment that one or more claims of the Patents-In-Suit have been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Defendant and/or by others to whose infringement Defendants have contributed and/or by others whose infringement has been induced by Defendants;

b. Judgment that the Defendants account for and pay to Inventergy all damages to and costs incurred by Inventergy because of Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;

c. Enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

d. A compulsory post-judgment royalty of not less than a reasonable royalty to compensate for future infringement;

e. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum rate allowable by law on the damages caused to it by reason of Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;

f. That this Court declare this an exceptional case and award Inventergy its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

g. That Inventergy be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

DATED this 23rd day of February 2015.

/s/William Sloan Coats
Admitted Pro Hac Vice
NOVAK DRUCE CONNOLLY
BOVE + QUIGG LLP
555 Mission St., 34th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: (415) 814-6161
Fax: (415) 814-6165
William.coats@novakdruce.com

LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

/s/Chris L. Holm
Admitted Pro Hac Vice
NOVAK DRUCE CONNOLLY
BOVE + QUIGG LLP
333 S. Grand Ave., 23rd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Tel: (213) 787-2500
Fax: (213) 687-0498
Chris.holm@novakdruce.com

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

/s/Wesley Klimczak
Admitted Pro Hac Vice
NOVAK DRUCE CONNOLLY
BOVE + QUIGG LLP
21771 Stevens Creek Blvd., 1st Floor
Cupertino, CA 95014
Tel: (408) 414-7330
Fax: (408) 996-1145
Wes.klimczak@novakdruce.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

/s/William R. Towns
TEXAS COUNSEL 20158200
NOVAK DRUCE CONNOLLY
BOVE + QUIGG LLP
1000 Louisiana St., 53rd Floor
Houston, TX 77002
Tel: (713) 571-3400
Fax: (713) 456-2836

bill.towns@novakdruce.com

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Attorneys for Plaintiff Inventergy Inc.

/s/ Eric H. Findlay
Eric H. Findlay, Texas Bar No. 00789886
efindlay@findlaycraft.com
Brian Craft, Texas Bar No. 04972020
bcraft@findlaycraft.com
Findlay Craft PC
102 N College Ave., Suite 900
Tyler, TX 75702
903/534-1100
Fax: 903/534-1137
Email: efindlay@findlaycraft.com

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Attorneys for Plaintiff Inventergy Inc.