1	ANTON HANDAL (Bar No. 113812)	
2	ANTON HANDAL (Bar No. 113812) anh@handal-law.com PAMELA C. CHALK (Bar No. 216411)	
3	pchalk@handal-law.com GABRIEL HEDRICK (Bar No. 220649) ghedrick@handal-law.com	
4	HANDAL & ASSOCIATES	
5	750 B Street, Suite 2510 San Diego, California 92101	
6	Tel: 619.544.6400 Fax: 619.696.0323	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant e.Digital Corporation	
8	g	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11		CT OF CALIFORNIA   Case No. 3:12-cv-2698- DMS-WVG
12	e.Digital Corporation,	PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-
13	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT E.DIGITAL CORPORATION'S
14	V.	SECOND AMENDED
15	SanDisk Corporation,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
16 17	Defendant.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
18		Assigned to the
19		Honorable Judge Dana M. Sabraw
20		Ctrm: 13A (Annex)
21	Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation ("e.Digital" or "Plaintiff"), by and through its	
22	undersigned counsel, complains and alleges against Defendant SanDisk	
23	Corporation ("Defendant" or "SanDisk") as follows:	
24	NATURE OF THE ACTION	
25	1. This is a civil action for infringement of a patent arising under the	
26	laws of the United States relating to patents, 35 U.S.C. § 101, et seq., including	
27	without limitation, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281. Plaintiff e.Digital seeks a preliminary	
<u> </u>		

1 | 2 |

Patent Nos. 5,742,737 and 5,839,108.

3

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (d) and 1400(b). On

information and belief, Defendant conducts substantial business directly and/or

through third parties or agents in this judicial district by selling and/or offering to

sell the infringing products and/or by conducting other business in this judicial

district. Furthermore, Plaintiff e.Digital is headquartered and has its principal

place of business in this district, engages in business in this district, and has been

information and belief, Defendant transacts continuous and systematic business

within the State of California and the Southern District of California. In addition,

this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because, on information

and belief, this lawsuit arises out of Defendant's infringing activities, including,

without limitation, the making, using, selling and/or offering to sell infringing

products in the State of California and the Southern District of California. Finally,

this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on information and

belief, Defendant has made, used, sold and/or offered for sale its infringing

products and placed such infringing products in the stream of interstate commerce

with the expectation that such infringing products would be made, used, sold

and/or offered for sale within the State of California and the Southern District of

harmed by Defendant's conduct, business transactions and sales in this district.

Venue properly lies within the Southern District of California

This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, on

and permanent injunction and monetary damages for the infringement of its U.S.

4 5 2. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and pursuant to the patent laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 101, et seq.

6 7

8

3.

4

10

11

12

1314

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

\_ .

27

28

California.

5. Upon information and belief, certain of the products manufactured by

Defendant have been and/or are currently sold and/or offered for sale to consumers including, but not limited to, SanDisk's website located at <a href="http://shop.sandisk.com/store?Action=DisplayHomePage&Env=BASE&Locale=e">http://shop.sandisk.com/store?Action=DisplayHomePage&Env=BASE&Locale=e</a> <a href="mailto:n\_US&SiteID=sdiskus">n\_US&SiteID=sdiskus</a> which is available to consumers located within the State of California.

## **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff e.Digital is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business at 16870 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 120, San Diego, California 92127.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant SanDisk Corporation is a company registered and lawfully existing under the laws of Delaware, with an office and principal place of business located at 951 SanDisk Drive, Milpitas, CA 95035-7933.

# THE ASSERTED PATENTS

- 8. On November 17, 1998, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,839,108 ("the '108 patent") entitled "Flash Memory File System In A Handheld Record And Playback Device," to its named inventors Norbert P. Daberko and Richard K. Davis. Plaintiff e.Digital is the assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '108 patent and has the right to bring this suit for damages and other relief. A true and correct copy of the '108 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 9. On April 21, 1998, United States Patent No. 5,742,737 ("the '737 patent") entitled "Method For Recording Voice Messages On Flash Memory In A Hand Held Recorder," was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The named inventors are Norbert P. Daberko, Richard K. Davis, and Richard D. Bridgewater. e.Digital is the assignee and owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '737 patent and has the right to bring this suit for damages and other relief. A true and correct copy of the '737 patent is

attached hereto as Exhibit B.

10. On October 17, 2012, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued a Reexamination Certificate for the '737 patent, canceling Claim 5 and adding new Claim 13, which is substantially identical to former claim 5. A true and correct copy of the Reexamination Certificate is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

### **COUNT ONE**

#### INFRINGEMENT OF THE '108 PATENT BY DEFENDANT

- 11. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 10 above.
- 12. The accused products include but are not limited to Defendant's Flash Memory Storage products including but not limited to its iNAND (eMMC) products, Compact Flash card products, microSD card products, SD card products, USB products, mSATA SSD products, SATA SSD products, and/or NAND Controller products. The primary and substantial purpose of the accused products is to write to and store data in electronic format in non-volatile flash memory.
- 13. Defendant has directly and indirectly infringed and is directly and indirectly infringing Claim 1 of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, et seq., by making, using, offering for sale, selling in the United States and/or importing into the United States without authority, the accused products identified above. Claim 1 of the '108 patent teaches a method of memory management for a non-volatile storage medium. The method comprises several steps, which generally involves, without limitation, writing electronic data segments from volatile, temporary memory to a non-volatile, long-term storage medium by linking data segments according to a number of specified steps.
- 14. Plaintiff alleges that at least as of the date of the filing of the originally filed complaint in this matter, if not sooner, Defendant knew or should have known of the existence of Claim 1 of the '108 patent and the fact that the accused products infringe said Claim 1.

- 28

  HANDAL & ASSOCIATES
  750 B STREET
  SUITE 2510
  SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
  TEL: 619.544.6400
  FAX: 619.696.0323

- 15. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant sold, sells, offers to sell, ships, or otherwise delivers the accused products to customers or end-users with all the features required to infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent. Upon information and belief, Defendant knows that the accused products infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent and intends to induce third parties to include its customers and end-users to also infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent.
- 16. Upon information and belief, the accused products, alone or in combination with other products, directly or, alternatively, under the doctrine of equivalents practice each of the limitations of independent Claim 1 of the '108 patent when they are used for their normal and intended purpose of writing to and storing electronic data on non-volatile memory. Thus, Defendant directly infringes Claim 1 of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) when it demonstrates, tests or otherwise uses the accused products in the United States.
- 17. Plaintiff also alleges on information and belief that Defendant uses, makes, sells, offers to sell and/or imports the accused products knowing that they will be used by its customers and end-users for writing and storing electronic data to non-volatile memory utilizing the steps described in Claim 1 of the '108 patent utilizing flash memory. Defendant's product literature, videos, blogs, articles, instructional materials, and/or instructional videos advertise and encourage customers to use the accused product(s) knowing that the accused products utilize the methods of memory management taught by Claim 1 of the '108 patent and in a manner it knows infringes upon Claim 1 of the '108 patent.
- 18. Defendant also provides operating manuals, installation guides, support forums, how-to videos, user or guides, instructional, or other instructional and/or informational material that instruct customers and end-users on how to connect the accused products and use them as non-volatile storage devices for electronic data. Among other things, Defendant's informational materials lay out step-by-step instructions on how to write data into the memory of the accused

products – a process that utilizes the method disclosed in Claim 1 of the '108
patent and which Defendant knows (at the least as of the filing of the original
complaint if not sooner) infringes the method taught in Claim 1 of the '108 patent
Plaintiff believes that Defendant directs consumers and end-users to consult and
utilize such instructional material.

- 19. Plaintiff believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant is aware that its customers and end-users are using the accused products in an infringing manner based on, among other things, the fact that Defendant encourages its customers and end-users to use the accused products in an infringing manner as set forth in the preceding Paragraphs.
- 20. By way of example, certain website(s) and/or the Defendant's own website publish the Defendant's descriptions of the specifications, features and functionality of the accused products which disclose the use of the accused products. Examples can be found on the Internet<sup>1</sup> where, upon information and belief, consumers and end-users are provided information concerning how to use of the accused products in a way that infringes Claim 1. Such conduct evidences Defendant's act of indirect infringement of Claim 1 of the '108 patent.
- 21. As alleged above, incorporated herewith, and based upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant, without authority, has induced and continues to induce infringement of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) inasmuch as:
  - a. The accused products infringe Claim 1 during the normal use of the accused products by Defendant's customers and/or end-users;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, e.g.:

<sup>26</sup> http://downloads.sandisk.com/downloads/um/ssddashboard-um-en.pdf https://www.youtube.com/user/SANDISK/videos

http://kb.sandisk.com/app/answers/detail/a\_id/312/kw/garbage%20collection http://kb.sandisk.com/app/answers/detail/a\_id/8145/kw/garbage%20collection

- b. Defendant has known and has been continuously aware of the '108 patent since at least the filing of the original complaint in this action, if not sooner;
- c. Defendant has acted in a manner that encourages and continues to encourage others to infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent by, among other things, intentionally instructing and/or encouraging customers and end-users to use the accused products in a manner that Defendant knows or should have known would cause them to infringe the '108 patent;
- d. Defendant sells, distributes, and supplies the accused products to customers and end-users with the intent that the products be used in an infringing manner;
- e. Defendant provides operating manuals, guides, instructional and/or informational videos, or other instructional and/or informational material designed to instruct customers and endusers to use the products in an infringing manner; and,
- f. Defendant advertises, markets, and promotes the use of the accused products in an infringing manner.
- 22. As alleged above, incorporated herewith, and based upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant has contributed and continues to contribute to the infringement of Claim 1 of the '108 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) inasmuch as:
  - a. The accused products infringe Claim 1 of the '108 patent during the normal use of the accused products by Defendant's customers and/or end-users;
  - b. Defendant has known and has been continuously aware of the '108 patent since at least the filing of the original complaint in this action, if not sooner;

28

HANDAL & ASSOCIATES
750 B STREET
SUITE 2510
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400
FAX: 619.696.0323

- c. Defendant imports into the United States, sells and/or offers to sell within the United States products that (a) practice the method of memory management of Claim 1 of the '108 patent; and, (b) Defendant knows that the same constitute material infringing component(s) of the accused products, which were made and/or especially adapted for use in the accused products;
- d. The memory management component(s) and methods of the accused products are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use with respect to the '108 patent; and,
- e. Defendant sells, has sold, and/or has supplied the accused products knowing of Plaintiff's '108 patent and knowing that the accused products incorporate Plaintiff's patented method and/or were specially adapted for use in a way which infringes the '108 patent.
- 23. As alleged above, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant had notice of the '108 patent and knowledge of infringement of Claim 1 of the '108 patent since at least the filing of the original complaint in this matter, if not sooner. Defendant has and continues to sell products that practice the '108 patent after acquiring knowledge of infringement.

## **COUNT TWO**

#### **INFRINGEMENT OF THE '737 PATENT BY DEFENDANT**

- 24. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 23 above.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendant, without authority, (a) has directly infringed and continue to directly infringe the '737 patent by making, using, offering to sell, or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, products that practice one ore more claims of the '737 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a); (b) has induced and continue to induce

6

10 11

12 13

14 15

17

16

18 19

21

20

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

ANDAL & ASSOCIATES 750 B STREET
SUITE 2510
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TEL: 619.544.6400
FAX: 619.696.0323 infringement of one or more claims of the '737 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b); and (c) has contributed and continue to contribute to the infringement of one ore more claims of the '737 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

- 26. The accused products for purposes of the '737 patent include but are not limited to the SanDisk Extreme Pro, Extreme, Ultra, Standard, and Wireless SD and microSD cards; "CompactFlash" cards; "Memory Stick" cards; and any other SanDisk removable flash memory modules/chips that incorporate a microcontroller.
- 27. The accused products, alone or in combination with other products, practice each of the limitations of independent claims 1, 4, 9, and 13, and dependent claims 2 through 3 and 6 of the '737 patent.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant, without authority, has actively induced infringement and continues to actively induce infringement of the '737 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by causing others to directly infringe the claims of the '737 patent and/or by intentionally instructing others how to use the accused products in a manner that infringes the claims of the '737 patent. On information and belief, Defendant has induced and continues to induce infringement by instructing customers to operate the products in an infringing manner and/or when Defendant tests or otherwise operates the accused products in the United States.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant, without authority, has 29. contributed to and continues to contribute to the infringement of the '737 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by importing into the United States, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States accused products that (1) constitute a material part of the invention of the '737 patent, (2) Defendant knows to be especially adapted for use in infringing the '737 patent, and (3) are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use with respect to the '737 patent.

- 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
- 5
- 7

- 8
- 1011
- 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 2122
- 23
- 24
- 2526
- 27
- 28 Andal & associates
- NDAL & ASSOCIATES
  750 B STREET
  SUITE 2510
  SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
  TEL: 619.544.6400
  FAX: 619.696.0323

- 30. Based on information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant sells, ships, or otherwise delivers the accused products with all the features required to infringe the asserted claims of the '737 patent. On information and belief, these products are designed to practice the infringing features.
- 31. Defendant had knowledge of infringement of the '737 patent since at least the filing of this complaint and perhaps as early as 2010 by virtue of the Plaintiff's filing of complaints against others within Defendant's industry. On information and belief, Defendant has continued to sell products that practice the '737 patent after acquiring knowledge of infringement.
- 32. Upon information and belief, the infringement by Defendant has been and is willful.
- 33. Plaintiff has been irreparably harmed by these acts of infringement and has no adequate remedy at law. Upon information and belief, infringement of the '737 patent is ongoing and will continue unless Defendant is enjoined from further infringement by the court.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

- 1. That Defendant be declared to have infringed the Patent-in-Suit;
- 2. That Defendant, Defendant's officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from infringement of the Patent-in-Suit, including but not limited to any making, using, offering for sale, selling, or importing of unlicensed infringing products within and without the United States;
- 3. Compensation for all damages caused by Defendant's infringement of the Patent-in-Suit to be determined at trial;
- 4. A finding that this case is exceptional and an award of reasonable attorneys fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;
  - 5. Granting Plaintiff pre-and post-judgment interest on its damages,

# **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all claims. **HANDAL & ASSOCIATES** Dated: March 27, 2015 By: /s/Anton N. Handal Anton N. Handal Pamela C. Chalk Gabriel G. Hedrick Attorneys for Plaintiff e.Digital Corporation

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served on this date to all counsel of record, if any to date, who are deemed to have consented to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system per CivLR 5.4(d). Any other counsel of record will be served by electronic mail, facsimile and/or overnight delivery upon their appearance in this matter.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 27th day of March, 2015 at San Diego, California.

#### HANDAL & ASSOCIATES

By: /s/Anton N. Handal
Anton N. Handal
Pamela C. Chalk
Gabriel G. Hedrick
Attorneys for Plaintiff
e.Digital Corporation

Dated: March 27, 2015