

ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2000

Daisy Washington-Gross
15745 Winthrop
Detroit, Michigan 48227

↑ Plaintiff,

v.

Civil No.

00-71384

Judicial Officer:

PAUL D. BORMAN

① REEBOK Intellectual Property, Deptet. a
② Bliss and Mc Gynn, Express Mail, Howard and Howard
③ Reising, Elhington, Barnard, Perry, Learman
and Mc Culloch, United States Department of Commerce
Patent and Trademarks, and United States Post Master
General, and Brooks and Kushman
↑ Defendant(s).

MAGISTRATE JUDGE GOLDMAN

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EAST. DIST. MICH.
DETROIT
APR 12 1 46 PM '00
FILED (1.)

COMPLAINT

I invented the first gym shoe, to help althelic's time the feet

movement, called BEEPER DISC DIGITAL, detachable gym shoe, disc digital, with time/step sensor scanner devices, mirco-chips. I also invented the

first Beeper Disc Digital gym shoe, computer wrist watch with deachable disc digital devices, as a part of the gym shoe.

The Beeper Disc gym shoe was inacted into the Disclosure Document program in January of 1993. The Beeper Disc Digital gym shoe was inacted into the

Disclosure Document No. 336449. The Design and Utility specification, were removed from the self-address envelope in-route, mailed to Detroit, back to

I Daisy Washington-Gross. The person or person's left the fill Disclosure Document receipt notice inside the brown self-address envelope and left the

notice letter to inact the Beeper Disc Digital gym shoe into the Disclosure Document in envelope, but took the Design drawings from the envelope. I

called the Post Office and a inspector, came to my home to investigate the envelope and said, " It had to be taken out in Washington D.C. and wrote up

a report for the recrcr's. On July 16,1995, I filled out a application for a Utility patent and a application for a Design patent. I placed both

patents in the same emvelope, each specification stated the petition of application. Each payment for the application, where staped to the specifi-

cation of application. I applied for the Utility and Design patents on July 24,1995. I called the patent office on August 24,195, and asked about the

applications for the Beeper Disc Digital gym shoe. I expressed to the Patent office that I sented two patents in the same envelope. I sent a Utility,

application with payment, staped to that specification of application,
OVER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

Daisy Washington-Gross
15745 Winthrop
Detroit, Michigan 48227

↑ Plaintiff,

v.

Civil No.

REEBOK Intellectual Property Dept. et. al. Bliss and Mc Gynn, Express Mail, Howard and Howard, Reising, Elhington, Barnard, Perry, Learman and Mc Culloch, United States Department of Commerce, Patent and Trademarks, and United States Post Master General and Brooks and Kushman

Judicial Officer:

↑ Defendant(s).

(2.)

The Law firm of Howard and Howard, Reising, Elhington, Barnard, Perry, Milton any more. But the Patent and TradeMark office still sent them my correspondence and paper work after, I had written them asking them not too, on February 22, 1996. I lost my Trademark, because they sent Mr. Asher, my notice of extention of time, and not me. I lost my Trademark, because I could not reply in a timely fashion, in-regard to the notice of extention.

I did not get a notice from the Commissioner of Trademarks, until it was "said, that I abandoned my trademark. I wrote them a letter asking" the Commissioner, why the Trademark office sent my correspondence to Mr. Asher, after I had wrote and asked them not to. The Commissioner sent me a letter telling me the Commissioner, was sorry, but I would still have to refile for another Trademark. I think it is so unfare. Mr. Asher termination of my representation was November 16, 1995, not March of 1996. I have papers to prove that fact. I want the court to find out if Mr. Asher was getting any of my paper work for my patent sent to Mr. Asher, when his name was not any where on my patent. I want to know who put white-out on my green return receipt and changed the numbers of receipt. I received a letter on August 27, 1999 from the Law firm of Howard and Howard stating that for some time, Mr. Robin Asher has worked on your behalf as a shareholder of Howard and Howard, Mr. Asher decided to leave the firm of Howard and Howard and continue his practice at the firm of Bliss, McGlynn. Mr. Asher and Howard AND Howard, P.C wish to ensure that your interests are protected and your wishes

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

Daisy Washington-Gross
15745 Winthrop
Detroit, Michigan 48227

↑ Plaintiff,

v.

Civil No.

REEBOK Intellectual Property Dept. et Judicial Officer:
al. Bliss and Mc Gynn, Express Mail, Howard and Howard,
Reising, Elhington, Barnard, Perry, Learman and Mc Culloch,
United States Department of Commerce, Patent and Trademarks,
and United States Post Master General and Brooks and Kushman

↑ Defendant(s).

(3.)

Why did the patent office wait a year to sent I Daisy Washington-Gross, a letter notice of abandonment mailed 02/16/00, they should have let I Daisy

Washington-Gross, know sooner then almost a year Past, that my papers were not in the patent office, after six months the patent office should know

that records are not kept in some business, that what Express Mail told me. after I called them. I know I sent a response to the patent office, on

May 21, 1999, by express mail, with a green card return. May be the work is behind in the Mail room at the patent office, my patent for Utility was

lost, but showed up later, I got a return receipt back with tampering of the numbers on the green card, which I showed the postal system. I have had

so many negative things happening, since I invented My computer gym shoe. When Mr. Robin w. Asher, "stated to I Daisy Washington_Gross, that everybody

was going to have my Design, on the phone that's when I decided to do my own patents for Utility and Design in 1995. I also sent Chrysler Corporation

a copy of my Design hoping that they would invest's in my gym shoe for the next Olympiic, I talked with Mr. Chency of the outside suggestions. after I sent the outside suggestions form back, along with a copy of my patent pending I got no, more response from Chrysler, but my green receipt card sent that Chrysler got the package.

I Daisy Washington-Gross, wrote the Attorney Generals office in Michigan, after I wrote a letter to Ruth to the Resue of Channel 4 Television, on April 4, 1996, Fax 1 313 222-0471 to investigate, my patent pending.

OVER