

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS**

HAWK TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Action No: 2:15-cv-7998
v.)	
)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
LAWRENCE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL)	
ENDOWMENT ASSOCIATION,)	
)	
Serve: Byron E. Springer, Registered Agent)	
Massachusetts at S. Park)	
P.O. Box 666 Lawrence, KS 66044,)	
and)	
)	
Serve: Officer, Managing or General Agent)	
325 Maine Street)	
Lawrence, KS 66044)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

**HAWK TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, LLC’S
COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff, Hawk Technology Systems, LLC, for its Complaint for Patent Infringement against Defendant Lawrence Memorial Hospital Endowment Association, alleges the following:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Hawk Technology Systems, LLC (“Hawk”) is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida and maintains its principal place of business at 2 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 3800, Miami, Florida 33131.

2. Defendant Lawrence Memorial Hospital Endowment Association (“LMH”) is a not for profit corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Kansas with its principal business address located at 325 Maine Street, Lawrence, Kansas 66044.

3. LMH's registered agent is Byron E. Springer. The registered office is located at Massachusetts at S. Park, P.O. Box 666, Lawrence, KS 66044.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a), this Court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action because this is an action arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et. seq.*

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over LMH because LMH (a) operates, conducts, engages in and/or or carries on a business in the State of Kansas; (b) committed tortious acts within the state of Kansas; and (c) is engaging in substantial and not isolated activity within the state of Kansas.

6. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b), venue is proper in this district.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

7. Hawk Technology Systems was formed in 2012 to commercialize the inventions of its founder, Barry Schwab.

8. Mr. Ken Washino and Mr. Schwab invented United States Patent No. RE43,462 entitled "Video Monitoring and Conferencing System" (the "'462 Patent").

9. The '462 Patent is a reissue of United States Patent No. 5,625,410 (the "'410 Patent").

10. The '462 Patent was duly and legally reissued on June 12, 2012, by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A true and correct copy of the '462 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

11. The independent claims and/or dependent claims in the reissued '462 Patent are substantially identical to the corresponding claims in the original '410 Patent.

12. Mr. Washino and Mr. Schwab have collaborated on a number of other pioneering inventions resulting in patents in the areas of video archiving, video downloading, and digital cinema.

13. Mr. Schwab also is a named inventor on more than thirty patents, ranging from consumer products to secure network computing.

14. Hawk is the exclusive owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '462 Patent, including the right to exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringement thereof.

15. Hawk became the owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '462 Patent by virtue of an assignment from Multi-Format, Inc., a New Jersey corporation ("MFI").

16. MFI obtained its rights, title, and interest in the '462 Patent by virtue of an assignment from Messrs. Washino and Schwab.

17. The abstract for the '462 Patent states:

A PC-based system for monitoring and storing representative images from video cameras which may be utilized for security or other monitoring applications. Camera inputs from digital or analog sources are individually and independently digitized and displayed at a first set of image sizes, sampling rates, and frame rates, and may be stored in digital form on various recording media at a second set of image sizes, sampling rates, and frame rates, and these two sets of sizes and rates may or may not be identical. Provisions are included for adding detection or alarm systems which will automatically alter image size, sampling rate and/or frame rate of an individual input source, or activate other physical responses. In addition to security system monitoring, further applications of the invention are disclosed for process monitoring in manufacturing environments and also for applications in videoconferencing.

18. Claim 12 of the '462 patent states:

The method of simultaneously displaying and storing multiple video images, comprising the steps of:

receiving video images at a personal computer based system from one or more sources;

digitizing any of the images not already in digital form using an analog-to-digital converter;

displaying at least certain of the digitized images in separate windows on a personal computer based display device, using a first set of temporal and spatial parameters associated with each image in each window;

converting one or more of the video source images into a data storage format using a second set of temporal and spatial parameters associated with each image; and simultaneously storing the converted images in a storage device.

('462 Patent, Col. 11, line 62 – Col. 12, line 10).

COUNT I – DIRECT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '462 PATENT

19. Each of the foregoing paragraphs and the allegations contained therein are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

20. LMH operates the hospital and medical facility doing business as Lawrence Memorial Hospital at 325 Maine Street, Lawrence, Kansas 66044 (the "Hospital").

21. By reviewing publically available information, Hawk learned that LMH infringed Claim 12 of the '462 Patent or one or more of Claim 12's dependent claims at the Hospital.

22. Without Hawk's authorization, LMH infringed Claim 12 of the '462 Patent or one or more of Claim 12's dependent claims at the Hospital.

23. Hawk has been damaged by LMH's infringement.

24. Hawk has retained counsel to represent it in this matter and is obligated to pay its counsel a reasonable fee for its services.

25. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, Hawk is entitled to recover its attorneys' fees.

26. For the avoidance of doubt, Hawk only seeks damages which are not barred by the statute of limitations for infringement that occurred prior to the patent expiring on April 29, 2014.

WHEREFORE, Hawk respectfully requests the Court:

A. Enter a judgment finding that Lawrence Memorial Hospital Endowment Association has directly infringed Claim 12 of the '462 Patent or one of Claim 12's dependent claims;

B. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, order Lawrence Memorial Hospital Endowment Association to pay damages adequate to compensate for the infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs;

C. Award interest as allowed by applicable law;

D. Declare that this case is an exceptional case of patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred by Hawk in connection with this action; and

E. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: May 8, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

LEVY CRAIG LAW FIRM
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

By /s/ Michael M. Tamburini
Michael M. Tamburini #70049
1301 Oak Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64106
(816) 474-8181
Fax: 816/382-6618
mtamburini@levycraig.com

*Attorney for Plaintiff Hawk Technology
Systems, LLC*