IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

HAWK TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:15-cv-245

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

v.

PATENT CASE

ACCENT FOOD SERVICES, LLC,

Defendant.

AMENDED COMPLAINT

Hawk Technology Systems, LLC files this Amended Complaint against Accent Food Services, LLC for infringement of United States Patent No. RE43,462.

THE PARTIES

 Plaintiff Hawk Technology Systems, LLC ("Hawk") is a Florida Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business at 2 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 3800, Miami, Florida 33131.

2. Defendant Accent Food Services, LLC ("Defendant") is a Texas Limited Liability Company with its primary place of business at 16209 Central Commerce Parkway, Pflugerville, Texas 78660, and places of business across Texas including within this District at 727 S.E. Stallings, Nacogdoches, TX 75964. Defendant's Registered Agent in the State of Texas is CT Corporation System, located at 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201. 3. Defendant's principal place of business is Texas, and according to its website (www.accentfoods.com), it "service[s] most major markets throughout Texas."¹ On information and belief, Defendant's use of the infringing technology takes place at its locations across Texas.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

4. This is a civil action for infringement of United States Patent No. RE43,462 (the "Patent-in-Suit"), arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq*.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (Federal Question) and 1338(a) (Patents).

6. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of infringement in the United States including use within this District and elsewhere in the State of Texas. Thus, Defendant is deemed to reside in this District for purposes of this action.

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant and venue is proper in this district because Defendant has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in and directed toward the State of Texas, including in this district and has engaged in continuous and systematic activities in the State of Texas, including in this District.

THE PATENT-IN-SUIT

8. The Patent-in-Suit, U.S. Patent No. RE43,462, entitled "Video Monitoring and Conferencing System," was reissued on June 12, 2012; it is a reissue of United States Patent No. 5,625,410 (the '410 Patent), which was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 29, 1997. A copy of the Patent-in-Suit is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

¹ *Locations*, ACCENT FOODS, http://www.accentfoods.com/beverage-coffee-snack-vending-locations (last visited Feb. 20, 2015).

Case 2:15-cv-00245-JRG Document 18 Filed 05/15/15 Page 3 of 5 PageID #: 79

9. The Patent-in-Suit is valid and enforceable against infringers for past infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 286.

10. Hawk is the exclusive owner of all rights, title, and interest in the Patent-in-Suit, including the right to enforce, sue, and recover damages for infringement thereof for all relevant times.

11. The named inventors, Messrs. Ken Washino and Barry Schwab, have collaborated on a number of other pioneering inventions resulting in patents in the areas of video archiving, video downloading and digital cinema.

12. Mr. Schwab is a named inventor on more than thirty patents, ranging from consumer products to secure network computing.

INFRINGEMENT OF THE PATENT-IN-SUIT

13. Hawk incorporates paragraphs 1 through 12 by reference as if fully stated herein.

14. Defendant has directly infringed, at least, claim 12 of the Patent-in-Suit under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing products and/or methods embodying the patent and therefore encompassed by those claims.

15. Hawk has given Defendant notice of its infringement by virtue of service the original complaint (Dkt. 1) on March 5, 2015.

16. Defendant's use of the GeoVision video surveillance system is an example of an infringing product and/or method.

17. Hawk has been damaged by Defendant's infringement of the Patent-in-Suit.

18. Defendant's conduct in infringing the Patent-in-Suit renders this case exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285.

3

JURY DEMAND

19. Plaintiff Hawk hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Hawk prays for judgment as follows:

A. That Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the patent-in-suit;

B. That Defendant account for and pay all damages necessary to adequately compensate Hawk for infringement of the patent-in-suit, such damages to be determined by a jury, and that such damages be awarded to Hawk with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;

C. That this case be declared an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Hawk be awarded the attorney fees, costs, and expenses that it incurs prosecuting this action; and

D. That Hawk be awarded such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DATED: May 15, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ David A. Bailey</u> David A. Bailey State Bar of Texas No. 24078177 **LAW OFFICE OF DAVID BAILEY** 811 S. Central Expressway, Suite 307 Richardson, Texas 75080 P: (972) 372-4235 F: (972) 372-0773 E: dbailey@lodb.net

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing document was filed electronically on May 15, 2015 pursuant to Local Rule CV-5(a) and has been served on all counsel who are deemed to have consented to electronic service.

> <u>/s/ David A. Bailey</u> David A. Bailey