CONFORM COPY Daniel M. Cislo, Esq., No. 125,378 dan@cislo.com Mark D. Nielsen, Esq., No. 210,023 mnielsen@cislo.com CISLO & THOMAS LLP 1333 2nd Street, Suite 500 Santa Monica, California 90401-4110 Telephone: (310) 451-0647 Telefax: (310) 394-4477 FILED CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT SEP 2 0 2013 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Attorneys for Plaintiff, AMINI INNOVATION CORPORATION 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 caCN.13-07012-156 AMINI INNOVATION 11 CORPORATION, a California corporation. 12 **COMPLAINT FOR:** Plaintiff. 13 (1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT VS. 14 BANK AND ESTATE LIQUIDATORS, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE I, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE (2) DESIGN PATENT 15 INFRINGEMENT 16 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 17 II, INC., a Texas corporation, BEK FURNITURE III, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE IV, 18 INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE V, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE VI, 19 201 corporation, BEL FURNITURE VI, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE VII, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE (BEAUMONT), INC., a Texas corporation, and BEL FURNITURE (CLEARANCE), INC., a Texas corporation, and DOES 1-9, inclusive, 21 22 23 24 Defendants. 25 26 27

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SANTA MONICA, CALIFOR TELEPHONE: (310) 451-0647

For its Complaint, Plaintiff Amini Innovation Corporation, alleges as follows:

PARTIES

- Plaintiff Amini Innovation Corporation ("Plaintiff" or "AICO") is a 1. California corporation having its principal place of business at 8725 Rex Road, Pico Rivera, California 90660. AICO displays its furniture at various trade shows and on the Internet.
- 2. Defendant Bank and Estate Liquidators, Inc. ("BEL") is a Texas corporation with a business address of P.O. Box 421126, Houston, Texas 77242-1126, and an address for its registered agent of 11155 Westpark Drive, Houston, Texas 77042-5048.
- 3. Defendant BEL Furniture, Inc. ("BEL Furniture") is a Texas corporation with a business address of 910 Veterans Road, Del Rio, Texas 78840, and an address for its registered agent of 11155 Westpark Drive, Houston, Texas 77042-5048.
- Defendant BEL Furniture I, Inc. ("BEL Furniture I") is a Texas 4. corporation with a business address of P.O. Box 421126, Houston, Texas 77242-1126, and an address for its registered agent of 11155 Westpark Drive, Houston, Texas 77042-5048.
- Defendant BEL Furniture II, Inc. ("BEL Furniture II") is a Texas 5. corporation with a business address of P.O. Box 421126, Houston, Texas 77242-1126, and an address for its registered agent of 11155 Westpark Drive, Houston, Texas 77042-5048.
- Defendant BEL Furniture III, Inc. ("BEL Furniture III") is a Texas 6. corporation with a business address of P.O. Box 421126, Houston, Texas 77242-1126, and an address for its registered agent of 11155 Westpark Drive, Houston, Texas 77042-5048.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Defendant BEL Furniture IV, Inc. ("BEL Furniture IV") is a Texas 7. corporation with a business address of P.O. Box 421126, Houston, Texas 77242-1126, and an address for its registered agent of 11155 Westpark Drive, Houston, Texas 77042-5048.
- Defendant BEL Furniture V, Inc. ("BEL Furniture V") is a Texas 8. corporation with a business address of P.O. Box 421126, Houston, Texas 77242-1126, and an address for its registered agent of 11155 Westpark Drive, Houston, Texas 77042-5048.
- Defendant BEL Furniture VI, Inc. ("BEL Furniture VI") is a Texas 9. corporation with a business address of P.O. Box 421126, Houston, Texas 77242-1126, and an address for its registered agent of 11155 Westpark Drive, Houston, Texas 77042-5048.
- Defendant BEL Furniture VII, Inc. ("BEL Furniture VII") is a Texas 10. corporation with a business address of P.O. Box 421126, Houston, Texas 77242-1126, and an address for its registered agent of 11155 Westpark Drive, Houston, Texas 77042-5048.
- Defendant BEL Furniture (Beaumont), Inc. ("BEL Furniture 11. Beaumont") is a Texas corporation with a business address of P.O. Box 421126, Houston, Texas 77242-1126, and an address for its registered agent of 11155 Westpark Drive, Houston, Texas 77042-5048.
- 12. Defendant BEL Furniture (Clearance), Inc. ("BEL Furniture Clearance") is a Texas corporation with a business address of P.O. Box 421126, Houston, Texas 77242-1126, and an address for its registered agent of 11155 Westpark Drive, Houston, Texas 77042-5048.
- The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, or otherwise of Defendants Does 1-9 inclusive, are unknown to AICO, who therefore sues them by such fictitious names. AICO will seek leave to amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when they have been ascertained. AICO is

Defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged and that AICO's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by those Defendants. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants Does 1-9 inclusive were the agents, servants, employees or attorneys of their co-defendants, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged were acting within the course and scope of their authority as those agents, servants, employees or attorneys, and with the permission and consent of their co-defendants.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 14. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and U.S.C. § 1338(a) as it arises under Acts of Congress related to patents and copyrights.
- 15. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants, and each of them, as they are owned and operated by the same person/family, inasmuch as is alleged hereinbelow, that Defendants' infringements of AICO's copyrights and design patents are willful, intentional, with knowledge, and in blatant disregard of AICO's intellectual property rights. In addition, Defendants, by virtue of the prior business relationship between Defendants and AICO in which Defendants purchased a substantial amount of furniture from AICO, including at least some of the accused products, AICO's high profile in the industry, and Defendants' overall and substantial knowledge of AICO's product lines over the past several years, Defendants were, and are, fully aware that their infringing actions would cause harm to AICO, a company Defendants knew, and know, is based in this judicial district. See, Amini Innovation Corp. v. JS Imports, Inc., 497 F. Supp. 2d 1093, 1106-07 (C.D. Cal. 2007).

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28l

Venue is proper in this judicial district as Defendant is subject to 16. personal jurisdiction, in this judicial district, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), and/or 1400.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

AICO and Its Copyrights and Design Patents

- AICO is a well-known furniture designer and manufacturer located in 17. Los Angeles County, California. AICO has advertised its furniture on page 3 of the furniture industry's flagship publication, Furniture Today, for many years. AICO also has an extensive website showing its furniture collections (and its location in this judicial district). In addition, AICO has brochures, which it disseminates, for each of its furniture collections, the brochures showing photographs of the furniture within the collection, as well as indicating AICO's location in this judicial district. AICO also displays its furniture at regular trade shows around the United States. Defendants are aware of AICO's location, and are aware of AICO's furniture designs based on the foregoing, and also based on the fact that Defendants have sold products purchased from AICO for a number of years. Based on the foregoing, Defendants are aware that its actions could potentially harm a company within this judicial district.
- AICO's popular Cortina and Oppulente collections each include 18. furniture designs that consist of ornamental details and overall designs originated and owned by Plaintiff. These collections have been shown on AICO's website at all relevant times herein, advertised in trade publications such as Furniture Today at all relevant times herein, and displayed at trade shows in the United States at all relevant times herein.
- Photograph(s) of AICO's Cortina and Oppulente collections are 19. attached hereto as Exhibits 1-2, respectively.

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- AICO was awarded U.S. Copyright Registration No. VA 1-306-203 20. for the design that consists primarily of ornamental details on its Cortina Chair with Arms (see attached Exhibit 3).
- 21. AICO was awarded U.S. Copyright Registration No. VA 1-306-208 for the design that consists primarily of ornamental details on its Cortina China Cabinet/Buffet (see attached Exhibit 4).
- 22. AICO was awarded U.S. Copyright Registration No. VA 1-657-757 for the design that consists primarily of ornamental details on its Oppulente Dresser (see attached Exhibit 5).
- AICO was awarded U.S. Copyright Registration No. VA 1-681-372 23. for the design that consists primarily of ornamental details on its Oppulente Dresser Mirror (see attached Exhibit 6).
- AICO was awarded U.S. Copyright Registration No. VA 1-420-270 24. for the design that consists primarily of ornamental details on its Oppulente China Cabinet/Buffet (see attached Exhibit 7).
- 25. AICO was awarded U.S. Copyright Registration No. VA 1-420-187 for the design that consists primarily of ornamental details on its Oppulente Arm Chair (see attached Exhibit 8).
- 26. AICO may seek one or more additional copyright registrations and may seek leave to amend this Complaint.
- AICO was awarded U.S. Design Patent No. D514,839 ("839 Patent") 27. for its Cortina Chair with Arms, which issued on February 14, 2006. Said patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 9. The patent was duly and legally issued and assigned to AICO.
- 28. AICO was awarded U.S. Design Patent No. D530,116 ("116 Patent") for its Cortina China Cabinet/Buffet, which issued on October 17, 2006. Said patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 10. The patent was duly and legally issued and assigned to AICO.

29. AICO was awarded U.S. Design Patent No. D562,601 ("'601 Patent") for its Oppulente Footboard, which issued on February 26, 2008. Said patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit 11**. The patent was duly and legally issued and assigned to AICO.

- 30. AICO was awarded U.S. Design Patent No. D562,587 ("'587 Patent") for its Oppulente Dresser, which issued on February 26, 2008. Said patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit 12**. The patent was duly and legally issued and assigned to AICO.
- 31. AICO was awarded U.S. Design Patent No. D560,916 ("916 Patent") for its Oppulente Dresser Mirror, which issued on February 5, 2008. Said patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit 13**. The patent was duly and legally issued and assigned to AICO.
- 32. AICO was awarded U.S. Design Patent No. D564,795 ("'795 Patent") for its Oppulente China Cabinet/Buffet, which issued on March 25, 2008. Said patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit 14**. The patent was duly and legally issued and assigned to AICO.
- 33. AICO was awarded U.S. Design Patent No. D564,773 ("'773 Patent") for its Oppulente Arm Chair, which issued on March 25, 2008. Said patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit 15**. The patent was duly and legally issued and assigned to AICO.

Defendant's Infringements

34. AICO and Defendant BEL were previously in litigation in connection with BEL's offering for sale and selling of certain furniture items, unrelated to the items in the present case, that AICO believed infringed upon certain of AICO's copyrights and design patents. As a result of the prior litigation, as well as AICO's high profile in the industry, Defendants knew, and continue to know, that AICO is a California corporation based within this judicial district.

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 35. In the recent past, Defendants have been selling authentic furniture legitimately purchased from AICO, including at least AICO's Oppulente dining room collection.
- 36. On information and belief, in or about mid-2012, Defendants lost the line of credit with AICO's "factor," Capital Business Credit, because of Defendants' excessive delinquencies with their account. As a result, or by design, Defendants then went into arrears with their account with AICO's "factor." Thereafter, AICO only permitted Defendants to purchase its products "CBD" ("cash before delivery"). Thereafter, Defendants' purchases from AICO decreased dramatically, and are believed to have completely ceased at this point.
- Meanwhile, AICO recently learned that Defendants have been 37. importing, stocking, publicly displaying in their stores and on their internet website, offering for sale, and/or selling, knockoffs certain items from AICO's Cortina dining room collection and certain items from AICO's Oppulente bedroom collection and dining room collection. Attached hereto as Exhibit 16 are photographs from within at least one of Defendants' stores showing some of the accused products. Attached hereto as Exhibit 17 are pages from Defendants' website showing at least some of the accused products.
- 38. To the extent that Defendants are importing, offering for sale, and/or selling furniture that is substantially similar, if not virtually identical, to AICO's copyrighted and/or design patented furniture designs as set forth in Exhibits 3-15, Defendants have committed willful copyright and/or design patent infringement.
- 39. Such infringements are willful because Defendants, and their owners, officers, and directors, are thoroughly familiar with AICO, AICO's furniture designs, and AICO's position in the market, having previously sold furniture purchased from AICO, and based on AICO's high visibility and success in the industry.
 - 40. In addition to the foregoing, Defendants admit on their website,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27l

28

www.belfurniture.com, that they "offer such great prices" because they "cut out the middleman." In connection with the present case, Defendants, by and through their owners, officers, and directors, including Jamal Mollai, Said Mollai, and David Mollai, purchased AICO furniture for a time, generated customer interest in the AICO furniture designs, and then "cut out the middleman" (i.e., AICO) by having cheap knockoffs of AICO's furniture designs made (or by sourcing cheap knockoffs of AICO's furniture from overseas), and then offering the knockoffs to customers whose interest was generated based on the authentic AICO designs. See, Exhibit 18, attached hereto.

- 41. Defendants also purport to be the creators of these designs by stating on their website, "Bel is also pleased to offer two exclusive lines, the Mollai Collection and the Sara Collection, designed by the owners themselves." See, Exhibit 18, attached hereto. The accused products identified herein, which purport to be Defendants' own collections, are anything but the designs of the owners of Defendants, but are blatant knockoffs of AICO's furniture designs.
- 42. AICO believes that Defendants made a conscious decision to cease selling products purchased from AICO because consumers in Defendants' market have now become aware that Defendants sell (or sold) AICO products, and thus, consumers have now associated AICO's products with Defendants. Once such an association was established, Defendants would use AICO's products (some of which remain in Defendants' stores and on Defendants' website) to draw in consumers, but Defendants would then direct such customers to the cheap knockoffs of AICO's furniture products. In other words, to the extent that Defendants have legitimate AICO products remaining in their stores (from their previous purchases of legitimate AICO products from AICO), Defendants are believed to use such legitimate AICO products to lure in customers who are seeking furniture having an AICO look, but then Defendants similarly direct such customers to the cheap knockoffs of AICO's furniture products. Thus, Defendants are

improperly and unfairly using AICO's name and furniture designs to divert sales to itself and away from AICO.

- 43. In addition to the foregoing, one aspect of the prior litigation between AICO and Defendant BEL pertained to Defendant BEL's use of a photograph of an AICO furniture collection, said photograph belonging to AICO, in at least one advertisement for BEL in the Houston Chronicle in or about February of 2005, despite the fact that BEL was not selling the AICO product, but a knockoff of the same.
- 44. Accordingly, Defendants' infringements of AICO's designs are nothing other than intentional, willful, and done with knowledge.
- 45. Based on Defendant's intentionally infringing activities as set forth herein, AICO seeks to recover statutory damages on its copyright infringement claim in the amount \$150,000 per copyright, or \$900,000 total. In addition, AICO seeks, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 289, to recover Defendant's profits for infringing the 7 design patents asserted herein. Furthermore, AICO seeks to recover all of its attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this matter.

COUNT I - COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 ET SEQ.

- 46. AICO hereby repeats and incorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 45 above.
- 47. This claim is against Defendants for copyright infringement in violation of the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.
- 48. AICO's copyrighted works attached hereto as **Exhibits 3-8** (the "Works") contain a substantial amount of original material which constitutes copyrightable subject matter protected under the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.
 - 49. As previously alleged, AICO has applied for, and received from, the

United States Register of Copyrights Certificates of Registration for copyrighted Works. AICO has sought, or may seek, other copyright registrations and may seek leave to amend this Complaint.

- 50. AICO has at all times complied in all respects with the Copyright Act of 1976 and all other laws of the United States with regard to the Works.
- 51. Defendants have had access to AICO's proprietary Works by virtue of AICO's extensive advertising and displaying of its furniture, AICO's sales representatives providing Defendants with information about AICO's furniture designs while Defendants were actively purchasing AICO's products, as well as Defendants' sales of products purchased from AICO in the past.
- 52. Defendants have used or caused to be used various copies constituting unauthorized copies of Plaintiff's Works in violation of AICO's exclusive rights under the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. § 106.
- 53. Defendants' distribution, public display (including on the internet), offering for sale and/or sales of substantially similar, and/or virtually identical copies of the Works constitute copyright infringement in violation of AICO's exclusive rights under the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.
- 54. For the reasons stated herein, Defendant's infringement of AICO's copyright registrations attached hereto as Exhibits 3-8 has been willful, with knowledge, and in disregard for the exclusive rights of AICO set forth in its copyright registrations set forth herein.
- 55. By reason of Defendants' acts of copyright infringement, AICO has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury unless and until this Court (1) enters an order enjoining and restraining Defendants from using the Works, or any colorable imitations thereof, in any manner, and (2) orders all the knockoff products to be impounded, and any planned shipments thereof to Defendants to be cancelled.
- 56. Defendants' continuing acts of copyright infringement, unless enjoined, will cause and have caused irreparable damage to AICO in that it will

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

have no adequate remedy at law to compel Defendants to cease such acts. AICO will be compelled to prosecute a multiplicity of actions, one action each time Defendants commit such acts, and in each such action it will be extremely difficult to ascertain the amount of compensation which will afford AICO adequate relief.

By reason of Defendants' acts of copyright infringement, AICO is 57. entitled to recover all profits received or otherwise achieved, directly or indirectly, by Defendants in connection with its copying, advertising, distributing, and/or selling of the accused products which are copies of AICO's Works, as well as any other acts of Defendants that violate 17 U.S.C. § 106. Or, in the alternative, AICO is entitled to an award of statutory damages as provided for in 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), to be enhanced as a result of Defendants' willful infringement.

COUNT II - DESIGN PATENT INFRINGEMENT PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C § 101, ET SEQ.

- 58. AICO hereby repeats and incorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 57 above.
- AICO's '839, '116, '601, '587, '916, '795, and '773 Patents (see, 59. Exhibits 9-15 attached hereto) have at all relevant times subsequent to their issue dates been fully enforceable and are now fully enforceable.
- 60. Subsequent to the issuance of the '839, '116, '601, '587, '916, '795, and '773 Patents, Defendants have infringed said patents by using, importing, offering to sell, and/or selling, and continuing to use, import, offer to sell and/or sell products that come within the scope of the claims of the patents, and that come within a range of equivalents of the claims of the patents, and/or contributing to the infringing activities of others.
- 61. The using, importing, offering to sell, and/or selling of infringing products by Defendants, and/or contributing to the infringing activities of others, has been without authority or license from AICO and is in violation of AICO's

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

rights, thereby infringing the '839, '116, '601, '587, '916, '795, and '773 Patents.

- For the reasons stated herein, Defendants' infringement of AICO's 62. '839, '116, '601, '587, '916, '795, and '773 Patents has been willful, with knowledge, and in disregard for the exclusive rights of AICO set forth in its patents set forth herein.
- The amount of money damages which AICO has suffered due to 63. Defendants' acts of infringement cannot be determined without an accounting of Defendants' profits, and it is thus subject to proof at trial.
- AICO is entitled to a complete accounting of all revenue and profits derived by Defendants from the unlawful conduct alleged herein.
- 65. The harm to AICO arising from Defendants' acts of infringement of AICO's '839, '116, '601, '587, '916, '795, and '773 Patents is not fully compensable by money damages. Rather, AICO has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable harm which has no adequate remedy at law and which will continue unless Defendants' conduct is enjoined.
- AICO is therefore also entitled to a preliminary injunction, to be made permanent on entry of the judgment, preventing Defendants from further acts of infringement.

WHEREFORE, AICO demands judgment against Defendants, as follows:

- A. For an order preliminarily and permanently enjoining the Defendants, and their officers, directors, agents, servants, attorneys, and employees and all other persons acting in concert with them from committing any further acts of infringement, including but not limited to, copying, manufacturing, importing, selling and distributing the accused products, or aiding or abetting or assisting others in such infringing activities;
 - For an order directing Defendants to file with this Court and to serve B.

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

on AICO within thirty (30) days after service on Defendants of the injunction granted herein, or such extended period as the Court may direct, a report in writing, under oath, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which Defendants have complied with the injunction and order of the Court:

- For an order seizing and impounding all accused products, including C. those en route to the U.S. from Defendants' overseas supplier(s);
- For a judgment requiring Defendants to account to AICO for and to pay AICO all profits acquired by Defendants from selling the accused products, as well as any other acts prescribed by 17 U.S.C. § 106 and/or for statutory damages based upon Defendants' acts of copyright infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), at AICO's election;
- For a judgment to be entered for AICO against Defendants in an E. amount equal to \$150,000 per copyright infringed, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).
- F. For a judgment to be entered for AICO against Defendants in an amount equal to the profits Defendants made in connection with their sales of products that infringe the '839, '116, '601, '587, '916, '795, and '773 Patents pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 289 to be proven at trial, or in the alternative, a reasonable royalty;
- For a judgment awarding to AICO prejudgment and postjudgment interest until the award is fully paid;
- For a judgment that Defendants have willfully and deliberately H. infringed AICO's rights, such that AICO is entitled to enhanced statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), if elected, and a determination that this is an exceptional case entitling AICO to enhanced damages under the Patent Laws of the United States;
- For an award to AICO of costs, including attorneys' fees, incurred in I. bringing this action under both the Copyright Act and the Patent Laws of the United States; and,

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and J. equitable under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted: CISLO & THOMAS LLP

Dated: September 20, 2013 By:

Daniel M. Cislo, Esq. Mark D. Nielsen, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff, AMINI INNOVATION CORPORATION

T:\13-28113\Complaint.DOC

28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

By:

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues raised by the Complaint.

Respectfully submitted:

CISLO & THOMAS LLP

Dated: September 20, 2013

Daniel M. Cislo, Esq. Mark D. Nielsen, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff, AMINI INNOVATION CORPORATION

T:\13-28113\Complaint.DOC

ORIGINAL

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Central District of California

AMINI INNOVATION CORPORATION, a California corporation

Plaintiff(s)

v

BANK AND ESTATE LIQUIDATORS, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE II, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE II, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE III, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE IV, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE V, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE VI, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE VI, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE (BEAUMONT), INC., a Texas corporation, and BEL FURNITURE (CLEARANCE), INC., a Texas corporation, and DOES 1-9, inclusive.

Defendant(s)

Civil Action 60V 13 - 07012 - PSG

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,

whose name and address are: Daniel M. Cislo

Mark D. Nielsen

CISLO & THOMAS LLP 1333 2nd Street, Suite 500 Santa Monica, CA 90401

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

SEP 2 0 2013

CLERK OF COURT

gnature of Clerk or Deputy Cler,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

Check box if you are representing yourself AMINI INNOVATION CORPORATION, a California corporation DEFENDANTS Check box if you are representing yourself AMINI INNOVATION CORPORATION, a California corporation DEFENDANTS Check box if you are representing yourself DEFENDANTS Check box if you are representing yourself DEFENDANTS DEFENDANTS, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE, INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE INC., a Texas corporation, BEL FURNITURE INC., a Texas corporation, DED	DEF 4 5 6 6
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) Daniel M. (Sislo, No. 125,378 Mark D. Nielsen, No. 210,023 CISLO & THOMAS LLP, 1333 2nd Street, Suite 500, Santa Monica, CA 90401 (310) 451-0647 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) Plaintiff Government Plaintiff J. U.S. Government Defendant J. Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) No. (Check "Yes" only if demanded in complaint.) (b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) (b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) (b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) (b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) (b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) (b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.)	6
1. U.S. Government	6
1. U.S. Government	6
2. U.S. Government Defendant Of Parties in Item III) OF Parties in Item IIII) Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Nation Of Business in Another State Of Bu	6
1. Original 2. Removed from 3. Remanded from 4. Reinstated or District (Specify)	
MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: 5	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless dive	
	ersity.)
35 U.S.C. Section 101 et seq.	
III. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only).	
OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT REAL PROPERTY CONT. IMMIGRATION PRISONER PETITIONS PROPERTY RIGHT 375 False Claims Act 110 Insurance 240 Torts to Land 462 Naturalization Habeas Corpus: 820 Copyrights	rs
Application 463 Alien Detainee	
Reapportionment 120 Marine Liability 465 Other 510 Motions to Vacate 120 Marine 120	
410 Antitrust 530 General 530 General	<u> </u>
Joseph Penalty Instrument TORTS PERSONAL PROPERTY 535 Death Penalty 661 HIA (1395ff)	<u>Y</u>
Rates/Etc. 150 Recovery of PERSONAL INJURY 370 Other Fraud Other: [862 Black Lung (973)	
460 Deportation Enforcement of 315 Airplane 371 Truth in Lending 550 67 1871	i (a))
470 Racketeer Influ- SSS Prison Condition B 864 SSID Title XVI	13
320 ASSAUR, LIDER & Property Damage 560 Civil Detainee 865 RSI (405 (g))	
1 490 Cable/Sat TV Defaulted Student Liability Confinement FEDERAL TAX SUIT	TS
850 Securities/Com- 340 Marine BANKRUPTCY FORFEITURE/PENALTY 870 Taxes (U.S. Plainti	
modities/Exchange Overpayment of Use 158 USC 158 Overpayment of Use	6 USC
800 Other Statutory Vet. Benefits 350 March Mahida USC 881 7609	
891 Agricultural Acts 5ults 255 Motor Vehicle CIVIL RIGHTS 690 Other	
893 Environmental 190 Other 360 Other Personal 17 Activity LABOR	
895 Freedom of Info. 195 Contract Linjury Act Act	
Act Product Liability Med Malpratice 720 Labor/Mgmt.	
896 Arbitration 196 Franchise 365 Personal Injury Accommodations Relations	
899 Admin, Procedures 367 Health Care/ 445 American with 751 Smith and Att dist	
Agency Decision Condemnation Personal Injury Employment Leave Act	
220 Foreclosure Product Liability 446 American with Disabilities-Other Litigation 790 Other Labor	
State Statutes 791 Employee Ret. Inc.	
1 C) Security Act	
OR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:	
AFTER COMPLETING PAGE 1 OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED ON PAGE 2.	

CV-71 (02/13)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL C	ASES: Has this	action been previously filed in this c	court and dismissed, remanded or closed?	X N	0 🗆	YES	
If yes, list case num	ber(s):				-		
VIII(b). RELATED CAS	SES: Have any c	ases been previously filed in this co	urt that are related to the present case?	⊠ N	о _П	YES	
If yes, list case num	ber(s):						
Civil cases are deemed	related if a previo	ously filed case and the present case:		**************************************			
(Check all boxes that app	oly) 🔲 A. Arise	from the same or closely related transac	ctions, happenings, or events; or				
	B. Call fo	or determination of the same or substan	tially related or similar questions of law and fact;	OF			
	C. For o	ther reasons would entail substantial du	plication of labor if heard by different Judges; or				
D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.							
IX. VENUE: (When comp	leting the followin	g information, use an additional sheet l	fnecessary.)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(a) List the County in thi plaintiff resides.	s District; Califor	nia County outside of this District; S	late if other than California; or Foreign Coul	ntry, in w	hịch EACH n	amed	
Check here if the go	vernment, its ag	encies or employees is a named plai	intiff. If this box is checked, go to Item (b).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, i	f other the	ın California; o	r Foreign	
Los Angeles							
(b) List the County in thi defendant resides.	s District; Califor	nia County outside of this District; S	l tate if other than California; or Foreign Cour	ntry, in w	hịch EACH n	amed	
Check here if the go	vernment, its age	encies or employees is a named defo	endant. If this box is checked, go to item (c)				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, i Country	f other tha	n California; o	r Foreign	
			Texas				
(c) List the County in this NOTE: In land condemn	s District; Califor ation cases, use	nia County outside of this District; S a the location of the tract of land i	l tate if other than California; or Foreign Coui involved.	itry, in w	hich EACH cl	aim arose.	
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, in Country	other tha	n California; o	r Foreign	
Los Angeles Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, oy San							
Note: In land condemnation	dernarding, Rive a cases, use the loc	rside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San ation of the tract of land involved	Luis Obispa Counties				
	The CV-71 (JS-44) (Civil Cover Sheet and the information co	DATE: entained herein neither replace nor supplement t a United States in September 1974, is required pu the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructi	he filing a			
Key to Statistical codes relati Nature of Suit Code	ing to Social Securi	ty Cases: Substantive Statement of					
861	HIĄ	All claims for health insurance benefit	is (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social S rsing facilities, etc., for certification as providers o	ecurity Ac F services	t, as amended, under the prog	. Also. gram.	
862	BL.	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C., 405 (g))					
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.					
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Secu	arity Act, a	s amended.		

CV-71 (02/13)