| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8 | Matt Olavi, Esq. (Bar No. 265945) molavi@olavidunne.com Brian J. Dunne, Esq. (Bar No. 275689) bdunne@olavidunne.com OLAVI DUNNE LLP 800 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 320 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 516-7900 Facsimile: (213) 516-7910  Attorneys for Plaintiff Eclipse IP LLC |                                  |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| 9                                    | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |                                  |
| 10                                   | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |                                  |
| 11                                   | ECLIPSE IP LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company,  | ) Case No. 2:13-cv-06651-SJO-FFM |
| 12                                   |   | ) FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT        |
| 13<br>14                             | Plaintiff,  | ) FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT        |
| 15                                   | v.  | TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED           |
| 16                                   | US AIRWAYS, INC., a Delaware  | )<br>)                           |
| 17                                   | Corporation,  | )                                |
| 18                                   | Defendant.  | )<br>)                           |
| 19                                   |   | )                                |
| 20                                   |   |                                  |
| 21                                   |   |                                  |
| 22                                   |   |                                  |
| 23                                   |   |                                  |
| 24                                   |   |                                  |
| 25                                   |   |                                  |
| 26                                   |   |                                  |
| 27                                   |   |                                  |
| 28                                   |   |                                  |

4

6 7

12

**15** 

18

19 20

**21** 

22

23

24 25

**26** 

27

28

Plaintiff Eclipse IP LLC ("Eclipse"), by and through counsel, complains against US Airways, Inc. ("US Airways") as follows:

#### NATURE OF LAWSUIT

This is a suit for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of 1. the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code § 1 et seq. This Court has exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Complaint under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

### **PARTIES AND PATENTS**

- 2. Eclipse is a company organized under the laws of Florida and having a principal place of business at 115 NW 17<sup>th</sup> St, Delray Beach, Florida 33444.
- 3. Eclipse owns all right, title, and interest in and has standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent No. 7,119,716 ("the '716 patent"), entitled "Response systems and methods for notification systems for modifying future notifications" (Exhibit A); United States Patent No. 7,479,899 ("the '899 patent"), entitled "Notification systems and methods enabling a response to cause connection between a notified PCD and a delivery or pickup representative" (Exhibit B); and United States Patent No. 7,504,966 ("the '966 patent"), entitled "Response systems and methods for notification systems for modifying future notifications" (Exhibit C) (collectively, "the Eclipse Patents").
- On information and belief, US Airways is a corporation existing under 4. the laws of Delaware.

5. On information and belief, US Airways does regular business in this Judicial District and conduct leading to US Airways' acts of infringement has occurred in this Judicial District.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over US Airways because it has engaged in continuous and systematic business in California; upon information and belief, derives substantial revenues from commercial activities in California; and, upon information and belief, is operating and/or supporting products or services that fall within one or more claims of Eclipse's patents in this District.
- 7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a) at least because the claim arises in this Judicial District, US Airways may be found and transacts business in this Judicial District, and injuries suffered by Plaintiff took place in this Judicial District. US Airways is subject to the general and specific personal jurisdiction of this Court at least because of its contacts with the State of California.

### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

8. On information and belief, US Airways is an airline that offers domestic and international flights from cities across the United States, including many from Los Angeles.

- 9. On information and belief, US Airways creates and maintains a timetable for every scheduled US Airways flight, which includes a scheduled departure time and a scheduled arrival time for every US Airways flight.
- 10. On information and belief, US Airways, either on its own or through its agents, monitors the location of its various airplanes, and based at least in part on the location of a given airplane, determines whether a particular flight will depart from its scheduled departure city and/or whether a particular flight will arrive at its scheduled arrival city earlier than the scheduled time, at the scheduled time, or later than the scheduled time.
- On information and belief, US Airways also uses the location of its 11. various airplanes to determine whether or not to cancel flights.
- 12. On information and belief, US Airways uses, makes, deploys, advertises, and/or operates at least one system and/or service (the "US Airways" System") that can automatically notify one or more individuals about the status of a flight. The at least one US Airways system may sometimes be referred to as the US Airways "BeNotified" system.
- 13. On information and belief, as one non-limiting example, the US Airways System can automatically notify one or more individuals of flight schedule changes, gate changes, departure reminders, arrival alerts, flight delays, and/or cancellations.

///

**26** 

27

14. On information and belief, these notifications can occur through at least one communications method, including but not limited to through email and SMS messages, and that the one or more individuals can select or modify which of the at least one communications method should be used.

### **US AIRWAYS' ACTS OF PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

- 15. Eclipse reiterates and reincorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 14 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 16. US Airways owns, uses, deploys, and/or operates at least one computerized service and/or system, the US Airways System, for notifying one or more individuals regarding flight departure and/or arrival times.
- 17. Based at least in part on the location of a US Airways airplane, the US Airways System provides electronic notifications to one or more individuals regarding flight departure and/or arrival times.

## **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

### **COUNT 1**

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,119,716 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271 et seq.)

- 18. Eclipse reiterates and reincorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 17 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 19. On October 10, 2006, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 7,119,716, entitled "Response systems and methods for notification systems for modifying future notifications."

27

28

Eclipse is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '716 patent. A true and correct copy of the '716 patent is attached as Exhibit A to this Complaint.

- The '716 patent is valid and enforceable. 20.
- 21. Eclipse is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that: (1) US Airways has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '716 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents and additionally and/or in the alternative, (2) US Airways has actively induced and continues to actively induce and/or has contributed to and continues to contribute to the infringement of one or more claims of the '716 patent in this District and elsewhere in the United States.
- 22. On information and belief, US Airways has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '716 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling a method for communications in connection with a computer-based notification system to, for example: store contact data in computer memory; provide electronic notification communications to a personal communications device based on the contact data; receive changes to the contact data; and modify if and/or how future notification communications will be sent.
- Additionally and/or in the alternative, on information and belief, US 23. Airways has actively induced and continues to actively induce and/or has contributed to and continues to contribute to the infringement of one or more claims

27

28

of the '716 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and/or (c), by, among other things, actively, knowingly, and intentionally encouraging, aiding, and/or abetting others to make, use, offer for sale, and/or sell portions of a computer-based notification system that infringes one or more claims of the '716 patent, with the specific intent to encourage infringement and with the knowledge that the making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling of such a system would constitute infringement.

- On information and belief, US Airways has had knowledge of the '716 24. patent at least as early as September 16, 2013, the day that it was served with a copy of the Complaint, which set forth factual allegations of US Airways' infringement. See Dkt. No. 10. Additionally, at least as early as September 16, 2013, US Airways knew or should have known that its continued offering, use, deployment, and/or operation of the at least one flight notification service and/or system and its continued support of others, if those parties perform any limitations of one or more of the claims of the '716 patent, would induce direct infringement of the '716 patent, as it had actual knowledge of the patent and factual allegations of its infringement thereof.
- On information and belief, US Airways has not changed or modified its 25. infringing behavior since September 16, 2013.
- US Airways' aforesaid infringing activity has directly and proximately 26. caused damage to Plaintiff Eclipse, including loss of profits from sales and/or

28

licensing revenues it would have made but for the infringements. Unless enjoined, the aforesaid infringing activity will continue and cause irreparable injury to Eclipse for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

#### COUNT 2

## (Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,479,899 <u>Under 35 U.S.C. § 271 et seq.</u>)

- 27. Eclipse reiterates and reincorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 26 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 28. On January 20, 2009, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 7,479,899, entitled "Notification systems and methods enabling a response to cause connection between a notified PCD and a delivery or pickup representative." Eclipse is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '899 patent. A true and correct copy of the '899 patent is attached as Exhibit B to this Complaint.
  - The '899 patent is valid and enforceable. 29.
- Eclipse is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that: (1) US 30. Airways has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '899 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents and additionally and/or in the alternative, (2) US Airways has actively induced and continues to actively induce and/or has contributed to and continues to contribute to the infringement of one or more claims of the '899 patent in this District and elsewhere in the United States.

- On information and belief, US Airways has directly infringed and 31. continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '899 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling a method for an automated notification system to, for example: monitor the location of a plane; initiate a notification to one or more individuals based on the location; and enable the one or more individuals to select whether or not to communicate with US Airways.
- Additionally and/or in the alternative, on information and belief, US 32. Airways has actively induced and continues to induce and/or has contributed to and continues to contribute to the infringement of one or more claims of the '899 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and/or (c), by, among other things actively, knowingly, and intentionally encouraging, aiding, and/or abetting others to make, use, offer for sale, and/or sell portions of an automated notification system that infringes one or more claims of the '899 patent, with the specific intent to encourage infringement and with the knowledge that the making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling of such a system would constitute infringement.
- On information and belief, US Airways has had knowledge of the '899 33. patent at least as early as September 16, 2013, the day that it was served with a copy of the Complaint, which set forth factual allegations of US Airways' infringement. See Dkt. No. 10. Additionally, at least as early as September 16, 2013, US Airways knew or should have known that its continued offering, use, deployment, and/or

operation of the at least one flight notification service and/or system and its continued support of others, if those parties perform any limitations of one or more of the claims of the '899 patent, would induce direct infringement of the '899 patent, as it had actual knowledge of the patent and factual allegations of its infringement thereof.

- 34. On information and belief, US Airways has not changed or modified its infringing behavior since September 16, 2013.
- 35. US Airways' aforesaid infringing activity has directly and proximately caused damage to Plaintiff Eclipse, including loss of profits from sales and/or licensing revenues it would have made but for the infringements. Unless enjoined, the aforesaid infringing activity will continue and cause irreparable injury to Eclipse for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

#### **COUNT 3**

# (Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,504,966 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271 et seq.)

- 36. Eclipse reiterates and reincorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 35 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 37. On March 17, 2009, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 7,504,966, entitled "Response systems and methods for notification systems for modifying future notifications." Eclipse is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the '966 patent. A true and correct copy of the '966 patent is attached as Exhibit C to this Complaint.

- 38. The '966 patent is valid and enforceable.
- 39. Eclipse is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that: (1) US Airways has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '966 patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents and additionally and/or in the alternative, (2) US Airways has actively induced and continues to actively induce and/or has contributed to and continues to contribute to the infringement of one or more claims of the '966 patent in this District and elsewhere in the United States.
- 40. On information and belief, US Airways has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '966 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling a method for communications in connection with a computer-based notification system to, for example: monitor the location of a plane; send a notification communication to a personal communications device when appropriate; receive a response from the personal communications device; and based upon the response, initiate one or more future notifications to one or more different individuals and/or initiate one or more future notifications using one or more different communications methods.
- 41. Additionally and/or in the alternative, on information and belief, US
  Airways has actively induced and continues to actively induce and/or has
  contributed to and continues to contribute to the infringement of one or more claims

of the '966 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and/or (c), by, among other things, actively, knowingly, and intentionally encouraging, aiding, and/or abetting others to make, use, offer for sale, and/or sell portions of a computer-based notification system that infringes one or more claims of the '966 patent, with the specific intent to encourage infringement and with the knowledge that the making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling of such a system would constitute infringement.

- 42. On information and belief, US Airways has had knowledge of the '966 patent at least as early as September 16, 2013, the day that it was served with a copy of the Complaint, which set forth factual allegations of US Airways' infringement. *See* Dkt. No. 10. Additionally, at least as early as September 16, 2013, US Airways knew or should have known that its continued offering, use, deployment, and/or operation of the at least one flight notification service and/or system and its continued support of others, if those parties perform any limitations of one or more of the claims of the '966 patent, would induce direct infringement of the '966 patent, as it had actual knowledge of the patent and factual allegations of its infringement thereof.
- 43. On information and belief, US Airways has not changed or modified its infringing behavior since September 16, 2013.
- 44. US Airways' aforesaid infringing activity has directly and proximately caused damage to Plaintiff Eclipse, including loss of profits from sales and/or

licensing revenues it would have made but for the infringements. Unless enjoined, the aforesaid infringing activity will continue and cause irreparable injury to Eclipse for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Eclipse asks this Court to enter judgment against US Airways and against each of US Airways' respective subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with it, granting the following relief:

- A judgment that US Airways has infringed each and every one of the Eclipse Patents;
- 2. A permanent injunction against US Airways, its respective officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, parent and subsidiary corporations, assigns and successors in interest, and those persons in active concert or participation with them, enjoining them from direct and indirect infringement of each and every one of the Eclipse Patents;
- 3. An award of damages adequate to compensate Eclipse for the infringement that has occurred, together with prejudgment interest from the date infringement of the Eclipse Patents began;
- 4. A reasonable royalty for US Airways' use of Eclipse's patented technology, as alleged herein;

| 1        | 5. An award to Eclipse of all remedies available under 35 U.S.C. §§ 284      |  |
|----------|--|--|
| 2        | and 285; and,  |  |
| 3        | 6. Such other and further relief as this Court or a jury may deem proper     |  |
| 4        | o. Such other and further tener as this court of a jury may deem proper      |  |
| 5        | and just.  |  |
| 6        | DATED: October 21, 2013 OLAVI DUNNE LLP                                      |  |
| 7        |  |  |
| 8        |  |  |
| 9        | By: <u>/s/ Matt Olavi</u>  |  |
| 10       | Matt Olavi   |  |
| 11       | Brian J. Dunne  Attorneys for Plaintiff                                      |  |
| 12       | Eclipse IP LLC   |  |
| 13       |  |  |
| 14       | JURY DEMAND  |  |
| 15<br>16 | Eclipse demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable pursuant to Federal |  |
| 10<br>17 | Rule of Civil Procedure 38.  |  |
| 18       | Rule of Civil Flocedule 38.  |  |
| 19       |  |  |
| 20       | DATED: October 21, 2013 OLAVI DUNNE LLP                                      |  |
| 21       |  |  |
| 22       |  |  |
| 23       | By: _/s/ Matt Olavi  |  |
| 24       | Matt Olavi   |  |
| 25       | Brian J. Dunne  Attorneys for Plaintiff                                      |  |
| 26       | Eclipse IP LLC   |  |
| 27       |  |  |
| 28       |  |  |
|          | 12   |  |