1 2 3 4 5	MITCHELL + COMPANY Brian E. Mitchell (State Bar No. 19009: 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400 San Francisco, California 94111 Tel: 415-766-3514 Fax: 415-402-0058 Brian.Mitchell@mcolawoffices.com	5)
6 7 8 9 10	LEE TRAN & LIANG APLC Enoch H. Liang (State Bar No. 212324) 601 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 4025 Los Angeles, California 90017 Tel: 213-612-3737 Fax: 213-612-3773 Enoch.liang@ltlattorneys.com	
12 13 14 15	Attorneys for Plaintiff LINDA KARECKI  UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
16 17		DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18 19 20 21 22	LINDA KARECKI,  Plaintiff, v.  REEBOK INTERNATIONAL LTD. d/b/a REEBOK,  Defendant.	Case No.: '12CV1583 WQHDHB COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
23   24   25   26   27   28	Plaintiff Linda Karecki ("Plaintiff") complains as follows:  NATURE OF ACTION  1. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C.  \$\$100, et seq.	
۲۵		

COMPLAINT

#### 

## 

# 

# 

## 

#### 

## 

## 

## 

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and §1338(a) in that it arises under the United States Patent Laws.
- 3. Defendant Reebok International Ltd. ("Defendant") is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction because Defendant conducts extensive business in this Judicial District, operates retail stores in this Judicial District, has committed the acts complained of in this Judicial District, and has caused injury to Plaintiff within this Judicial District by virtue of the acts of patent infringement that are described herein.
- 4. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b), (c) and 1400(b). Defendants are transacting, doing and/or soliciting business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States.

### THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Linda Karecki is an individual residing in Solana Beach, California.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a Massachusetts corporation with its principal place of business in Canton, Massachusetts.

### **BACKGROUND**

- 7. Plaintiff Linda Karecki is an experienced athlete and independent inventor. She began a career as a national track and field competitor and Olympic hopeful at the age of 12. She developed a national reputation as a competitive athlete and a personal athletics trainer. While competing, Plaintiff also worked successfully for 10 years as a professional model, including appearing in over 15 national commercials. Additionally, Plaintiff has worked as a personal trainer for many years.
- 8. On July10, 2001, U.S. Patent No. 6,258,014 (the '014 Patent), entitled Exercise Kit and Method of Using Same, was issued to Plaintiff for the invention of

3

7

10

11

12

14 15

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

23

25 26

27

28

a novel method and apparatus for the toning of muscles through the use of resistance. Plaintiff owned this patent throughout the period of Defendant's infringing acts and still owns this patent. A copy of the '014 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 9. Defendant Reebok is in the business of selling sporting gear, including its "EasyTone" apparel, which utilizes polyurethane sheets with memory ability placed in the clothing to force muscle tension through the natural extension and flexing actions of the user. Defendant's infringing "EasyTone" apparel include, but are not necessarily limited to, its "EasyTone" pants, shorts, capris, tank tops, and shirts.
- 10. In April of 2011, Plaintiff provided Reebok with actual notice of the '014' Patent. Reebok personnel corresponded with Plaintiff for several months. However, Reebok ultimately did not license the '014 Patent from Plaintiff.
- Defendant has been selling and offering to sell infringing "EasyTone" apparel within the United States, and within this District, all without consent from Plaintiff.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## (Patent Infringement)

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each of the allegations 12. set forth above.
- 13. Defendant has infringed and is still infringing the '014 Patent by making, selling, and using "EasyTone" apparel, which embodies the patented invention.
- Defendant is aware of the '014 Patent, but yet it knowingly and actively 14. induces consumers to use its infringing "EasyTone" apparel within the United States. Defendant thus actively induces infringement of the '014 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

- 15. Defendant's infringing "EasyTone" apparel is not a staple article or commodity of commerce and has no substantial non-infringing use. On information and belief, Defendant knew that its "EasyTone" apparel is specially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of the '014 Patent. Third parties who use the infringing "EasyTone" apparel infringe one or more claims of the '014 Patent. Defendant thus contributes to infringement of the '020 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).
- 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant's patent infringement has been committed willfully with full knowledge of the '014 Patent.
- 17. Defendant's infringing acts render this an exceptional case, and therefore Plaintiff should be awarded all costs and attorney's fees incurred in this action as permitted under 35 U.S.C. §285.
- 18. Plaintiff, as the proximate result of Defendant's patent infringement, has suffered and, if Defendant is not enjoined, will continue to suffer irreparable harm, for which it has no adequate legal remedy.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

- 1. That Defendant has infringed, contributorily infringed and/or actively induced infringement of the '014 Patent;
- 2. That Plaintiff be awarded her damages from patent infringement according to proof and ordering that such damages be multiplied up to treble their amount;
- 3. Preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendant and all others acting in concert with Defendant from making, using, selling or offering to sell the infringing "EasyTone" pants, shorts, capris, bras, tank tops, shirts or any other products infringing the '014 Patent without permission or a license from Plaintiff;
- 4. That Defendant be ordered to deliver up to Plaintiff all products infringing the '014 patent within its ownership, possession or control, for

1	
1	destruction by Plaintiff or, in the alternative, that the Court award a compulsory
2	royalty for the current and future sale of such goods;
3	5. That the Court declare this to be an exceptional case pursuant to 35
4	U.S.C. §285, and award Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees;
5	6. That Plaintiff be awarded its costs of suit, and pre- and post-judgmen
6	interest on any money judgment;
7	7. For such other relief as the Court deems proper.
8	7. Por such other refler as the Court deems proper.
	DATED: June 20, 2012
9	
10	By Enh
11	Brian E. Mitchell
12	MITCHELL + COMPANY
13	4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400
14	San Francisco, California 94111
	Tel: 415-766-3514
15	Fax: 415-402-0058
16	brian.mitchell@mcolawoffices.com
17	Enoch H. Liang
18	LEE TRAN & LIANG APLC 601 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 4025
	Los Angeles, California 90017
19	Tel: 213-612-3737
20	Fax: 213-612-3773
21	enoch.liang@ltlattorneys.com
22	Attorneys for Plaintiff
23	LINDA KARECKI
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

**JURY DEMAND** 1 2 Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all claims as to which she has a right to a 3 jury. 4 DATED: June 20, 2012 5 6 7 Brian E. Mitchell 8 MITCHELL + COMPANY 9 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400 San Francisco, California 94111 10 Tel: 415-766-3514 11 Fax: 415-402-0058 Brian.Mitchell@mcolawoffices.com 12 13 Enoch H. Liang LEE TRAN & LIANG APLC 14 601 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 4025 15 Los Angeles, California 90017 Tel: 213-612-3737 16 Fax: 213-612-3773 17 Enoch.liang@ltlattorneys.com 18 Attorneys for Plaintiff LINDA KARECKI 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28