# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

SYCAMORE IP HOLDINGS LLC,	Plaintiff,	: C.A. No	
v.  JDS UNIPHASE CORPORATION,		: : : JURY TRIAL DEMANDE :	D
	Defendant.	: : :	
		X	

# **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Sycamore IP Holdings LLC ("Sycamore"), as for its complaint of patent infringement in this matter, hereby alleges as follows:

# **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

This is an action for patent infringement of United States Patent No. 6,952,405 (the "'405 Patent") under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1, et seq., seeking damages and injunctive and other relief under 35 U.S.C. § 281, et seq.

## **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff Sycamore is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business at 2700 Plumas Street #120, Reno, Nevada 89509.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant JDS Uniphase Corporation ("Defendant" or "JDSU") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 430 N. McCarthy Blvd., Milpitas, CA 95035.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.
- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because the action concerns the infringement of a United States patent.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due to at least its substantial business in this forum, directly and/or through intermediaries, including: (i) committing at least a portion of the acts of infringements alleged herein, and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business in the State of Texas and in this Judicial District, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct in this district including maintaining continuous and systematic contacts in Texas and in this Judicial District, purposefully availing itself of the privileges of doing business in Texas and in this Judicial District, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and in this Judicial District. Upon information and belief, this Court also has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has a sales office located at 2435 North Central Expressway Suite 900, Richardson, Texas and it is registered to do business in the State of Texas, and thus it has purposely availed itself of the privileges and benefits of the laws of Texas.
- 6. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b) because, among other reasons, Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District, and Defendant has committed and continues to commit acts of patent infringement in this District. For example, upon information and belief, Defendant has used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported infringing products and services in this District.

#### **PATENT-IN-SUIT**

7. Sycamore is the owner by assignment of the '405 Patent, entitled "Coding Scheme Using a Transaction Indicator for Signal Transmission in Optical Communications Networks," which the United States Patent & Trademark Office duly issued on October 4, 2005. The '405 Patent is valid, enforceable, and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code. A true and correct copy of the '405 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 8. Dr. Danny Tsang and Dr. Murat Azizoglu are the named inventors of the '405 Patent.
- 9. The '405 Patent was originally assigned to Sycamore Networks, Inc. ("Sycamore Networks") of Chelmsford, Massachusetts. Sycamore Networks was once a pioneer company for making advanced optical networking equipment.
- 10. Dr. Murat Azizoglu is the President and a Managing Member of Sycamore.

  Previously, Dr. Azizoglu served as a Chief Network Architect of Sycamore Networks.
- 11. In February 1998, Sycamore Networks was founded by a group of data networking industry veterans to develop sophisticated optical networking equipment for the then emerging fiber-optics data networks industry. Sycamore Networks launched its first products in March 1999.
- 12. Sycamore Networks went public on October 22, 1999 and became a Wall Street sensation as it "closed with the biggest market value ever achieved by an Internet-related company in its first day of trading"¹and posted "the third-best opening result ever."² Sycamore Networks reached a market capitalization of about \$14.4 billion after its first public trading day,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See http://news.cnet.com/Sycamore-shares-soar-in-stunning-debut/2100-1033\_3-231775.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See http://money.cnn.com/1999/10/22/news/sycamore/

and later reached a market capitalization of about \$45 billion in March 2000.

- 13. Dr. Azizoglu joined Sycamore Networks in 1999 as a Senior Scientist and was soon promoted to Chief Network Architect. After obtaining his Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology ("MIT") in 1991, Dr. Azizoglu served as an Assistant Professor at the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science of George Washington University from 1991 to 1994. He then joined the Department of Electrical Engineering of the University of Washington, where he became a tenured Associate Professor.
- 14. Dr. Danny Tsang was a visiting professor on leave from the Hong Kong
  University of Science and Technology ("HKUST") when he worked at Sycamore Networks from
  2000 to 2001. Dr. Tsang is currently a full professor at HKUST and a fellow of the Institute of
  Electrical and Electronics Engineers ("IEEE"). Dr. Tsang obtained his Ph.D. in Electrical
  Engineering from the University of Pennsylvania in 1989.
- 15. Around the late 1990s, the data networking industry faced a challenging and technically complex problem of how to properly and efficiently map the data traffic coming from a variety of data networks (e.g., Gigabit Ethernet, Fibre Channel, FICON, and ESCON) onto an outgoing synchronous optical network (e.g., SONET), in order to transport the incoming data traffic across the outgoing optical network. An important aspect of this problem is how to timely and transparently transport both the control information and the data information within the incoming traffic across the outgoing network without incurring excessive traffic overhead.
- 16. In 2000, drawing on and extending Dr. Azizoglu's earlier work on data transport networks, Drs. Azizoglu and Tsang conceived and designed an elegant new transcoding scheme that takes advantage of some inherent line-code properties of certain data networks such as Gigabit Ethernet, Fibre Channel, FICON, and ESCON. This new transcoding scheme designed

by Drs. Azizoglu and Tsang not only addressed the above mentioned technical problem faced by the data networking industry, but also provided the benefit of elegantly reducing the overall data rate of the incoming traffic.

- 17. Sycamore Networks filed a provisional patent application for this invention on December 5, 2000, and later filed a formal patent application on February 27, 2001, which would ultimately issue as the '405 patent on October 4, 2005.
- 18. Defendant imports, makes, uses, offers to sell and sells its ONT 50 family of platforms; ONT 500 family of platforms including but not limited to ONT 503, ONT 506, and ONT 512; ONT 600 family of platforms including but not limited to ONT 603, ONT 606, and ONT 612; T-Berd MTS family of platforms including but not limited to T-Berd MTS-6000A and T-Berd MTS-8000; TestPoint family of platforms including but not limited to TS-10, TS-30, and TS-170; various Ethernet-over-Sonet interworking modules incorporating GFP-T functionalities for the ONT 50 family of platforms; various Module-E 10G modules; various Multi-Service Application Module (MSAM) modules; various 40/43G modules for the ONT 500 family of platforms; various 40/100G modules for the ONT 600 family of platforms; various 40/100 G modules for the T-Berd MTS family of platforms; various 1/10G modules for the TestPoint family of platforms; WaveReady family of systems including but not limited to WaveReady 3500F; WaveReady Muxponders including but not limited to WaveReady Eight-port Any Service OTN Muxponder; WaveReady Transponders including but not limited to WaveReady Transponder WRT-780 (collectively "Accused Products"). Defendant commits such acts in the State of Texas and in this judicial district.

## **COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '405 PATENT**

19. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations above as if fully set forth herein.

- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant has been and is currently directly infringing one or more claims of the '405 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by importing, making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling, without authority, infringing instrumentalities, including without limitation Defendant's Accused Products.

  Defendant's direct infringement includes, without limitation, (i) making and using the apparatus of at least claim 11, and (ii) practicing the method of at least claim 1, including by Defendant's making, using, operating, and/or testing its Accused Products.
- 21. Defendant further contributes to and/or induces infringement of the claims of the '405 Patent. The direct infringement induced and contributed to by Defendant includes at least the operation of the Accused Products by end users. Defendant knows that these users are infringing the '405 Patent at least by virtue of the filing of this Complaint and Defendant has specific intent to encourage these users to infringe the '405 Patent by practicing all of the claim limitations of at least one claim of the '405 Patent. Defendant induces these users to operate the Accused Products, knowing that these acts constitute infringement of the '405 Patent and with specific intent to encourage those acts and encourage infringement.
- 22. Upon Defendant's gaining knowledge of the '405 Patent, it was, or became, apparent to Defendant that the manufacture, sale, importing, offer for sale, testing and use of its Accused Products resulted in infringement of the '405 Patent. Upon information and belief, Defendant has continued to engage in activities constituting inducement of infringement, notwithstanding its knowledge (or willful blindness thereto) that the activities it was inducing result in infringement of the '405 Patent. For example, Defendant is inducing infringement of the '405 Patent by, among other things, knowingly and with intent, actively encouraging its customers, suppliers, users, agents and/or affiliates to make, use, sell and/or offer for sale

Defendant's Accused Products in a manner that constitutes infringement of one or more claims of the '405 Patent, knowing that such activities infringe the '405 Patent.

- 23. Defendant encourages direct infringement of the '405 Patent at least by widely publicizing its infringing Accused Products.<sup>3</sup>
- 24. By inducing Defendant's customers', suppliers', users', agents' and/or affiliates' use of the apparatuses and methods claimed in the '405 Patent, including through their use of the aforementioned Accused Products, Defendant has been and is now indirectly infringing under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) one or more claims of the '405 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 25. Upon information and belief, upon receiving knowledge of the '405 Patent (at least since the filing date of this Complaint) Defendant is contributing to the infringement of the '405 Patent by, among other things, knowingly and with intent, actively encouraging its customers, suppliers, agents, users and/or affiliates to make, use, sell and/or offer for sale Defendant's Accused Products in a manner that constitutes infringement of one or more claims of the '405 Patent. There are no substantial uses of Defendant's Accused Products that do not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, e.g., <a href="http://www.jdsu.com/productliterature/ont-50">http://www.jdsu.com/productliterature/ont-50</a> ds opt tm ae.pdf;

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{http://www.jdsu.com/ProductLiterature/newgen-tech\_an\_opt\_tm\_ae.pdf;}$ 

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{http://www.jdsu.com/ProductLiterature/ont-10GE\_ss\_ae.pdf;}$ 

http://www.jdsu.com/ProductLiterature/ont-5xx\_40-43G\_ds\_opt\_tm\_ae.pdf;

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{http://www.jdsu.com/ProductLiterature/ont600\_ds\_opt\_tm\_ae.pdf;}$ 

http://www.jdsu.com/ProductLiterature/ONT600\_MTM\_ds\_opt\_ae.pdf;

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{http://www.jdsu.com/en-us/Test-and-Measurement/Products/a-z-product-list/Pages/ont-family.aspx;}$ 

http://www.jdsu.com/en-us/Test-and-Measurement/Products/a-z-product-list/Pages/multi-services-application-module-for-t-berd-mts-6000a-platform.aspx;

http://www.jdsu.com/en-us/Test-and-Measurement/Products/network-

systems/waveready/Pages/waveready.aspx;

http://www.jdsu.com/ProductLiterature/wrm8008\_ds\_cms\_tm\_ae.pdf;

http://www.jdsu.com/Downloads/ONT-Release-Notes.pdf

http://www.jdsu.com/ProductLiterature/TestPoint\_full-br\_tnt\_tm\_ae.pdf.

infringe one or more claims of the '405 Patent.

- 26. By contributing to Defendant's customers', suppliers', agents', users' and/or affiliates' use of the apparatuses and methods claimed in the '405 Patent, including through their use of Defendant's Accused Products, Defendant has been and is now indirectly infringing under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) one or more claims of the '405 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 27. As a result of Defendant's unlawful infringement of the '405 Patent, Sycamore has suffered and will continue to suffer damage. Sycamore is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages adequate to compensate for such infringement, which have yet to be determined.
- 28. On information and belief, Defendant will continue to infringe the '405 Patent unless and until it is enjoined by this Court.
- 29. Defendant, by way of its infringing activities, has caused and continues to cause Sycamore to suffer damages in an amount to be determined at trial. Sycamore has no adequate remedy at law against Defendant's acts of infringement and, unless Defendant is enjoined from its infringement of the '405 Patent, Sycamore will suffer irreparable harm.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Sycamore respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor as follows:

- A. Holding that Defendant has directly infringed, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the claims of the '405 Patent;
- B. Holding that Defendant has indirectly infringed, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the claims of the '405 Patent;

C. Permanently enjoining Defendant and its officers, directors, agents, servants,

employees, affiliates, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents and all others acting in concert or

privity with any of them from infringing, inducing the infringement of, or contributing to the

infringement of the '405 Patent;

D. Awarding to Sycamore the damages to which it is entitled under 35 U.S.C. § 284

for Defendant's past infringement and any continuing or future infringement up until the date

Defendant is finally and permanently enjoined from further infringement, including

compensatory damages;

E. Declaring this to be an exceptional case and awarding Sycamore attorneys' fees

under 35 U.S.C. § 285;

F. Awarding Sycamore costs and expenses in this action;

G. Awarding Sycamore pre- and post-judgment interest on its damages; and

H. Awarding Sycamore such other and further relief in law or in equity as this Court

deems just and proper.

**JURY DEMAND** 

Sycamore, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury

of any and all issues so triable by right.

Dated: June 1, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Andrew W. Spangler

Andrew W. Spangler Texas Bar No. 24041960

SPANGLER LAW P.C.

208 N. Green St., Suite 300

9

Longview, TX 75601 Telephone (903) 753-9300 Facsimile (903) 553-0403 spangler@spanglerlawpc.com

## OF COUNSEL:

ERIC BERGER
Mark Raskin
Robert Whitman
MISHCON DE REYA NEW YORK LLP
750 Seventh Ave., 26<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 612-3279
Facsimile: (212) 612-3297
Eric.Berger@mishcon.com
mark.raskin@mischcon.com
robert.whitman@mishcon.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Sycamore IP Holdings LLC