

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

FASTVDO LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

LG ELECTRONICS, INC. and
LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-cv-923

Jury Trial Demanded

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

In this action for patent infringement, Plaintiff FastVDO LLC (“FastVDO”) makes the following allegations against LG Electronics, Inc. and LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”):

BACKGROUND

1. Data compression and decompression techniques are commonly applied in order to transmit large amounts of data, such as audio or image data, over channels with limited bandwidth or to store data in limited memory space. Compressed data, however, is highly susceptible to errors that can result in catastrophic effects on the reconstructed data, thereby necessitating the implementation of error protection techniques. Such error protection, however, decreases the efficiency of the data transmission and can result in delays.

2. In 1992, James Meany and Christopher Martens, while working in the aerospace and defense industry, began a project to develop a reduced bandwidth digital data link for use in man-in-the-loop missile control systems. This was part of a larger effort called the Advanced Terminal Guidance (“ATG”) project.

3. Error correction and detection was essential to handle channel errors on the link in these highly sensitive and important aerospace and defense projects. Meany and Martens, in

working to address error vulnerabilities, further developed an entirely new error resilient coding scheme that achieves improved error resilience while providing better coding efficiency than previous coding methods. Consequently, their systems and methods included novel approaches using unequal error-protection coding and were successful in increasing overall throughput over an error-protected link.

4. In early 1995, Meany and Martens diligently began preparations to seek patent protection for their inventions, and filed a patent application with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) on April 17, 1996. On December 15, 1998, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,850,482 (the “’482 patent”), entitled “Error Resilient Method and Apparatus for Entropy Coding.” Since then, the ’482 patent has been cited in at least 127 other U.S. patents or patent applications.

THE PARTIES

5. FastVDO is a Florida limited liability corporation with a principal place of business at 3097 Cortona Drive, Melbourne, Florida 32940.

6. On information and belief, Defendant LG Electronics, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Republic of Korea with a principal place of business at 20, Yeouido-dong, Yeongdeungpo-Gu, Seoul 150-721, Republic of Korea.

7. On information and belief, Defendant LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. is a Delaware corporation with a principle place of business at 1000 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, including § 271.

9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants in this action because Defendants have committed acts within this District giving rise to this action and has established minimum contacts with this forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants would

not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Defendants, directly and through subsidiaries or intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others), have committed and continue to commit acts of infringement in this District by, among other things, making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling products that infringe the asserted patent, and inducing others to infringe the asserted patent.

11. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(d) and 1400(b) because, among other reasons, Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this District, and Defendants have committed and continue to commit acts of patent infringement in this District.

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,850,482

12. FastVDO incorporates by reference the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs, and further alleges as follows:

13. FastVDO is the owner by assignment of the '482 Patent, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit A to this Complaint.

14. The '482 patent relates to methods and apparatuses for compressing and decompressing data by entropy encoding and decoding. More particularly, the '482 patent provides, for example, improved error-resilient methods and apparatuses for encoding and decoding that utilize unequal error protection techniques. These techniques include, *inter alia*, generating a plurality of code words representative of respective portions of the data and providing error protection to at least one of the first portions of the plurality of code words while maintaining any error protection provided to one or more other portions of the data at a lower level than the error protection provided to the respective first portion.

15. Defendants, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, have infringed and continue to directly infringe one or more claims of the '482 patent, in this District and elsewhere in the United States, by manufacturing, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing products that utilize, for example, unequal error-protection coding, including products that comply with Adaptive Multi-Rate ("AMR") and Adaptive Multi-Rate, Wide-Band ("AMR-WB") protocols,

such as the LG G smartphone series, and any such reasonably similar products (collectively, the “Accused Devices”). Defendants have committed these acts of infringement without license or authorization.

16. On information and belief, Defendants knew of the ’482 patent prior to the filing of this suit, and therefore have infringed and continue to infringe the ’482 patent willfully under 35 U.S.C. § 284. The ’482 patent is identified in at least three of LG Electronics, Inc.’s own patents in the same field of technology, including U.S. Patent No. 6,952,495, which issued on October 4, 2005, U.S. Patent No. 7,088,856, which issued on August 8, 2006, and U.S. Patent No. 7,376,271, which issued on May 20, 2008. Defendants, with knowledge of the ’482 patent, acted despite an objectively high likelihood that its manufacturing, using, selling, offering for sale, and importing the Accused Devices infringed FastVDO’s valid ’482 patent.

17. Defendants also indirectly infringe the ’482 patent by inducing infringement by others, such as manufacturers, resellers, and/or end-users of the Accused Devices, of one or more claims of the ’482 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271. On information and belief, Defendants knew of the ’482 Patent and knew of their infringement, including by way of this lawsuit. Defendants’ affirmative acts in this District of, *inter alia*, selling the Accused Devices and causing the Accused Devices to be manufactured and distributed, and providing instruction manuals, user manuals, and advertising pamphlets for, and describing the operation of, the Accused Devices, have induced and continue to induce Defendants’ manufacturers, resellers, and/or end-users to use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import the Accused Devices in their normal and customary way to infringe the ’482 patent. Defendants specifically intended and were aware that these normal and customary activities would infringe the ’482 patent. Defendants performed the acts that constitute induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the ’482 patent and with knowledge, or willful blindness to the probability, that the induced acts would constitute infringement.

18. By engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendants have injured FastVDO and are thus liable for infringement of one or more claims of the '482 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271.

19. As a result of Defendants' infringement of the '482 patent, FastVDO has been damaged and is entitled to a money judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendants' infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendants, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

FastVDO respectfully requests the following relief from this Court:

- A. A judgment in favor of FastVDO that Defendants have infringed the '482 patent;
- B. A judgment and order requiring Defendants to pay FastVDO its damages, costs, expenses, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest for Defendants' infringement of the '482 patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- C. A judgment and order finding that Defendants have willfully infringed the '482 patent and awarding FastVDO up to three times the amount of its actual damages for Defendants' willful infringement, as authorized by 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- D. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to FastVDO its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendants;
- E. Any and all other relief to which FastVDO may be entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, FastVDO requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

DATED: June 2, 2015

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Charles Ainsworth

Charles Ainsworth
State Bar No. 00783521
Robert Christopher Bunt
State Bar No. 00787165
PARKER, BUNT & AINSWORTH, P.C.
100 E. Ferguson, Suite 1114
Tyler, TX 75702
903/531-3535
903/533-9687
E-mail: charley@pbatyler.com
E-mail: rcbunt@pbatyler.com

RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
Marc A. Fenster (CA SBN 181067)
Reza Mirzaie (CA SBN 246953)
12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025
Telephone: (310) 826-7474
Facsimile: (310) 826-6991
mfenster@raklaw.com
rmirzaie@raklaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff FastVDO LLC