I	Case4:15-cv-00598-JSW Document69	Filed06/18/15 Page1 of 8				
1	STEVEN W. RITCHESON (SBN: 174062)					
2	swritcheson@hgdlawfirm.com					
	HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC 9800 D Topanga Canyon Blvd. #347					
3	9800 D Topanga Canyon Blvd. #347 Chatsworth, California 91311					
4	Telephone: (818) 882-1030 Facsimile: (818) 337-0383					
5						
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff iMTX STRATEGIC, LLC					
7						
8	[Additional counsel appear on signature page]					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
11	iMTX STRATEGIC, LLC,					
12	Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 4:15-CV-598-JSW				
13	v.	AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR				
14	VEDIZON SEDVICES CODD AND	PATENT INFRINGEMENT				
15	VERIZON SERVICES CORP. AND VERIZON ONLINE LLC,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED				
16	Defendants.					
17						
18	Plaintiff iMTX Strategic, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "iMTX"), by and through its undersigned					
19	counsel, files this Amended Complaint for patent infringement against Defendants Verizon					
20	Services Corporation and Verizon Online LLC. ("Defendants" or "Verizon") pursuant to the					
21	Court's Order (Dkt. No. 64) and states as follows:					
22						
23	NATURE OF THE ACTION					
24	1. This is a patent infringement action to stop Defendants' infringement of					
25	Plaintiff's United States Patent No. 7,269,854 entitled "Transaction System for Transporting					
26	Media Files from Content Provider Sources to Home Entertainment Devices" (hereinafter, the					
27						
28	"854 patent" or the "Patent-in-Suit"; a copy of v	vnich is attached hereto as Exhibit A).				

Case4:15-cv-00598-JSW Document69 Filed06/18/15 Page2 of 8
PARTIES
2. iMTX is a Limited Liability Company organized under the laws of the State of
California and with its principal place of business located at 751 E. Blithedale Avenue, #2241,
Mill Valley (Marin County), California 94942.
3. Plaintiff is the owner of the Patent-in-Suit with respect to the Defendants, and
possesses all rights thereto, including the exclusive right to exclude the Defendants from making,
using, selling, offering to sell or importing in this district and elsewhere into the United States
the patented invention(s) of the Patent-in-Suit, the right to license the Patent-in-Suit, and to sue
the Defendants for infringement and recover past damages.
4. (a) Upon information and belief, Defendant Verizon Services Corp. is a
corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its
principal place of business located at 22001 Loudoun County Parkway, Ashburn, Virginia
20147. Defendant may be served through its registered agent, The Corporation Trust Company,
Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware, 19801.
4. (b) Upon information and belief, Defendant Verizon Online LLC is a limited
liability company duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its
principal place of business located at 22001 Loudoun County Parkway, Ashburn, Virginia
20147. Defendant may be served through its registered agent, The Corporation Trust Company,
Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware, 19801.
C.D. Cal. iMTX Strategic, LLC v. Verizon Services Corp. and Verizon Online LLC Page 2
AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

2 3

4

5

1

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6 6. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because: Defendants have 7 stipulated to this Court having jurisdiction over the claims and defenses asserted in this action by 8 virtue of joining in the Motion to Transfer filed in the District of Delaware, where this case was 9 initially filed. (Del. Dkt. No. 11). Moreover, Defendants agreed to and stipulated to jurisdiction 10 before this Court for purposes of this action as part of the "Stipulation Regarding Dismissal of 11 Verizon Communications Inc. and Substitution of Verizon Services Corp. and Verizon Online 12 13 LLC", wherein the parties agreed to substitute the name of Defendant in the Original Complaint. 14 Moreover, Defendants have minimum contacts within the State of California and in the Northern 15 District of California; Defendants have purposefully availed themselves of the privileges of 16 conducting business in the State of California and in the Northern District of California; 17 Defendants have sought protection and benefit from the laws of the State of California; 18 Defendants regularly conduct business within the State of California and within the Northern 19 District of California, and Plaintiff's causes of action arise directly from Defendant's business 20 21 contacts and other activities in the State of California and in the Northern District of California.

- 22
- 23

7. More specifically, Defendants, directly and/or through its intermediaries, ship, distribute, offer for sale, sells, and/or advertise (including the provision of an interactive web 24 page) their products and services in the United States, the State of California, and the Northern 25 26 District of California. Upon information and belief, Defendants have committed patent infringement in the State of California and in the Northern District of California. Defendants

28

27

Case4:15-cv-00598-JSW Document69 Filed06/18/15 Page4 of 8

solicit customers in the State of California and in the Northern District of California. Defendants
have many paying customers who are residents of the State of California and the Northern
District of California and who use Defendants' products and services in the State of California
and in the Northern District of California.

8. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

28

<u>COUNT I:</u> <u>INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,269,854</u>

9. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of Paragraph 1-8 above.

10. The '854 patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on September 11, 2007 after full and fair examination. Plaintiff is the owner under the '854 patent with respect to Defendants, and possesses all right, title and interest in the '854 patent including the right to enforce the '854 patent, and the right to sue Defendants for infringement and recover past damages.

11. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants infringe the '854 patent either 18 literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Upon information and belief, Defendants have 19 20 infringed and continue to infringe one or more claims of the '854 patent by making, using, and 21 providing a method for executing user transaction requests for delivering digital media files via 22 the Internet; said method comprising enabling users to generate transaction requests, 23 communicating the transaction requests via the Internet to a transaction server, causing the 24 transaction server to verify user identification information and to identify which of a plurality of 25 media servers stores the requested media file, and causing the identified media server to uniquely 26 27 encrypt the identified media file and to download the identified and encrypted media file to the

Case4:15-cv-00598-JSW Document69 Filed06/18/15 Page5 of 8

1 requesting user directly via the Internet, and enabling only the requesting user to decrypt the 2 media file for playback at the requesting user's site, in this district and elsewhere in the United 3 States through its website, www.VERIZON.com, and other Internet-related services. Upon 4 information and belief, Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe one or more claims of 5 the '854 patent by making, using, and providing a system for executing user transaction requests 6 for delivering media files via the Internet, consisting of user sites with player/receivers, provider 7 8 sites and a transaction server through its video sharing service, in this district and elsewhere in 9 the United States through its website and other Internet-related services, including but not limited 10 to "FIOS On Demand" video on-demand services and "Flex View" video on-demand services 11 through a website and/or proprietary applications. 12 12. Defendants' aforesaid activities have been without authority and/or license from 13 14 Plaintiff. 15 13. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained by Plaintiff 16 as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial, which, by law, 17 18 cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court 19 under 35 U.S.C. § 284. 20 21 14. Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's rights under the '854 patent will continue 22 to damage Plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, 23 unless enjoined by this Court. 24 JURY DEMAND 25 15. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues. 26 27 28 C.D. Cal. iMTX Strategic, LLC v. Verizon Services Corp. and Verizon Online LLC Page |5 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

1

2

3	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
4	Plaint	tiff respectfully requests the following relief:
5	А.	An adjudication that one or more claims of the Patent-in-Suit have been infringed,
6		either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by the Defendants;
7	В.	An award of damages to be paid by Defendants adequate to compensate Plaintiff
8	D.	
9		for its past infringement and any continuing or future infringement up until the
10		date such judgment is entered, including interest, costs, and disbursements as
11		justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and, if necessary to adequately compensate
12		Plaintiff for Defendants' infringement, an accounting of all infringing sales
13		including, but not limited to, those sales not presented at trial;
14		
15	C.	A grant of permanent injunction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, enjoining the
16		Defendants from further acts of infringement with respect to the claims of the
17		Patents-in-Suit;
18		
19	D.	That this Court declare this to be an exceptional case and award Plaintiff its
20		reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with U.S.C. § 285; and,
21	E.	Any further relief that this Court deems just and proper.
22	E.	Any further fener that this Court deems just and proper.
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	C.D. Cal. 1MT	'X Strategic, LLC v. Verizon Services Corp. and Verizon Online LLC Page 6 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT Page 6

	Case4:15-cv-00598-JSW Document69 Filed06/18/15 Page7 of 8
1	Respectfully submitted this 18th day of June 2015.
2	/s/ Maureen V. Abbey
3	Steven W. Ritcheson
4	HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC 9800 D Topanga Canyon Boulevard, #347 Chatsworth, California 91311
5	Telephone: (818) 882-1030
6	Facsimile: (205) 326-3332
6	E-mail: swritcheson@hgdlawfirm.com
7	Maureen V. Abbey (pro hac vice)
8	HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC
0	220 Saint Paul Street
9	Westfield, NJ 07090
10	Telephone: (908) 379-8475
11	maureen@hdglawfirm.com
12	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff iMTX, LLC
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	C.D. Cal. iMTX Strategic, LLC v. Verizon Services Corp. and Verizon Online LLC Page AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

	Case4:15-cv-00598-JSW Document69 Filed06/18/15 Page8 of 8			
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
2	The undersigned certifies that, on June 18, 2015, She caused this document to be			
3	electronically filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send			
4	notification of filing to counsel of record for each party.			
5				
6	By: <u>/s/ Maureen V. Abbey</u>			
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16 17				
17 18				
18 19				
20				
20				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	D. ARIZ. CASE NO. 2:14-CV-02643-SPL JOAO & MONITORING SYSTEMS, LLC'S ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS FILED IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT			