

1 BENEDICT O'MAHONEY (State Bar No.152447)
TERRA LAW LLP
2 177 Park Avenue, Third Floor
San Jose, California 95113
3 Telephone: 408-299-1200
Facsimile: 408-998-4895
4 Email: bomahoney@terralaw.com

5 JONATHAN T. SUDER (*Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed*)
CORBY R. VOWELL (*Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed*)
6 TODD I. BLUMENFELD (*Pro Hac Vice To Be Filed*)
FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE
7 Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1
604 East 4th Street, Suite 200
8 Fort Worth, Texas 76102
Telephone: (817) 334-0400
9 Facsimile: (817) 334-0401
Email: jts@fsclaw.com
10 Email: vowell@fsclaw.com
Email: blumenfeld@fsclaw.com

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
12 SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
14 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
15 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

16 SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.
17 Plaintiff,
18 vs.
19 BENTLEY SYSTEMS,
20 INCORPORATED,
21 Defendant.

CASE NO.
**COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT
OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,249,868 AND
6,594,765**
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

22
23 Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC. files its Complaint against Defendant
24 BENTLEY SYSTEMS, INCORPORATED, alleging as follows:

25 **THE PARTIES**

26 1. Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC. ("SOFTVAULT") is a corporation
27 organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington with its principle place of
28 business in the State of Washington.

1 SYSTEMS.” A true and correct copy of the ‘765 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B and
2 made a part hereof.

3 7. The ‘868 Patent and the ‘765 Patent are sometimes referred to herein collectively
4 as “the Patents-in-Suit.”

5 8. As it pertains to this lawsuit, the Patents-in-Suit, very generally speaking, relate to
6 a method and system of protecting electronic, mechanical, and electromechanical devices and
7 systems, such as for example a computer system, and their components and software from
8 unauthorized use. Specifically, certain claims of the ‘868 and ‘765 Patents disclose the
9 utilization of embedded agents within system components to allow for the enablement or
10 disablement of the system component in which the agent is embedded. The invention disclosed
11 in the Patents-in-Suit discloses a server that communicates with the embedded agent through the
12 use of one or more handshake operations to authorize the embedded agent. When the embedded
13 agent is authorized by the server, it enables the device or component, and when not authorized
14 the embedded agent disables the device or component.

15 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

16 **(Patent Infringement)**

17 9. SoftVault repeats and realleges every allegation set forth above.

18 10. SoftVault is the owner of the Patents-in-Suit with the exclusive right to enforce
19 the Patents-in-Suit against infringers, and collect damages for all relevant times, including the
20 right to prosecute this action.

21 11. Upon information and belief, Bentley is liable under 35 U.S.C. §271(a) for direct
22 infringement of the Patents-in-Suit because it manufactures, makes, has made, uses, practices,
23 imports, provides, supplies, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale products and/or systems that
24 practice one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit.

25 12. Upon information and belief, Bentley is also liable under 35 U.S.C. §271(b) for
26 inducing infringement of, and under 35 U.S.C. §271(c) for contributory infringement of the
27 Patents-in-Suit because it manufactures, makes, has made, uses, practices, imports, provides,
28

1 supplies, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale products and/or systems that practice one or
2 more claims of the Patents-in-Suit.

3 13. More specifically, Bentley infringes the Patents-in-Suit because it manufactures,
4 makes, has made, uses, practices, imports, provides, supplies, distributes, sells, and/or offers for
5 sale products and systems which prevent unauthorized use of a computer system through the
6 ability to enable or disable the operation of a device's components through an authorization
7 process performed by an embedded agent in the application software and a server, known as
8 product activation. Bentley states on its website that most of its applications include the accused
9 product activation features, which includes its MicroStation, ProjectWise, and AssetWise
10 software¹ which communicate with a Bentley SELECTserver to activate the products.

11 14. All Bentley products which include its product activation features have, at a
12 minimum, in the past directly infringed and continue to directly infringe at least Claims 19 and
13 44 of the '868 Patent, as well as at least Claim 11 of the '765 Patent.

14 15. Bentley includes the product activation features in its software applications to
15 enforce licensing policies and ensure that only authorized copies of Bentley software
16 applications may be installed and used on a client computer. The product activation feature
17 requires that a portion of the code in the installed Bentley application communicate with a
18 Bentley license server, called SELECTserver, over the Internet to activate (or enable) the
19 application. Upon installation of a Bentley application, the product activation feature prompts a
20 user to activate the application by entering in an activation key. The license server exchanges
21 messages constituting a handshake operation with the product activation code in the application
22 to determine whether the license for the application is valid. When the product activation code
23 in the application is authorized by the license server, it enables the application in which it is
24 embedded to operate normally. When the product activation code is not authorized by the
25 license server, the application is disabled.

26 16. By providing these systems, Bentley has, in the past and continues to induce its
27 customers and/or end users to infringe at least Claims 19 and 44 of the '868 Patent, as well as at
28

¹ See http://communities.bentley.com/products/licensing/w/licensing_wiki/2772.

1 least Claim 11 of the '765 Patent. For example, end users of the accused products directly
2 infringe at least Claims 19 and 44 of the '868 Patent, as well as at least Claim 11 of the '765
3 Patent, when using or employing these systems.

4 17. On information and belief, Bentley possessed a specific intent to induce
5 infringement by at a minimum, providing user guides and other sales-related materials, by way
6 of advertising, solicitation, and provision of product instruction materials, instructing its
7 customers and end users on the normal operation of the accused products that infringe the
8 Patents-in-Suit.

9 18. Bentley has actual notice of the Patents-in-Suit at least as early as the filing of this
10 Complaint. Therefore, Bentley had knowledge of, or was willfully blind to, the existence of the
11 Patents-in-Suit since the time of the filing of this Complaint, if not earlier.

12 19. By providing these systems, Bentley has, in the past and continues to contribute to
13 the infringement of its customers and/or end users of at least Claims 19 and 44 of the '868
14 Patent, as well as at least Claim 11 of the '765 Patent.

15 20. Upon information and belief, the product activation feature within Bentley's
16 accused products has no substantial non-infringing uses, and Bentley knows that the product
17 activation feature is especially made or especially adapted for use in a product that infringes the
18 Patents-in-Suit.

19 21. SoftVault has been damaged as a result of Bentley's infringing conduct. Bentley
20 is, thus, liable to SoftVault in an amount that adequately compensates SoftVault for Bentley's
21 infringement, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and
22 costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

23 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

24 SoftVault requests that the Court find in its favor and against Bentley, and that the Court
25 grant SoftVault the following relief:

- 26 a. Judgment that one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit have been infringed,
27 either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Bentley;
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- b. Judgment that Bentley account for and pay to SoftVault all damages to and costs incurred by SoftVault because of Bentley’ infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- c. That Bentley, its officers, agents, servants and employees, and those persons in active concert and participation with any of them, be permanently enjoined from infringement of the Patents-in-Suit. In the alternative, if the Court finds that an injunction is not warranted, SoftVault requests an award of post judgment royalty to compensate for future infringement;
- e. That SoftVault be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to it by reason of Bentley’ infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- f. That this Court declare this an exceptional case and award SoftVault its reasonable attorney’s fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- g. That SoftVault be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

DATED: June 25, 2015.

/s/ Benedict O’Mahoney
 Benedict O’Mahoney
 TERRA LAW LLP (Bar No.152447)
 177 Park Avenue, Third Floor
 San Jose, California 95113
 Telephone: 408-299-1200
 Facsimile: 408-998-4895
 Email: bomahoney@terralaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff
 SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Of Counsel:

Jonathan T. Suder
Corby R. Vowell
Todd Blumenfeld
FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE
Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1
604 East 4th Street, Suite 200
Fort Worth, Texas 76102
Telephone: (817) 334-0400
Facsimile: (817) 334-0401
Email: jts@fsclaw.com
Email: vowell@fsclaw.com
Email: blumenfeld@fsclaw.com

4825-6139-0117, v. 1