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## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OAKLEY, INC., a Washington corporation,

Plaintiff,
v.

7-ELEVEN, INC., a Texas corporation, Defendant.

Civil Action No.
'15CV1398 BAS BLM
COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Oakley, Inc. ("Oakley") hereby complains of 7-Eleven, Inc. ("Defendant") and alleges as follows:

## I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1338, as it arises under the patent laws of the United States.
2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has a continuous, systematic, and substantial presence within this judicial district including by selling and offering for sale infringing products in this judicial district, and by committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district, including but not limited to selling infringing eyewear directly to consumers and/or retailers in this district and selling into the stream of commerce knowing such products would be sold in California and this district, which acts form a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Oakley's claim.
3. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (d).

## II. THE PARTIES

4. Oakley is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington, having its principal place of business at One Icon, Foothill Ranch, California 92610.
5. Oakley is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant 7-Eleven, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Texas, having a principal place of business at 1722 Routh Street, Suite 1000, Dallas, Texas 75201.
6. Oakley is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant has committed the acts alleged herein within this judicial district.

## III. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

7. Oakley has been actively engaged in the manufacture and sale of high quality eyewear since at least 1985. Oakley is the manufacturer and retailer of several lines of eyewear that have enjoyed substantial success and are protected by various intellectual property rights owned by Oakley.
8. On November 29, 2011, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued United States Design Patent No. D649,579 ("the D579 Patent"), titled "EYEGLASS." Oakley is the owner by assignment of all right, title, and interest in the D579 Patent. A true and correct copy of the D579 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
9. On July 31, 2007, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued United States Design Patent No. D547,794 ("the D794 Patent"), titled "EYEGLASSES." Oakley is the owner by assignment of all right, title, and interest in the D794 Patent. A true and correct copy of the D794 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
10. On November 6, 2007, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued United States Design Patent No. D554,689 ("the D689 Patent"), titled "EYEGLASS FRAME." Oakley is the owner by assignment of all right, title, and interest in the D689 Patent. A true and correct copy of the D689 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
11. On December 4, 2007, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued United States Design Patent No. D556,818 ("the D818 Patent"), titled "EYEGLASS COMPONENTS." Oakley is the owner by assignment of all right, title, and interest in the D818 Patent. A true and correct copy of the D818 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.
12. On October 22, 2013, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued United States Design Patent No. D692,047 ("the D047 Patent"), titled "EYEGLASS." Oakley is the owner by assignment
of all right, title, and interest in the D047 Patent. A true and correct copy of the D047 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
13. On February 7, 2012, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued United States Design Patent No. D653,699 ("the D699 Patent"), titled "EYEGLASS." Oakley is the owner by assignment of all right, title, and interest in the D699 Patent. A true and correct copy of the D699 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit F.
14. Oakley has provided the public with constructive notice of its patent rights pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 287.
15. Defendant manufactures, uses, sells, offers for sale, and/or imports into the United States eyewear that infringes Oakley's intellectual property rights.
16. Defendant's acts complained of herein have caused Oakley to suffer irreparable injury to its business. Oakley will suffer substantial loss of goodwill and reputation unless and until Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined from its wrongful actions complained of herein.

## IV. CLAIM FOR RELIEF <br> (Patent Infringement - 35 U.S.C. § 271)

17. Oakley repeats and re-alleges the allegations of paragraphs $1-16$ of this Complaint as if set forth fully herein.
18. This is a claim for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271.
19. Defendant, through its agents, employees, and servants, has, and continues to, knowingly, intentionally, and willfully directly infringe, engage in acts of contributory infringement, and/or induce the infringement of the D579 Patent by directly and/or indirectly making, using, selling, offering for sale and/or importing eyewear having a design that is covered by the claim of the D579 Patent, including for example the sunglass model shown below, which / / /
was sold and/or offered for sale at the 7-Eleven store located at 5141 College Avenue, San Diego, California 92115.

20. Defendant's acts of infringement of the D579 Patent were undertaken without permission or license from Oakley. Defendant had actual and/or constructive knowledge of the D579 Patent, and its actions constitute willful and intentional infringement of the D579 Patent. Defendant infringed the D579 Patent with reckless disregard of Oakley's patent rights. Defendant knew, or it was so obvious that Defendant should have known, that its actions constituted infringement of the D579 Patent. Defendant's acts of infringement of the D579 Patent were not consistent with the standards of commerce for its industry.
21. Defendant, through its agents, employees, and servants, has, and continues to, knowingly, intentionally, and willfully directly infringe, engage in acts of contributory infringement, and/or induce the infringement of the D794 Patent by directly and/or indirectly making, using, selling, offering for sale and/or importing eyewear having a design that is covered by the claim of the D794 Patent, including for example the sunglass model shown below, which was sold and/or offered for sale at the 7-Eleven store located at 28933 Crown Valley Parkway, Laguna Niguel, California 92677.
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22. Defendant's acts of infringement of the D794 Patent were undertaken without permission or license from Oakley. Defendant had actual and/or constructive knowledge of the D794 Patent, and its actions constitute willful and intentional infringement of the D794 Patent. Defendant infringed the D794 Patent with reckless disregard of Oakley's patent rights. Defendant knew, or it was so obvious that Defendant should have known, that its actions constituted infringement of the D794 Patent. Defendant's acts of infringement of the D794 Patent were not consistent with the standards of commerce for its industry.
23. Defendant, through its agents, employees, and servants, has, and continues to, knowingly, intentionally, and willfully directly infringe, engage in acts of contributory infringement, and/or induce the infringement of the D689 Patent by directly and/or indirectly making, using, selling, offering for sale and/or importing eyewear having a design that is covered by the claim of the D689 Patent, including for example the sunglass model shown below, which was sold and/or offered for sale at the 7-Eleven store located at 28933 Crown Valley Parkway, Laguna Niguel, California 92677.

24. Defendant's acts of infringement of the D689 Patent were undertaken without permission or license from Oakley. Defendant had actual and/or constructive knowledge of the D689 Patent, and its actions constitute willful and intentional infringement of the D689 Patent. Defendant infringed the D689 Patent with reckless disregard of Oakley's patent rights. Defendant knew, or it was so obvious that Defendant should have known, that its actions constituted infringement of the D689 Patent. Defendant's acts of infringement
of the D689 Patent were not consistent with the standards of commerce for its industry.
25. Defendant, through its agents, employees, and servants, has, and continues to, knowingly, intentionally, and willfully directly infringe, engage in acts of contributory infringement, and/or induce the infringement of the D818 Patent by directly and/or indirectly making, using, selling, offering for sale and/or importing eyewear having a design that is covered by the claim of the D689 Patent, including for example the sunglass model shown below, which was sold and/or offered for sale at the 7-Eleven store located at 28933 Crown Valley Parkway, Laguna Niguel, California 92677.

26. Defendant's acts of infringement of the D818 Patent were undertaken without permission or license from Oakley. Defendant had actual and/or constructive knowledge of the D818 Patent, and its actions constitute willful and intentional infringement of the D818 Patent. Defendant infringed the D818 Patent with reckless disregard of Oakley's patent rights. Defendant knew, or it was so obvious that Defendant should have known, that its actions constituted infringement of the D818 Patent. Defendant's acts of infringement of the D818 Patent were not consistent with the standards of commerce for its industry.
27. Defendant, through its agents, employees, and servants, has, and continues to, knowingly, intentionally, and willfully directly infringe, engage in acts of contributory infringement, and/or induce the infringement of the D047 Patent by directly and/or indirectly making, using, selling, offering for sale and/or importing eyewear having a design that is covered by the claim of the

D047 Patent, including for example the sunglass model shown below, which was sold and/or offered for sale at the 7-Eleven store located at 25361 Alicia Parkway, Laguna Hills, California 92653.

28. Defendant's acts of infringement of the D047 Patent were undertaken without permission or license from Oakley. Defendant had actual and/or constructive knowledge of the D047 Patent, and its actions constitute willful and intentional infringement of the D047 Patent. Defendant infringed the D047 Patent with reckless disregard of Oakley's patent rights. Defendant knew, or it was so obvious that Defendant should have known, that its actions constituted infringement of the D047 Patent. Defendant's acts of infringement of the D047 Patent were not consistent with the standards of commerce for its industry.
29. Defendant, through its agents, employees, and servants, has, and continues to, knowingly, intentionally, and willfully directly infringe, engage in acts of contributory infringement, and/or induce the infringement of the D699 Patent by directly and/or indirectly making, using, selling, offering for sale and/or importing eyewear having a design that is covered by the claim of the D699 Patent, including for example the sunglass model shown below, which was sold and/or offered for sale at the 7-Eleven store located at 25361 Alicia Parkway, Laguna Hills, California 92653.

30. Defendant's acts of infringement of the D699 Patent were undertaken without permission or license from Oakley. Defendant had actual and/or constructive knowledge of the D699 Patent, and its actions constitute willful and intentional infringement of the D699 Patent. Defendant infringed the D699 Patent with reckless disregard of Oakley's patent rights. Defendant knew, or it was so obvious that Defendant should have known, that its actions constituted infringement of the D699 Patent. Defendant's acts of infringement of the D699 Patent were not consistent with the standards of commerce for its industry.
31. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's patent infringement, Defendant has derived and received gains, profits, and advantages in an amount not presently known to Oakley.
32. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, Oakley is entitled to damages for Defendant's infringing acts and treble damages together with interests and costs as fixed by this Court.
33. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 289, Oakley is entitled to Defendant's total profits from the sale of eyewear that infringes Oakley's patent rights.
34. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, Oakley is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees for the necessity of bringing this claim.
35. Due to the aforesaid infringing acts, Oakley has suffered great and irreparable injury, for which Oakley has no adequate remedy at law.
36. Defendant will continue to directly and/or indirectly infringe Oakley's patent rights to the great and irreparable injury of Oakley, unless enjoined by this Court.

WHEREFORE, Oakley prays for judgment in its favor against Defendant for the following relief:
A. An Order adjudging Defendant to have willfully infringed the D579 Patent, the D794 Patent, the D689 Patent, the D818 Patent, the D047 Patent, and the D699 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271;
B. A preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with Defendant, from directly or indirectly infringing D579 Patent, the D794 Patent, the D689 Patent, the D818 Patent, the D047 Patent, or the D699 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271;
C. That Defendant account for all gains, profits, and advantages derived by Defendant's infringement of D579 Patent, the D794 Patent, the D689 Patent, the D818 Patent, the D047 Patent, and the D699 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, and that Defendant pay to Oakley all damages suffered by Oakley and/or Defendant's total profit from such infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 289;
D. An Order for a trebling of damages and/or exemplary damages because of Defendant's willful conduct pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
E. An Order adjudging that this is an exceptional case;
F. An award to Oakley of the attorney fees, expenses, and costs incurred by Oakley in connection with this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;
G. An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs of this action against Defendant; and,
H. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON \& BEAR, LLP

Dated: June 25, 2015
By: /s/ Ali S. Razai
Michael K. Friedland
michael.friedland@knobbe.com
Ali S. Razai
ali.razai@knobbe.com
Kent N. Shum
kent.shum@knobbe.com
Samantha Y. Hsu
samantha.hsu@knobbe.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff Oakley, Inc.

## DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Oakley, Inc. hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON \& BEAR, LLP

Dated: June 25, 2015
By: /s/ Ali S. Razai
Michael K. Friedland
michael.friedland@knobbe.com
Ali S. Razai
ali.razai@knobbe.com
Kent N. Shum
kent.shum@knobbe.com
Samantha Y. Hsu
samantha.hsu@knobbe.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff Oakley, Inc.
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## EXHIBIT A


(12)
United States Design Patent Thixton

## (54) EYEGLASS

Inventor: Lek Thixton, Eastsound, WA (US)
Assignee: Oakley, Inc., Foothill Ranch, CA (US)
Term:
14 Years
Appl. No.: 29/391,383
(22) Filed: May 6, 2011
(51) LOC (9) Cl. 16-06
(52) U.S. Cl. ..................................... D16/321; D16/326
(58) Field of Classification Search ................ D16/101, D16/300-342, 900; D29/109-110; D24/110.2;

351/41, 44, 51-52, 62, 158, 92, 103-123, $351 / 140,153,45-46 ; 2 / 426-432,447-449$, 2/441, 434-437, 13, 15; D21/483, 659-661 See application file for complete search history.

References Cited

| U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D178,178 | S | 7/1956 | Fleming |  |
| D192,885 | S | 5/1962 | Pettito |  |
| D210,418 | S | 3/1968 | Bloch et al. |  |
| D218,569 | S | 9/1970 | McCracken |  |
| D244,281 | S * | * 5/1977 | Teufelhart | D16/326 |
| D380,766 | S | 7/1997 | Simioni |  |
| D488,499 | S * | * 4/2004 | Mage | D16/326 |
| D497,934 | S | 11/2004 | Sheldon |  |
| D534,573 | S * | * 1/2007 | Mage | D16/326 |
| D539,833 | S | 4/2007 | Chuang |  |
| D545,348 | S * | * 6/2007 | Chen | D16/326 |
| D545,868 | S | 7/2007 | Chuang |  |
| D550,272 | S | 9/2007 | Markovitz |  |
| D554,689 | S | 11/2007 | Jannard et al. |  |
| D555,705 | S | 11/2007 | Chuang |  |
| D561,809 | S | 2/2008 | Yee |  |
| D561,811 | S | 2/2008 | Fox et al. ................... | D16/325 |
| D561,812 | S | 2/2008 | Fox et al. | D16/325 |
| D561,813 | S | * 2/2008 | Baden et al. | D16/325 |
| D569,412 | S | 5/2008 | Jannard et al. |  |


| D570,897 | S |  | 6/2008 | Fuchs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D575,323 | S |  | 8/2008 | Jannard et al. |  |
| D581,444 | S |  | 11/2008 | Jannard et al. |  |
| D583,405 | S | * | 12/2008 | Chen | D16/326 |
| D585,475 | S |  | 1/2009 | Yang |  |
| D586,379 | S | * | 2/2009 | Thixton et al. | D16/325 |
| D595,333 | S | * | 6/2009 | Markovitz et al. | D16/326 |
| D599,838 | S |  | 9/2009 | Rohrbach |  |
| D601,613 | S |  | 10/2009 | Yee |  |
| D604,756 | S |  | 11/2009 | Shin et al. |  |
| D604,758 | S |  | 11/2009 | Rohrbach et al. |  |
| D604,759 | S |  | 11/2009 | Rohrbach et al. |  |
| D606,578 | S | * | 12/2009 | Markovitz et al. | D16/320 |
| D607,040 | S |  | 12/2009 | Rohrbach |  |
| D610,603 | S |  | 2/2010 | Thixton |  |
| D610,604 | S |  | 2/2010 | Thixton |  |
| D616,013 | S |  | 5/2010 | Reed |  |
| D616,919 | S |  | 6/2010 | Thixton |  |
| D620,970 | S |  | 8/2010 | Thixton |  |
| D622,304 | S |  | 8/2010 | Baden et al. |  |
| D629,830 | S | * | 12/2010 | Markovitz et al. .......... | D16/326 |
| D636,010 | S |  | 4/2011 | Moritz |  |

Primary Examiner - Raphael Barkai
(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm - Knobbe Martens Olson \& Bear, LLP

## (57)

CLAIM
The ornamental design for an eyeglass, as shown and described.

## DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front perspective view of an eyeglass of the present invention;
FIG. 2 is a front elevational view of the eyeglass of FIG. 1;
FIG. 3 is a rear elevational view of the eyeglass of FIG. 1;
FIG. 4 is a left side elevational view of the eyeglass of FIG. 1 , the right side elevational view being a mirror image thereof; FIG. 5 is a top plan view of the eyeglass of FIG. 1; and, FIG. 6 is a bottom plan view of the eyeglass of FIG. 1.

1 Claim, 4 Drawing Sheets
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## EXHIBIT B



## (12) United States Design Patent Jannard et al.

(10)
(45) Date of Patent: ** Jul. 31, 2007

## (54) EYEGLASSES

James H. Jannard, Spieden Island, WA (US); Hans Karsten Moritz, Foothill Ranch, CA (US); Colin Baden, Irvine, CA (US)
(73) Assignee: Oakley, Inc., Foothill Ranch, CA (US)
(**) Term: 14 Years
(21) Appl. No.: 29/227,719
(22) Filed: Apr. 13, 2005
(51) LOC (8) Cl. ................................................. 16-06
(52) U.S. Cl. ..................................................... D16/326
(58) Field of Classification Search ....... D16/300-330, D16/101, 332-338; D29/109-110; D24/110.2; 351/41, 44, 51-52, 62, 158, 92, 103-111, $351 / 156,61,114-119,121-123 ; 2 / 426-432$, 2/447-449, 441, 436, 434-437 See application file for complete search history.
(56)

References Cited
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| D192,885 | S * | 5/1962 | Petitto ..................... D16/329 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D193,028 | S | 6/1962 | Petitto |
| D199,150 | S | 9/1964 | Carmichael |
| D202,658 | S | 10/1965 | Petitto |
| D209,862 | S | 1/1968 | McCracken |
| D210,418 | S * | 3/1968 | Bloch ...................... D16/326 |
| D218,569 | S | 9/1970 | McCracken ............... D16/325 |
| D264,094 | S * | $4 / 1982$ | Morris .................... D16/325 |
| D268,683 | S | 4/1983 | Tenny |
| D285,020 | S | 8/1986 | Schmidthaler |
| D372,726 | S | 8/1996 | Simioni |
| D390,589 | S | 2/1998 | Simioni |
| D397,351 | S | 8/1998 | Simioni |
| D399,238 | S * | 10/1998 | Simioni ................... D16/326 |
| D407,099 | S | 3/1999 | Wang |


| D414,796 S |  | $10 / 1999$ | Arnette |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D420,035 S | $*$ | $2 / 2000$ | Hartman .................... D16/325 |  |  |
| D449,641 S | $*$ | $10 / 2001$ | Arnette ................... D16/326 |  |  |
| D488,499 S | $*$ | $4 / 2004$ | Mage .................... D16/326 |  |  |
| D500,781 S | $*$ | $1 / 2005$ | Mage .................... D16/326 |  |  |
| D518,847 S | $*$ | $4 / 2006$ | Teng .................... D16/326 |  |  |
| D532,033 S | $*$ | $11 / 2006$ | Mangum ............... D16/326 |  |  |
| D534,572 S | $*$ | $1 / 2007$ | Teng .................... D16/326 |  |  |
| D534,573 S | $*$ | $1 / 2007$ | Mage ................... D16/326 |  |  |
| D536,028 S | $*$ | $1 / 2007$ | Paulson ................. D16/326 |  |  |
| D537,467 S | $*$ | $2 / 2007$ | Teng .................... D16/326 |  |  |

OTHER PUBLICATIONS
Sunglass Hut, p. 5, 2002.*

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner-Raphael Barkai
(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm-Gregory K. Nelson
(57)

## CLAIM

The ornamental design for an eyeglasses, as shown and described.

## DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front perspective view of the eyeglasses of the present invention;
FIG. 2 is a left-side elevational view of the eyeglasses, the right side elevational view being a mirror image thereof; FIG. 3 is a front elevational view thereof;
FIG. 4 is a rear elevational view thereof;
FIG. 5 is a top plan view thereof; and,
FIG. 6 is a bottom plan view thereof.
Phantom lining, where utilized, is for illustrative purposes only and is not intended to limit the claimed design to the features shown in phantom.
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## EXHIBIT C



## (12) United States Design Patent Jannard et al. <br> (54) EYEGLASS FRAME <br> James H. Jannard, Spieden Island, WA (US); Hans Karsten Moritz, Foothill Ranch, CA (US); Colin Baden, Irvine, CA (US) <br> (73) Assignee: Oakley, Inc., Foothill Ranch, CA (US) <br> (**) Term: 14 Years <br> (21) Appl. No.: 29/272,776 <br> (22) Filed: <br> Feb. 15, 2007

## Related U.S. Application Data

(62) Division of application No. 29/227,719, filed on Apr. 13, 2005.

LOC (8) Cl. 16-06
(52) U.S. Cl. ...................................................... D16/326
(58) Field of Classification Search ....... D16/300-330, D16/101, 332-338; D29/109-110; D24/110.2; $351 / 41,44,51-52,62,158,92,103-111$, 351/156, 61, 114-119, 121-123; 2/426-432, $2 / 447-449,441,436,434437$ See application file for complete search history.

## References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| D192,885 | S * | 5/1962 | Petitto | D16/329 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D193,028 | S | 6/1962 | Petitto |  |
| D199,150 | S | 9/1964 | Carmichael |  |
| D202,658 | S | 10/1965 | Petitto |  |
| D209,862 | S | 1/1968 | McCracken |  |
| D2 10,418 | S * | 3/1968 | Bloch ...... | D16/326 |
| D218,569 | S | 9/1970 | McCracken | D16/325 |
| D264,094 | S* | 4/1982 | Morris | D16/325 |
| D268,683 | S | 4/1983 | Tenny |  |
| D285,020 | S | 8/1986 | Schmidthaler |  |
| D372,726 | S | 8/1996 | Simioni |  |
| D390,589 | S | 2/1998 | Simioni |  |
| D397,351 | S | 8/1998 | Simioni |  |

(0) Patent No.: US D554,689 S
(45) Date of Patent: ** Nov. 6, 2007
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## EXHIBIT D



## (12) United States Design Patent Jannard et al. <br> (54) EYEGLASS COMPONENTS <br> James H. Jannard, Spieden Island, WA (US); Hans Karsten Moritz, Foothill Ranch, CA (US); Colin Baden, Irvine, CA (US) <br> Assignee: Oakley, Inc., Foothill Ranch, CA (US) <br> (**) Term: <br> 14 Years <br> (21) Appl. No.: 29/272,777 <br> (22) Filed: <br> Feb. 15, 2007

## Related U.S. Application Data

(62) Division of application No. 29/227,719, filed on Apr. 13,2005 , now Pat. No. Des. 547,794.
(51)

LOC (8) Cl. $\qquad$ 16-06
(52) U.S. Cl. $\qquad$ D16/326; D16/335
(58) Field of Classification Search ....... D16/300-330, D16/101, 332-338; D29/109-110; D24/110.2; $351 / 41,44,51-52,62,158,92,103-111$, 351/156, 61, 114-119, 121-123; 2/426-432, $2 / 447-449,441,436,434437$ See application file for complete search history.

## References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| D193,028 | S | $6 / 1962$ | Petitto |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| D199,150 | S | $9 / 1964$ | Carmichael |
| D202,658 | S | $10 / 1965$ | Petitto |
| D209,862 | S | $1 / 1968$ | McCracken |
| D268,683 | S | $4 / 1983$ | Tenny |
| D285,020 | S | $8 / 1986$ | Schmidthaler |
| D372,726 | S | $8 / 1996$ | Simioni |
| D390,589 | S | $2 / 1998$ | Simioni |
| D397,351 | S | $8 / 1998$ | Simioni |
| D407,099 | S | $3 / 1999$ | Wang |
| D414,796 | S | $10 / 1999$ | Arnette |


| D481,063 | S | * | 10/2003 | Lane | D16/326 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D500,781 | S | * | 1/2005 | Mage | D16/335 |
| D534,572 | S | * | 1/2007 | Teng | D16/337 |
| D535,318 | S | * | 1/2007 | Teng | D16/335 |
| D535,319 | S | * | 1/2007 | Chuang | D16/326 |
| D536,026 | S | * | 1/2007 | Bruck | D16/326 |
| D537,467 | S | * | 2/2007 | Teng | D16/326 |

OTHER PUBLICATIONS
Pending U.S. Appl. No. 29/227,719, filed Apr. 13, 2005, Jannard. * cited by examiner

Primary Examiner-Raphael Barkai
(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm - Gregory K. Nelson

## CLAIM

The ornamental design for an eyeglass components, as shown and described.

## DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front perspective view of the eyeglass components of the present invention;
FIG. 2 is a perspective view thereof;
FIG. 3 is a front elevational view thereof;
FIG. 4 is a lateral left-side elevational view thereof, the lateral right-side elevational view being a mirror image thereof;
FIG. 5 is a medial left-side elevational view thereof, the medial right-side elevational view being a mirror image thereof;

FIG. 6 is a rear elevational view thereof;
FIG. 7 is a bottom plan view thereof; and,
FIG. 8 is a top elevational view thereof.
Phantom lining, where utilized, is for illustrative purposes only and is not intended to limit the claimed design to the features shown in phantom.
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## EXHIBIT E

## (12) <br> United States Design Patent Shin

## (54) EYEGLASS

(71) Applicant: Oakley, Inc., Foothill Ranch, CA (US)
(72) Inventor: Jae Shin, Irvine, CA (US)
(73) Assignee: Oakley, Inc., Foothill Ranch, CA (US)
(**) Term: 14 Years
(21) Appl. No.: 29/444,586
(22) Filed: Jan. 31, 2013
(51) LOC (9) Cl. 16-06
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(58) Field of Classification Search

USPC D16/101, 300-342, 900; D29/109-110; $351 / 41,44,51-52,62,158,92$,
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| D547,793 | S | $7 / 2007$ | Baden et al. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| D547,794 | S | $7 / 2007$ | Jannard et al. |
| D548,269 | S | $8 / 2007$ | Baden et al. |
| D556,246 | S | $11 / 2007$ | Yee |
| D556,818 | S | $12 / 2007$ | Jannard et al. |
| D558,816 | S | $1 / 2008$ | Yee |
| D561,812 | S | $2 / 2008$ | Fox et al. |
| D561,813 | S | $2 / 2008$ | Baden et al. |
| D564,572 | S | $3 / 2008$ | Yee et al. |
| D565,088 | S | $3 / 2008$ | Baden et al. |
| D565,089 | S | $3 / 2008$ | Baden et al. |
| D572,747 | S | $7 / 2008$ | Baden et al. |
| D572,749 | S | $7 / 2008$ | Yee |
| D573,172 | S | $7 / 2008$ | Baden et al. |
| D575,323 | S | $8 / 2008$ | Jannard et al. |
| D577,759 | S | $9 / 2008$ | Yee |
| D581,449 | S | $11 / 2008$ | Yee |


| D581,450 | S | $11 / 2008$ | Yee |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| D583,404 | S | $12 / 2008$ | Baden et al. |
| D584,335 | S | $1 / 2009$ | Baden et al. |
| D599,838 | S | $9 / 2009$ | Rohrbach |
| D599,839 S | $9 / 2009$ | Rohrbach |  |
| D601,603 S | $10 / 2009$ | Yee |  |
| D604,757 S | $11 / 2009$ | Yee |  |
| D604,758 | S | $11 / 2009$ | Rohrbach et al. |
| D604,759 | $11 / 2009$ | Rohrbach et al. |  |
| D607,040 | $12 / 2009$ | Rohrbach |  |
| D610.604 S | $2 / 2010$ | Thixton |  |
| D615,580 S | $5 / 2010$ | Baden et al. |  |
|  | (Continued) |  |  |
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U.S. Appl. No. 29/427,769, filed Jul. 23, 2012, Shin.

Primary Examiner - Raphael Barkai
(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm - Knobbe Martens Olson \& Bear, LLP
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CLAIM
The ornamental design for an eyeglass, as shown and described.

## DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front perspective view of an eyeglass showing my new design in which the different shading techniques including the line shading in some areas and the stippling in other areas of the eyeglass represent a contrast in appearance, and is not intended to represent any specific color, texture and/or material;
FIG. 2 is a front elevational view thereof;
FIG. 3 is a rear elevational view thereof;
FIG. 4 is a left side elevational view thereof, the right side elevational view being a mirror image thereof;
FIG. 5 is a top plan view thereof; and,
FIG. 6 is a bottom plan view thereof;
The broken lines in the Figures show portions of the eyeglass which form no part of the claimed design.
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| D539,833 | S | 4/2007 | Chuang |
| D545,348 | S | 6/2007 | Chen |
| D545,868 | S | 7/2007 | Chuang |
| D550,272 | S | 9/2007 | Markovitz |


| D555,705 | S | $11 / 2007$ | Chuang |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| D561,811 | S | $2 / 2008$ | Fox et al. |
| D561,812 | S | $2 / 2008$ | Fox et al. |
| D561,813 | S | $2 / 2008$ | Baden |
| D570,897 | S | $6 / 2008$ | Fuchs |
| D575,323 | S | $8 / 2008$ | Jannard et al. |
| D583,405 | S | $12 / 2008$ | Chen |
| D585,475 | S | $1 / 2009$ | Yang |
| D586,379 | S | $2 / 2009$ | Thixton et al. |
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CLAIM
The ornamental design for an eyeglass, as shown and described.

## DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front perspective view of an eyeglass of the present invention;
FIG. 2 is a front elevational view of the eyeglass of FIG. 1;
FIG. 3 is a rear elevational view of the eyeglass of FIG. 1;
FIG. 4 is a left side elevational view of the eyeglass of FIG. 1, the right side elevational view being a minor image thereof; FIG. 5 is a top plan view of the eyeglass of FIG. 1; and, FIG. 6 is a bottom plan view of the eyeglass of FIG. 1.
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