

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
ORLANDO DIVISION**

PESCHKE MAP TECHNOLOGIES LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

WEINGARTEN REALTY INVESTORS

Defendant.

CASE NO. 6:15-cv-447-Orl-37DAB

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF REQUESTED

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

This is an action for patent infringement in which Plaintiff, Peschke Map Technologies LLC (“PMT” or “Plaintiff”), makes the following allegations against Defendant, Weingarten Realty Investors (“Weingarten” or “Defendant”):

BACKGROUND

1. George Peschke is a computer scientist and the inventor of United States Patent No. 6,397,143 (the “Peschke Patent”) entitled “Layout Based Method for Map Navigation.” In the late 1990s, Mr. Peschke invented a computerized layout mapping technology that provided, among other things, a depiction of the layout and location of stores and businesses, as well as information about the stores/businesses on linked pages. Mr. Peschke filed a patent application on October 26, 2000 and continued developing this technology into a working prototype system. Mr. Peschke started a company named Bizinco.com, with a website at www.bizinco.com by May 15, 2001 to showcase his technology.¹ The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office issued the Peschke Patent on

¹ See, e.g., <http://web.archive.org/web/20010515193104/http://www.bizinco.com>.

May 28, 2002. Since Mr. Peschke's invention, many companies have implemented his novel and useful technology.

2. PMT is the exclusive licensee of the Peschke Patent. A true and correct copy of the Peschke Patent is attached as Exhibit A.

PARTIES

3. PMT is a Delaware limited liability company.

4. On information and belief, Defendant Weingarten is a company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Texas, with its principal place of business located at 2600 Citadel Plaza Dr., Ste. 125, Houston, TX 77008. Weingarten may be served via its registered agent for service of process: Capitol Corporate Services, Inc., 155 Office Plaza Dr., Suite A, Tallahassee, FL 32301.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, among other reasons, Defendant has done business in this District, has committed and continues to commit acts of patent infringement in this District, and has harmed and continues to harm PMT in this District, by, among other things, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing infringing products and/or services in this District.

7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(d) and 1400(b) because, among other reasons, Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District and has committed and continues to commit acts of patent infringement in

this District. For example, on information and belief, Defendant has used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported infringing products and/or services in this District.

COUNT I
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,397,143

8. Defendant has been and is now directly infringing the Peschke Patent, in this judicial District and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling store layout mapping products and services, including through its website, which includes a map navigation and display system which emphasizes the use of physical layout to assist users in locating stores and businesses in a particular area or location. The infringing products and services provide at least one first level map depicting multiple store layouts; description pages corresponding to each store layout which contain information related to the corresponding store, *e.g.*, business name, phone number, store hours, *etc.*; and links which, when activated, display the stores' corresponding description pages. Defendant's infringing products and services include, without limitation, its webpage <http://www.largomall.com/store-directory> and related webpages.

9. By engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendant has injured PMT and is thus liable for infringement of the Peschke Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

10. Defendant has committed these acts of infringement without license or authorization.

11. To the extent that facts learned in discovery show that Defendant's infringement of the Peschke Patent is or has been willful, PMT reserves the right to request such a finding at the time of trial.

12. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the Peschke Patent, PMT has suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a money judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court. PMT will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendant's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

13. PMT has also suffered and will continue to suffer severe and irreparable harm unless this Court issues a permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant, its agents, servants, employees, representatives, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringing the Peschke Patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter:

1. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Defendant has infringed the Peschke Patent;
2. A permanent injunction enjoining Defendant and its officers, directors, agents servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringement, inducing the infringement of, or contributing to the infringement of the Peschke Patent, or such other equitable relief the Court determines is warranted;
3. A judgment and order requiring Defendant pay to Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of the Peschke Patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284, and an accounting of ongoing post-judgment infringement; and

4. Any and all other relief, at law or equity, to which Plaintiff may show itself to be entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PMT, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Respectfully submitted June 29, 2015.

/s/Ryan T. Santurri

Brian R. Gilchrist, FL Bar #774065

bgilchrist@addmg.com

Ryan T. Santurri, FL Bar #15698

rsanturri@addmg.com

ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT

MILBRATH & GILCHRIST, P.A.

255 South Orange Avenue, Suite 1401

Post Office Box 3791

Orlando, FL 32802-3791

Telephone: (407) 841-2330

Facsimile: (407) 841-2343

Neal G. Massand

Texas Bar No. 24039038

Admitted Pro Hac Vice

nmassand@nilawfirm.com

NI, WANG & MASSAND, PLLC

8140 Walnut Hill Ln., Ste. 500

Dallas, TX 75231

Tel: (972) 331-4601

Fax: (972) 314-0900

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF PESCHKE

MAP TECHNOLOGIES LLC

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

I hereby certify that on June 29, 2015, I electronically-filed the foregoing using the Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (“CM/ECF”) System.

/s/Ryan T. Santurri

Ryan T. Santurri, FL Bar #15698